In The Matter Of:

CARE, et al.

v.

Cow Palace, et al.

Deposition of Jay Gordon June 25, 2014



Central Court Reporting

800.442.DEPO

Support@centralcourtreporting.com www.centralcourtreporting.com

1	IN THE UNITED STATES	
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRI	.CT OF WASHINGTON
3	COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE)
4	ENVIRONMENT, INC., a Washington Non-Profit))
5	Corporation and))
6	CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, INC., a Washington, D.C. Non-Profit	
7	Corporation	CERTIFIED COPY
8	Plaintiffs,) No. CV-13-3016-TOR
9	VS.)
10	Cow Palace, LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company,))
11	Defendant.)
12)
13	COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE)
14	ENVIRONMENT, INC., a Washington Non-Profit))
15	Corporation and)
16	CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, INC., a Washington, D.C. Non-Profit))
17	Corporation	
18	Plaintiffs,))) No. CV 12 2017 TOD
19	vs.) No. CV-13-3017-TOR)
20	GEORGE & MARGARET, LLC, a Washington Limited Liability)
21	Company))
22	GEORGE DERUYTER & SON DAIRY, LLC, a Washington Limited))
23	Liability Company)
24	Defendants.))
25		,)

```
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION FOR
     RESTORATION OF THE
    ENVIRONMENT, INC., a
    Washington Non-Profit
 3
    Corporation
          and
    CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, INC.,
 4
     a Washington, D.C. Non-Profit
 5
    Corporation
                   Plaintiffs,
 6
 7
                                        No. CV-13-3019-TOR
         VS.
    HENRY BOSMA DAIRY, a
 8
     Washington Proprietorship,
     aka HANK BOSMA DAIRY, aka
     BOSMA DAIRY,
10
         and
    LIBERTY DAIRY, LLC,
11
                   Defendants.
12
13
       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WA DAIRY 30(B)(6) WITNESS,
                           W. JAY GORDON
                      Wednesday, June 25, 2014
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 Reported by: Kylie Hammington, CCR, RPR
                  License No. 2054
25
```



1	Al	PPEARANCES
2	D	
3	For Plaintiffs:	CHARLES TEBBUTT Law Offices of Charles M. Tebbutt
4		941 Lawrence Street Eugene, Oregon 97401
5		_ a.g. e.g. e.g. e.g. y / 10 _
6		ANDREA RODGERS HARRIS Law Offices of Andrea K.
7		Rodgers Harris 2907 South Adams Street
8		Seattle, Washington 98108
9	For Defendants:	JT COOKE
10		Houlihan Law 3401 Evanston Avenue North
11		Seattle, Washington 98103
12		MATHEW HARRINGTON
13		Stokes Lawrence 1420 Fifth Avenue
14		Suite 3000 Seattle, Washington 98101
15		
16	Also Present:	Marc Lykken, Videographer Dan Wood
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	INDEX
2	PAGE:LINE
3	Examination by Mr. Tebbutt8:17
4	
5	
6	
7	EXHIBITS
8	20532:14
9	20636:10
10	20748:13
11	20854:11
12	20960:6
13	21078:18
14	211100:13
15	212
16	213112:16
17	214115:8
18	215119:12
19	216128:20
20	217145:23
21	218157:25
22	219175:7
23	220179:13
24	221182:1
25	222



1	EXHIBITS CONT'D
2	223
3	224
4	225190:3
5	226190:17
6	227193:20
7	228194:19
8	229197:25
9	230200:9
10	231201:16
11	232202:17
12	233202:21
13	234205:18
14	235206:7
15	236212:9
16	237232:23
17	238233:25
18	239235:10
19	240237:24
20	241238:17
21	242241:3
22	243241:3
23	244251:8
24	245251:24
25	



	1	REQUESTED INFORMATION	
	2		l
	3	Request for Production26:19	
	4	Request for Production40:18	
	5		
	6		l
	7		l
	8		l
	9		l
	10		
	11		l
	12		
	13		l
	14		
	15		l
	16		1
	17		l
	18		
	19		l
	20		l
	21		1
	22		
	23		
	24		1
	25		
- 1			



```
1
          BE IT REMEMBERED that the deposition upon oral
   examination of WA DAIRY 30(B)(6) WITNESS, W. JAY GORDON, was
    taken on Wednesday, June 25, 2014, at 200 Second Avenue
 3
    West, Seattle, Washington, before Kylie Hammington,
 4
    Certified Court Reporter in the State of Washington.
 5
 6
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the video
 7
8
         deposition of 30(b)(6) representative Jay Gordon in the
         matter of Community Association for Restoration of the
9
10
         Environment, et al., plaintiffs versus Cow Palace, LLC,
11
         a limited liability company, et al. defendants.
         case is in the United States District Court, Eastern
12
         District of Washington. Case Nos. CV-13-3016-TOR,
13
14
         CV-13-3017-TOR, and CV-13-3019-TOR.
15
              Today's date is Wednesday, June 25, 2014, and the
16
         time is 9:10 a.m. This deposition is taking place at
17
         the offices of Stritmatter Kessler Whelan located at
18
         200 Second Avenue West, Seattle, Washington, 98119. It
19
         was noticed by the plaintiffs.
              Video and court reporting services are being
20
21
         provided by Central Court Reporting, 1700 Seventh
22
         Avenue, Suite 2100, Seattle, Washington. Phone number
23
         206-682-5896. Court reporter today is Kylie
         Hammington. She will swear in the witness, but first
24
25
         will the attorneys voice identify themselves and state
```

1 whom they represent and any other parties in the room 2. with them. We will start with the plaintiffs, please. MR. TEBBUTT: Charlie Tebbutt for the 3 plaintiffs. 4 5 MS. RODGERS HARRIS: Andrea Rodgers Harris 6 for the plaintiffs. 7 MR. COOKE: JT Cooke, Houlihan Law, for 8 Washington State Dairy Federation. 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Mathew Harrington of Stokes Lawrence for the defendants. 10 11 MR. WOOD: And I'm Dan Wood with the 12 Washington Dairy Federation. 13 14 W. JAY GORDON, having been first duly sworn upon oath, 15 testified as follows: 16 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. TEBBUTT: Good morning, Mr. Gordon. Would you please state your 19 full name for the record? 20 21 William J. Gordon. 22 And where do you reside, sir? Q 23 Α 5166 U.S. Highway 12, Elma, Washington. 24 0 And what is your present occupation? 25 A I'm the executive director of the Washington State



- 1 Dairy Federation.
- 2 Q And how long have you been in that role?
- 3 A Thirteen years, eight months.
- 4 Q How many people work at the Washington State Dairy
- 5 Federation?
- 6 A We have six.
- 7 Q Are they all full time?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q How many are full time?
- 10 A Three.
- 11 Q And who are they?
- 12 A Myself, Dan Wood, and Darcel Nootenboom.
- 13 Q And the other three people, how much do they work?
- What are their FTE equivalents?
- 15 A We are have a part-time office assistant, about half
- time, and two field staff that are probably a couple
- days a month, tenth of an FTE.
- 18 Q Mr. Gordon, have you ever been deposed before?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Have you ever been a party to a legal action?
- 21 A One.
- 22 Q And what case was that?
- 23 A It was an auto accident years ago in Portland.
- 24 Q You personally were involved in that?
- 25 A I think so. The insurance companies took care of it.



- 1 Q Has the Washington State Dairy Federation been a party
- 2 to any litigation?
- 3 A No.
- 4 O How about the action before the Pollution Control
- 5 Hearings Board on the challenge of the 2006 Washington
- 6 General CAFO Permit?
- 7 A We were intervenors in that case. If that makes us a
- 8 party, then that would be a case that we've been
- 9 involved in.
- 10 Q Yes. You were a party to that litigation. That's --
- 11 that is exactly right.
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for legal
- 13 conclusion.
- 14 Q Have you been an intervenor in any other legal actions
- other than that one?
- 16 A Yes. The Washington Dairy Federation was also an
- intervenor in a case involving stock water. That's all
- I can remember. There may be others, but that's what I
- 19 remember right now.
- 20 O And that stock water case, did that involve a
- 21 concentrated animal feeding operation in Eastern
- Washington?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q What was the name of that facility?
- 25 A That would have been Easterday Ranches, I believe.



- 1 Q How long ago was that?
- 2 A Five, six years ago when it began. I can't remember
- 3 exactly. It went to the Washington State Supreme
- 4 Court, so I can't remember when it concluded.
- 5 Q Mr. Gordon, I'm going to just go over a couple ground
- 6 rules of deposition with you. You understand today
- 7 that you are under oath and you were sworn to give full
- 8 and complete answers?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q The purpose of deposition is -- is multifold. One is
- to get information about information that's relevant to
- this case, these cases, the -- and I'll be referring to
- these cases as the "cluster cases." Do you understand
- that for today's purposes?
- 15 A Sure.
- 16 Q Do you know what the cluster cases are?
- 17 A These dairies that are named in this suit.
- 18 Q All right. And these dairies in this case are the
- Bosma Dairies, Cow Palace, and the George DeRuyter and
- 20 D & A Dairies; do you understand that, for today's
- 21 purposes?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 O One thing I'm going to ask you to do is to wait until I
- complete my question before you give an answer. It's
- 25 natural to anticipate a question, but because the court



- 1 reporter can only get one of us down at a time, please
- give a little time for me to finish my question and
- 3 then give your response. Do you understand that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And we need audible responses: yeses, noes, not
- 6 uh-huhs or shakes of the head. That doesn't really
- 7 work very well. Do you understand that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q All right. Thank you. And the other purposes of this
- 10 deposition would be, for instance, if -- there's a
- 11 possibility that this transcript could be used at trial
- without your appearance. Do you understand that?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q It can also be used if you give different testimony at
- 15 trial. I would inform the Court that you gave
- different testimony during this deposition. Do you
- 17 understand that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Please describe for us your educational background.
- 20 A I graduated in Kaiser, Oregon, from McNary High School,
- 21 attended Oregon State University for -- got a degree in
- 22 dairy science. Did a little bit of work at Evergreen
- 23 in public policy.
- 24 Q So do you have a BS in --
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 0 -- agricultural science from Oregon State?
- 2 A Dairy science. Oregon State University.
- 3 Q When did you get that degree?
- 4 A 1983.
- 5 Q Have you taken any courses on animal science or
- 6 agricultural science since that time?
- 7 A No. Not that I can recall.
- 8 Q So you graduated with a BS in 1983. What's been your
- 9 work history since 1983?
- 10 A I came back to our family farm after graduation, farmed
- with my grandfather, and then farmed after he passed in
- 12 '90, so I've been crop farming and dairy farming.
- 13 Still do that in my 5:00 on time and weekends
- since even before graduating, so since the late '70s,
- 15 1979 I started in farming.
- 16 Q At the family farm?
- 17 A Um-hmm.
- 18 Q Okay. And you still have that farm?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 0 Where is that located?
- 21 A It's in Elma where we live.
- 22 0 In where?
- 23 A In Elma where we live.
- 24 0 Okay?
- 25 A So the address is the business address.



- 1 Q So who -- are you the manager of that facility?
- 2 A I'm the owner. I have a long time partner that manages
- 3 the farm day-to-day. We manage it together. But, for
- 4 instance, today he's taking care of the cows and the
- 5 crops and the water and the irrigation.
- 6 Q And your job at WSDF, I'll call that the Dairy
- 7 Federation --
- 8 A Um-hmm.
- 9 Q -- is a full-time job; is that correct?
- 10 A Um-hmm. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q What type of a farm do you have?
- 12 A We have about a 900 acre organic crop farm. We were
- organic on the dairy up until this spring. So we have
- a conventional dairy and about 600 acres of organic
- 15 ground we farm.
- 16 Q And what type of crops do you grow on the 600 acres?
- 17 A Grass, up until this year, grain, corn, alfalfa. This
- year, mostly grass and then we have a gentleman that's
- leasing part of it and we're helping him grow organic
- beans, green beans.
- 21 Q And about how many dairy cows do you have?
- 22 A Cows?
- 23 O Yes.
- 24 A Or total animals?
- 25 O Let's start with cows first.



- 1 A About 150.
- 2 O And are those milkers?
- 3 A That would be milking and dry cows.
- 4 Q And do you have heifers, as well?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q How many of those do you have?
- 7 A About 150.
- 8 Q You raise your own heifers?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And you said it's no longer organic; is that right?
- 11 A No. We switched back to conventional.
- 12 Q Are you still a member -- are you -- have you ever been
- a member of Organic Valley?
- 14 A We were members of Organic Valley up until May 1st of
- this year. For numbers -- eight years, I believe.
- 16 Q Is there a reason that you went back to conventional?
- 17 A We had a foot disease we couldn't solve with organic
- 18 problems and we were out of ideas and just felt it
- wasn't fair to the cows and to us, and we just couldn't
- find a solution to a particular foot disease called
- 21 hairy wart.
- 22 Q At your facility that you presently have, is there a
- 23 name for that dairy?
- 24 A Gordon Dairy, Incorporated.
- 25 Q Do you have a lagoon or moor at that particular



```
1
         facility?
 2
   Α
         We have a lagoon, yes.
 3
         And how is that designed and constructed?
   0
 4
   Α
         We --
 5
                   MR. COOKE: I'll object. This is all outside
 6
         the scope. I understand this is background, Charlie --
 7
                   MR. TEBBUTT: It is.
 8
                   MR. COOKE: -- but it's not about his dairy
 9
         farm, this litigation.
10
                   MR. TEBBUTT: It's --
11
                   MR. COOKE: So I'll give you some leeway, but
12
         I'm telling you this isn't about Jay Gordon's dairy
         farm. This is about the dairy farms that are part of
13
14
         your lawsuit. And it was clearly outside the scope of
15
         your deposition topics. So if you want to finish up
16
         your background questions, that's fine.
17
                   MR. TEBBUTT:
                                 It's background. It's relevant
18
         to the issues that he will be testifying to throughout
19
         the day.
20
                   MR. COOKE: I guess I disagree with that, but
21
         I'll let you finish up this questioning and if you're
22
         going to keep going down that line, I'll just object.
23
                   MR. TEBBUTT: All right.
24
         Go ahead and answer the question, please.
    0
25
         The lagoon was designed by the Natural Resources
   Α
```

- 1 Conservation Service. I don't remember the date. We
- 2 purchased the farm with the lagoon on it, but I've seen
- 3 the engineering and design blueprints from NRCS
- 4 sometime in the last 25 years that we've owned that
- 5 portion of the farm that has a dairy lagoon on it.
- 6 Q And you've owned the facility since the '70s, correct?
- 7 A This piece of property, we purchased -- no. This piece
- 8 of property that has the lagoon on it we purchased in
- 9 19, I believe, 91, '92. The rest of the farm we've
- 10 owned since the 1870s.
- 11 Q So the lagoon is not synthetically lined; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Do you have a dairy nutrient management plan for the
- 15 Gordon Dairies?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q When was that most recently updated?
- 18 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Beyond the
- 19 scope.
- 20 O Go ahead and answer.
- 21 A About three years ago, I think was our last update.
- 22 Q And how do you determine at that facility how much
- 23 manure to apply and when?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. This is beyond
- 25 the scope of a 30(b)(6) deposition.



- 1 MR. COOKE: If you're doing a fishing
- 2 expedition as to his farm, especially given your
- 3 client's lawsuits -- you're here to depose Washington
- 4 State Dairy Federation. Not Jay Gordon's individual
- 5 farm.
- 6 So you've got your background. I think you've got
- 7 enough background. Let's move on to the Dairy
- 8 Federation questions.
- 9 MR. TEBBUTT: I disagree because this is
- 10 relevant to his knowledge about manure applications and
- 11 nitrates. He said he has a degree in agricultural
- 12 science, so this is all very relevant to questions that
- 13 will be asked later today --
- MR. COOKE: He's not being -- he's not being
- 15 identified --
- MR. TEBBUTT: Just a minute. Just a minute,
- 17 please. These are foundational to the questions that
- 18 will follow later today.
- MR. COOKE: He's not being identified as an
- 20 expert by any party in this case. So the foundation
- 21 and the need for it is baseless.
- 22 MR. TEBBUTT: There are extensive documents
- 23 where Mr. Gordon opines about nitrates and other things
- 24 that he's going to be questioned about today. So all
- 25 this is foundational for that.



1 MR. COOKE: And, again, he's not being 2 identified by an expert -- by any party, as far as I 3 know. MR. HARRINGTON: Not by us. 4 5 MR. COOKE: If you have facts about Washington State Dairy Federation and their activities, 6 7 we can answer those questions. This is not a 8 deposition about Jay Gordon's individual farm. 9 Mr. Gordon, throughout the day there's going to be 10 objections that your counsel will be interposing and 11 Mr. Harrington, on behalf of the dairies, will be 12 interposing. You understand that unless you're 13 instructed not to answer the question, you are to 14 answer the question? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 Okay. Please answer my question. 17 Α Please restate the question. Yes. How do you determine how much manure to apply and 18 19 when at your facility? 20 MR. HARRINGTON: Same objection. 21 Go ahead. 22 Thanks. On our facility, the fundamental pieces of how Α 23 we determine the manure to apply is obviously the farm 24 plan lays out -- is kind of the formal document that 25 lays out what are your crops, what are the -- what are



1 the soil types, what are the yields that you've been having, and what is the calculated amount of nutrients 2 that you need for an individual farm. We also utilize 3 soil tests, both in the spring -- sometimes in the 4 spring, in the fall, cropping history on different 5 fields. I personally have some fields that I have 30 6 years of soil tests. Not every year, but a good chunk 7 of soil tests. 8 9 And given our history of yields in our fields on 10 corn or grain or grass or -- we've grown echinacea and 11 artichokes. We don't use a lot of manure on that, but different varieties, we then utilize a lot of WSU or 12 Oregon State University data, British Columbia data to 13 14 determine what our recipe is that we need to feed those 15 crops. So with organic, our basic fertilizers are 16 chicken manure, cow manure, wood ashes. And we develop 17 a formula based on each field, each crop every... 18 Do you raise your own chickens, as well? 19 Α No. 20 So you import chicken manure? 21 Α Yes. 22 What did you do to prepare for your deposition today? 0 23 MR. COOKE: Objection to the extent it calls 24 for attorney-client privileged communications. 25 You can answer to extent you can without



- disclosing any conversations you've had with me.
- 2 A So --
- 3 Q And, by the way, just to clarify, you can disclose the
- 4 fact of the conversations and the amount of time that
- 5 you may have spent with an individual, but I don't want
- 6 your attorney -- your specific attorney-client
- 7 communications with Mr. Cooke.
- 8 A Okay. So, again, the question was?
- 9 Q What did you do to prepare for your deposition today?
- 10 A We reviewed the request you -- finally got settled on
- for what today was about, for last several weeks;
- reviewed the documents that we've submitted; had
- conversations with our attorney; got dressed; had a cup
- of coffee; and came here.
- 15 Q Have you spoken with anyone other than Mr. Cooke about
- 16 your deposition today?
- 17 A Mr. Wood, obviously, on the way up.
- 18 Q Why obviously?
- 19 A We drove together this morning.
- 20 Q Is that the only time you've talked to him about the
- 21 deposition?
- 22 A No.
- 23 O When else did you talk with him?
- 24 A We've talked since April about the deposition, the
- contents of the request, the production of documents,



- 1 the documents that have been sent. Dan works for the
- 2 Federation and has been an integral part of being -- in
- 3 getting prepared for this.
- 4 Q Does Mr. Wood -- well, describe for me the process of
- 5 how you responded to the subpoena requesting documents.
- 6 MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope.
- 7 O You can answer.
- 8 A Okay. So to prepare for this, we had large sticky
- 9 notes, whatever the flip charts, on the wall with all
- the names of the requests for documents, the names of
- people, the times, the scope of what you were looking
- for. We went through and -- went through all of the
- computers in the office, the file cabinets in the
- office, the documents sitting on shelves and -- and
- 15 went through down as -- we went through each of those
- key words, key individuals, key conversations within
- the time frame, checked those off, and had a large box
- in the office to make sure we've gotten those all done,
- and transmitted electronically to JT.
- 20 0 Who assisted with the document review?
- 21 MR. COOKE: Same objection. Outside the
- scope.
- 23 A Document review?
- 24 Q Yeah. The documents that were requested as part of the
- 25 subpoena. Gathering the documents?



- 1 A Gathering?
- 2 Q Yes.
- 3 A Darcel Nootenboom in our office, Linda Capps in our
- 4 office, Dan Wood, and myself.
- 5 Q And you said you did -- you searched computers as well?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q How did you search the computers?
- 8 A Went through the filing systems by file, by key word,
- 9 looking for any documents as requested.
- 10 Q And the key words that you used, were they key words
- that you determined should be used?
- 12 A We pulled them out of --
- MR. COOKE: Same objection.
- 14 A We pulled them out of the document.
- 15 Q When you say "we," who's we?
- 16 A Dan and I.
- 17 Q So you didn't get any advice from your attorneys about
- 18 how to --
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection to the extent it calls
- for attorney-client privileged communications.
- 21 Q Just a yes or no.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Are you instructing not to
- 23 answer that question?
- MR. HARRINGTON: I'm not clear on what the
- 25 question is.



```
1
                   MR. COOKE: Can you repeat the question?
 2
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Yes.
 3
         I'm asking: Did -- did you get advice from your
   Q
 4
         attorneys about how to do the word searches on the
 5
         computers or did you -- did you come up with the word
         search information and process yourself?
 6
 7
                   MR. COOKE: Objection. It's -- yes.
 8
                   MR. HARRINGTON: That is looking for the
         content of the communication.
9
                   MR. COOKE: And it's a compound question. So
10
11
         no, you don't have to answer.
12
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Let me -- let me rephrase it.
13
         Did you come up with the computer search term process
14
         yourself?
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Objection to the extent it
15
16
         invades the attorney-client privilege.
17
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Objection is nonsensical.
18
         But go ahead, Mr. Gordon, and answer the question.
19
              Do you understand the question?
20
   Α
         Yeah.
21
                   MR. COOKE: I'm also objecting it's outside
22
         the scope of the topics of your -- of your deposition.
                   MR. HARRINGTON: If the answer would invade
23
24
         the attorney-client privilege, it's not a proper
25
         question, and you should not answer if it would
```



- disclose the conversations you had with your lawyer.
- 2 MR. TEBBUTT: Are you representing Mr. Gordon
- 3 today, Mr. Harrington?
- 4 MR. HARRINGTON: I'm not.
- 5 MR. TEBBUTT: So you're not instructing him
- 6 not to answer, are you?
- 7 MR. HARRINGTON: I'm not.
- 8 MR. TEBBUTT: Okay.
- 9 Q Mr. Gordon, please answer the question. Do you
- 10 under- -- you said you understand --
- 11 A I understood the question, and I'm not sure -- so I'm
- 12 not sure.
- 13 O You're not sure?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q You're not sure how you came up with the search terms?
- 16 A No. The search -- I'm not sure if it was an original
- idea that came out of my head or our office's head or
- in conjunction with my attorney in conversations. The
- conversations with our attorney began as soon as
- 20 this --
- 21 MR. COOKE: All right.
- 22 A -- subpoena. So if you're asking if it was an original
- idea all by ourselves in a vacuum, the answer is no.
- 24 Q Did you document what search terms you used --
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 Q -- in order to find the documents? Do you have a memo 2 to that effect?
- 3 A No. It was a large sticky note on the wall of the
- 4 office.
- 5 Q Do you still have that sticky note?
- 6 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
- 7 attorney-client privileged communications and work
- 8 product. And it's outside the scope of the deposition.
- 9 MR. TEBBUTT: Are you instructing him not to
- 10 answer whether it still exist or not?
- MR. COOKE: No. I'm just lodging my
- 12 objections.
- 13 Q All right. Does that -- does that note still exist --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- with key terms?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q I'd ask you to preserve that. I'd ask you to actually
- 18 produce that.
- 19 (Request for production.)
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. That's not a
- 21 proper question.
- MR. TEBBUTT: It's a statement for the
- record, Mr. Harrington. Let's not muddle the record,
- 24 please.
- Mr. Cooke, do you have any objection to producing



1 that document? 2 MR. COOKE: I do have an objection to 3 producing that document. I'll produce it in a 4 privilege log. It will say "list." 5 MR. TEBBUTT: I don't believe that the search terms would be properly subject to protection in this 6 7 circumstance, because it goes to the process of how 8 documents were created and produced. MR. COOKE: We're going to have to agree to 9 10 disagree on that point, and I'm sure we will. 11 0 Mr. Gordon, how does one become a member of the 12 Washington State Dairy Federation? 13 I can't recall the exact wording in the bylaws, but I 14 believe it's -- you have to be a licensed grade A 15 dairy, state of Washington, with cows. We engaged in 16 speciesism. No goats. Sorry. It was a lively debate. 17 That's all right. All right. 18 And how many dairies are members, approximately? 19 Α Approximately 420. Okay. Are the Bosma Dairies members? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 And DeRuyter Dairies? And when I'm speaking of 0 23 DeRuyter, I'm speaking of George DeRuyter & Sons and D 24 & A Dairy? 25 A Both. When you say "DeRuyters" you're referring to



- 1 both?
- 2 Q Yes.
- 3 A Yes. Both of them are.
- 4 Q I'm not referring to DeRuyter Brothers or Jake DeRuyter
- or any other DeRuyters that may exist out there for
- 6 today's purposes.
- 7 A Yes, they're both members?
- 8 Q Okay. And the Cow Palace is a member?
- 9 A Yes. Also.
- 10 Q And the R & M Haak Dairy, were they members?
- 11 A They were.
- 12 Q Are they still?
- 13 A No, they don't -- they don't own cows.
- 14 Q Going back to your deposition preparation, have you had
- any conversations with the Givens Pursley firm about
- 16 your deposition preparation?
- 17 A About specifically conversations? State the question
- 18 again.
- 19 Q Yes. Have you had any communications with the Givens
- 20 Pursley firm to prepare for this deposition today?
- 21 A I may have had a quick conversation with Deb Kristensen
- very early on, but I can't remember for sure.
- 23 Q Telephone conversation?
- 24 A Oh, yeah.
- 25 Q Was this shortly after receiving the subpoena?



Α Yeah. About that time frame, I think. 1 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes 2 3 the testimony. 4 And was -- what was the topic of that conversation? 0 MR. COOKE: Objection to the ex- --5 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes 6 7 the testimony. MR. COOKE: And also could call for 9 attorney-client privileged communication. 10 MR. HARRINGTON: And calls for speculation. 11 MR. COOKE: The Dairy Federation has a 12 relationship, ongoing legal relationship with the 13 Givens Pursley firm, so the substance of that communication, it stays off limits. 14 15 I direct you not to answer about the content of 16 your communication with Deb. 17 Okay. Well, let me ask you about that. 18 What relationship does the Washington State Dairy Federation have with the Givens Pursley firm? 19 We've had an ongoing client relationship with them for 20 21 a number of years. 22 In what respect? 0 23 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for 24 attorney-client communications.



25 A

They've represented us on a number of issues.

Are they all related to the EPA report and the cluster 2. dairies? MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for 3 attorney-client privileged communications. 4 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. 5 MR. TEBBUTT: Are you instructing him not to 6 7 answer, Mr. Cooke? 8 MR. COOKE: Yes. 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Would you like to confer about matters of privilege? 10 MR. COOKE: Yeah. Can we take a few minutes? 11 12 MR. TEBBUTT: There's a question pending. 13 MR. COOKE: Well, then I'll direct him not to 14 answer. 15 MR. TEBBUTT: All right. Then why don't you take a few minutes, because that is -- this is an 16 17 important area. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record 18 19 at 9:38. 20 (Off the record.) 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the 22 record at 9:43. 23 Mr. Gordon, when we left off, we were having a little 24 dispute amongst the attorneys about attorney-client 25 privilege. And your counsel instructed you not to

1 answer the question that was pending. I'll ask 2. Mr. Cooke. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: Are you still maintaining that request -- objection? 4 5 MR. COOKE: I've instructed my client that he 6 can describe the nature of the representation, but the substance and the details of the work that was done are 7 8 obviously off limits. 9 Can you describe the nature of the representation, 10 please? 11 Α Pursley Givens [sic] has represented us on scope of EPA 12 authority under the Safe Drinking Water Act, which 13 related, obviously, to the groundwater nitrate issue in 14 Yakima in 2012, and the pending litigation. 15 Givens Pursley has represented you concerning the 16 pending litigation? 17 Α Well, this -- this litigation. 18 Did part of that representation include commenting on 19 the EPA report that came out in September 2012? MR. COOKE: Objection. Attorney-client 20 21 privilege. You don't have to answer. We provided the 22 nature and the scope of what they were representing 23 them with. 24 MR. TEBBUTT: Well, you actually provided --25 MR. COOKE: We provided you answer --



```
MR. TEBBUTT: -- documents about that, too.
 1
 2
         So I wanted to get -- lay some foundation before I get
 3
         into the documents that you produced that are relevant
         to these questions.
 4
 5
                   MR. COOKE: Well, if just documents were
 6
         inadvertently produced that could be privileged, then I
 7
         ask that they be returned back, and I guess we can get
 8
         there when we get there.
 9
         Could you ask the question again?
10
         Yes. Did you work with the Givens Pursley firm to
11
         provide comments on the EPA groundwater report that
12
         came out in September 2012 in the Lower Yakima Valley?
13
   Α
         Yes.
14
              (Exhibit No. 205 marked for identification.)
         Mr. Gordon, handing you what's been marked as
15
16
         Exhibit 205, this is a report from Mark Wassemiller to
17
         Janet Leister, and the subject says, "Final Report.
18
         Written review of the EPA report and other sources of
19
         technical, engineering, and scientific information and
         literature relating to storage basins/lagoon,
20
21
         permeability, assumptions regarding leakage and
22
         design." Do you see that?
23
   Α
         Yes.
24
         And you've seen this document before, correct?
25
   Α
         Yes.
```



- 1 Q And, in fact, this was produced by you in response to
- 2 the subpoena, correct?
- 3 A No. This was produced by the Washington Dairy Products
- 4 Commission and Mark Wassemiller. We assisted.
- 5 Q Right. And my question was: This is -- this is a
- 6 document, based on the -- the Bates stamp down in the
- 7 bottom right-hand corner, this document was produced to
- 8 us as part of the subpoena, correct?
- 9 MR. HARRINGTON: I think the witness is
- 10 confused about the meaning of the term "produced."
- 11 MR. TEBBUTT: Oh, I understand that. That's
- 12 why I was clearing it up.
- 13 Q You understand my question now, right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. So you did assist with the review of the EPA
- report and Mr. Wasemiller's comments, correct? Let me
- 17 rephrase that.
- 18 You assisted with the preparation of this
- document, correct?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Okay. What was your role in seeing that this document
- was produced? Created. Let's say that.
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vaque.
- 24 A Mr. Wasemiller produced the document.
- 25 Q Right. Did you ask him to?



- 1 A The Washington Dairy Products Commission, we helped
- find him for the Washington Dairy Products Commission
- 3 as an engineer in the area.
- 4 Q Why did you choose Mr. Wasemiller?
- 5 A I believe he was an engineer, had experience in the
- 6 Columbia Basin. I think he came recommended by a
- 7 number of people in the area, I think. If I recall
- 8 right, I believe he used to work for the NRCS.
- 9 Q Had you had any other prior communications with
- 10 Mr. Wasemiller?
- 11 A Prior to this?
- 12 Q Prior to this report, yes.
- 13 A None that I recall.
- 14 Q Did you have any communications with Mr. Wasemiller in
- 15 his role at NRCS?
- 16 A None at the Federation that I'm aware of. Individual
- 17 producers, maybe.
- 18 Q If you'll take a look at the second page of Exhibit
- 19 205, and that's WSDF001570. Can you see that?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q The first bullet point kind of in the middle of the
- 22 page says, "When credit for a reduction of seepage from
- 23 manure ceiling is allowed and then it continues on.
- 24 A Um-hmm.
- 25 Q Again, you've read this report before, correct?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Do you take issue with Mr. Wasemiller's conclusion that
- 3 there is seepage or leakage from lagoons?
- 4 MR. COOKE: Objection --
- 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Lack of
- 6 foundation.
- 7 MR. COOKE: -- to the extent it calls for an
- 8 expert opinion.
- 9 Q You may go ahead and answer the question.
- 10 A I'm going to go by what he says here. He's the
- 11 engineer.
- 12 Q So you don't disagree with his conclusions?
- MR. COOKE: Same objection.
- 14 A I'm not an engineer -- yeah, I wouldn't have enough
- 15 knowledge to know whether to agree or disagree with
- 16 him. But he's the engineer, so I take what he says to
- 17 be credible information.
- 18 Q And you, the Washington State Dairy Federation, helped
- 19 commission this -- this report, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Do you know when this report was finalized?
- 22 A I can't remember the exact date. It would have been in
- 23 the November -- late October, November of 2012 time
- 24 frame.
- 25 Q And part of -- and this was just part of the comments



- or critique of the EPA report, correct?
- 2 A Comments, yes.
- 3 Q These are comments that -- that, again, that the Dairy
- 4 Federation helped commission to respond to the EPA
- 5 report, correct?
- 6 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague.
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 8 Q You may answer the question.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 (Exhibit No. 206 marked for identification.)
- 11 Q Mr. Gordon, handing you what's been marked as Exhibit
- 12 206, this is the privilege log produced by your
- counsel, Mr. Cooke, to our firm dated yesterday. Did
- 14 you assist in the preparation of this log?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope.
- 16 Q You may answer.
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Are you familiar with each of the documents that are
- described in this privilege log?
- 20 MR. COOKE: And same objection.
- 21 A Log's pretty vague. Not a lot to go on on this list.
- 22 Q All right. Well, let's -- let's see if we can be
- specific, then. The first document says it was
- produced in May 2013, and the author was the Givens
- 25 Pursley firm, and the recipient was Bob Naerebout.



- 1 Who's Mr. Naerebout?
- 2 A Bob Naerebout is the executive director of the Idaho
- 3 Dairymen's Association.
- 4 Q And you also had a copy of this document, correct, in
- 5 your files?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q How were you provided with a copy of that document?
- 8 A I'm going to assume email, but I don't recall.
- 9 Q Who would you have received an email from?
- 10 MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope.
- 11 A Again, I'd have to look. My assumption would be from
- 12 either Hugh O'Riordan or Deb Kristensen.
- 13 Q Are those the two people with whom you have contact at
- the Givens Pursley firm?
- 15 A I'm sorry. Say that question.
- 16 Q Are those the two people, Hugh O'Riordan and Deb
- 17 Kristensen, are those the only two people that you have
- 18 contact with at the Givens Pursley firm?
- 19 A Those are our primary contacts.
- 20 O My question is: Are there others?
- 21 A There's another gentleman we've talked with over the
- last several years once or twice. Trying to remember
- his name. Brandon? Shows you how much contact we've
- 24 had.
- 25 O Preston Carter?



- 1 A There we go, Preston.
- 2 Q Have you also had conversations with Brendan Monahan at
- 3 the Stokes Lawrence firm?
- 4 A Have I had conversations with him?
- 5 Q Yes.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q When have you had conversations with Mr. Monahan?
- 8 A Last fall I know we had a phone call. We had lunch
- 9 together in Yakima once, the only time I ever met him.
- 10 And I don't remember when that occurred. Spring of
- last year is my guess.
- 12 Q Spring of 2013?
- 13 A 2013, yes.
- 14 O And was that lunch a small private lunch, or was it
- part of a larger meeting of the Dairy Federation; do
- 16 you know?
- 17 A No, it was just a small lunch.
- 18 Q Do you know who was present?
- 19 A If I recall, it was Dan and you and I and Preston.
- 20 Q And when you say "you," you're referring to
- 21 Mr. Harrington?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 O Do you know where you had lunch?
- 24 A Sports bar in Yakima. Can't remember the name.
- 25 Q All right.



- 1 A Good French fries.
- 2 Q Now, Mr. Naerebout is with the Idaho Dairymen's
- 3 Association; is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Do you have a common interest agreement with the Idaho
- 6 Dairymen's Association with respect to this litigation?
- 7 A Ask the question again.
- 8 MR. COOKE: Objection to the extent it calls
- 9 for a legal conclusion.
- 10 Q Do you have any kind of written agreement with the
- 11 Idaho Dairymen's Association with respect to this
- 12 litigation?
- 13 A No.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Mr. Cooke, I'm going to ask
- that WSDF000080 through -81 be produced, because there
- is no attorney-client privilege, as there is no
- relationships that is attorney-client privilege between
- 18 Mr. Naerebout and the Idaho Dairymen's Association and
- 19 the Washington State Dairy Federation.
- MR. COOKE: Disagree, but we can --
- MR. HARRINGTON: Your statement is noted.
- 22 MR. COOKE: Your statement is noted.
- 23 Q Now, with regard to the second document listed, Exhibit
- 24 206, a November 2012 draft comment letter to EPA
- 25 authored by Givens Pursley, how did you receive that



1 document? 2 MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope. 3 A That would have come from Hugh O'Riordan. 4 By email? 0 5 Α I would presume, yes. MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for 6 7 speculation. And do you recall who else was emailed on that -- on 8 0 that transmission? 9 10 MR. COOKE: Same objection. Outside the 11 scope. No, I don't. 12 A 13 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Misstates the 14 testimony. 15 MR. TEBBUTT: Mr. Cooke, we're going to ask that the email itself be produced that indicates who 16 17 received the document. 18 (Request for production.) 19 MR. TEBBUTT: We're not asking for the 20 content of the document, but just who the recipients 21 were, whether it was --22 MR. COOKE: I under- --23 MR. TEBBUTT: Just a moment, let me finish. 24 Whether it was directly to them, whether they were cc'd 25 or bcc'd.

```
1
                   MR. COOKE: I understand your comment and
 2
         your request.
 3
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. Will you produce that to
 4
         us?
 5
                   MR. COOKE: I'll ask my client to look
         through their files again see if that email does, in
 6
 7
         fact, exist. If it exists, I'll take a look at it. If
 8
         it's not a privileged communication in and of itself,
         I'll provide it to you. If it is privileged, I'll
 9
10
         amend my privilege log. That's the best I can do.
11
                   MR. TEBBUTT: All right. And I'm not asking
12
         for the content, just who the recipients were.
13
                   MR. COOKE: I understand.
14
                   MR. TEBBUTT:
                                 Okay.
15
                   MR. COOKE: And if it's a privileged
16
         communication, my privilege log will reflect who the
17
         recipients are.
18
                   MR. TEBBUTT: All right.
         Let's look at the document on Exhibit 206. And this
19
    0
20
         is -- the description is a technical review of EPA
         groundwater report by Olympus Technical Services.
21
22
              Who is Olympic -- Olympus Technical Services?
23
                   MR. COOKE: Again, outside the scope.
24
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Mr. Cooke, I don't know how you
25
         say it's outside the scope when this stuff was produced
```

1 as a privilege log. This is directly within the scope 2 3 MR. COOKE: You're talking about production issues, and production is not part of your list of 4 5 topics. So you're asking about documents that he's already said he doesn't -- he wasn't familiar with 6 7 this. He didn't help prepare it. 8 MR. TEBBUTT: This is -- this is silly. 9 If you had included in your list of deposition topics, 10 I want to know about how documents were produced, I 11 would have made sure Mr. Gordon was prepared to answer 12 these questions. 13 MR. TEBBUTT: Well, he -- this is -- this is 14 a natural part of questioning. 15 Go ahead and answer the question, Mr. Gordon. 16 Olympic [sic] Technical Services, at the moment I'm not 17 sure. 18 Are you familiar with the technical review of the EPA 19 groundwater report, the description of the document? 20 MR. COOKE: Same objection. 21 There were a number of technical reviews done on the Α 22 EPA groundwater report. 23 That WSDF helped commission? 0 24 Α Oh, there was a number -- we helped commission, helped 25 various groups commission, and others that we didn't.



- And there's a lot of them and they were a long time
 ago.

 But the Olympus Technical Services document is in your
- file, correct? So is it correct to state that WSDF
- 5 helped commission that particular set of comments?
- 6 MR. COOKE: Same objection. Calls for
- 7 speculation. He stated he's not familiar with these
- 8 documents.
- 9 MR. TEBBUTT: Let's not go into a narrative,
- 10 please. Just state your objection, please.
- 11 MR. COOKE: Well...
- 12 A I don't recall if this was one we were involved in or
- 13 not.
- 14 Q Do you have a list of the entities that WSDF was
- involved with commissioning to comment on the EPA
- 16 report?
- 17 A There may be a list. I can't recall. I know we were
- aware of a lot of them. Some of them we were involved
- in, and some we just heard or knew that were also
- 20 commenting, which we produced -- which we were directly
- involved in and which we just knew or were aware of. I
- can't, at this time, tell you which ones.
- 23 Q Let's ask about HDR Engineering. That's the fourth
- document on this page. Same thing, another entity who
- provided comments in the EPA report, correct?



1 MR. COOKE: Same objection. 2 Α I would assume so, given the information I've got. Well, in order to have, you know, some kind of an 3 0 4 attorney work product relationship, this would have had 5 to have been done at your request or your attorney's request, and you received a copy of this. So can't we 6 7 presume that WSDF was part of the commissioning of 8 this? 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection to form. 10 You may answer. 11 Α If it was done under -- by Pursley Givens [sic], we 12 were part of the commission of this. 13 And, again, your normal method of receiving documents Q 14 from Givens Pursley was by email, correct? 15 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates prior 16 testimony. 17 Α The final report we received by mail. 18 From whom? From Givens Pursley or from --19 Α From Pursley Givens [sic]. It was a big thick thing. Were you given opportunities to review draft reports? 20 0 21 I don't remember that, no. Α 22 Did you provide redline edits of any reports? 0 23 MR. HARRINGTON: Sounds like --24 MR. COOKE: Asked and answered. 25 MR. HARRINGTON: -- that sounds like



attorney-client communication. 1 2 I don't remember doing any of that, no. 3 MR. HARRINGTON: Charlie, are you moving on to another topic? 4 5 MR. TEBBUTT: I think so, yes. MR. HARRINGTON: Can we just address on the 6 record Ms. Rodgers Harris' -- Harris Rodgers? 7 8 MS. RODGERS HARRIS: Rodgers Harris. 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Rodgers Harris' status 10 representing the plaintiffs; is that correct? 11 MR. TEBBUTT: She has a common interest 12 consulting attorney agreement, yes, on this case. So 13 she is representing the plaintiffs. 14 MR. HARRINGTON: I just want to make sure we 15 had that. 16 MR. TEBBUTT: We stated that very early on in 17 the record. 18 Mr. Gordon, what was your involvement with EPA once the 19 September 2012 Lower Yakima Valley groundwater report 20 came out? 21 What was my involvement in what regard? Α 22 Well, did you -- let's start with, did you consult with 0 23 any of the cluster dairies when that report came out? 24 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague as to 25 consult and cluster.



- 1 Q You know who I'm talking about with cluster, right?
- 2 A Um-hmm.
- 3 O The cluster dairies?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q The ones we're talking about today: Bosma, Cow Palace,
- and the two DeRuyter facilities, correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A Did I talk to them?
- 10 0 Yes.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And who was your main contact -- or who did you
- contact, if more than one, at the Bosma facilities?
- 14 A Henry Bosma, Jr., and Hank Bosma, Sr., I believe.
- 15 Q How long have you known Hank Bosma?
- 16 A Fourteen, 15 years.
- 17 Q Since -- since you started working?
- 18 A Since I started, yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Has Mr. Bosma been on the board of the
- 20 Washington State Dairy Federation?
- 21 A Mr. Bosma, Sr. has not. Mr. Bosma, Jr. has.
- 22 Q And when was Mr. Bosma, Jr. on the -- on the board?
- 23 A Approximately 2002 or 2003 until about 2005. And those
- are approximates. I'd have to check.
- 25 Q Did Henry Bosma -- I'll refer to Henry Bosma, Jr. just



- 1 as "Henry." If I'm speaking of Henry Bosma Sr., I'll
- 2 call him "Hank," okay?
- 3 A Very good.
- 4 Q Did you consult with Henry Bosma about the EPA study
- 5 itself before the study came out. When EPA -- let me
- 6 just give you some background. When EPA first said, We
- 7 want to come out to the Yakima dairies and do some work
- 8 out there, will you let us onto your properties, did
- 9 you consult with Henry Bosma?
- 10 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Assumes facts
- 11 not in evidence.
- 12 You may answer.
- 13 A I may have.
- 14 Q Do you recall any specific consultation with them?
- 15 A I talked to a number of farms in March of 2012, I
- believe, would be the time frame you're referring to.
- 17 O March of 2012?
- 18 A Excuse me. March of 2010.
- 19 O Okay. And so with the number of farms, does that
- 20 include Cow Palace and the DeRuyter facilities that
- we're talking about today?
- 22 A I don't remember if I talked to Cow Palace. I do
- remember talking to Henry somewhere in that time frame
- around 2010, March or April of 2010.
- 25 Q What did you talk to Henry about?



- 1 A The one that I recall was he had called after EPA had
- 2 come to the farm in late March, early April, and we
- 3 talked about what they did a little bit. They took
- 4 samples, like soil samples, manure samples, walked
- 5 around. He was -- we talked about the initial EPA
- 6 knock at the door and where they announced they were
- 7 there to do science, and the farms could get a search
- 8 warrant if they wanted to.
- 9 Q So did you get advice to Mr. Bosma about how to respond
- 10 to EPA?
- 11 A I seem to recall I said, You might want to talk to your
- 12 attorney.
- 13 (Exhibit No. 207 marked for identification.)
- 14 Q Mr. Gordon, handing you what's been marked as Exhibit
- 15 207, an email from WSDF to various people, including
- 16 Hank Bosma, Henry Bosma, Bill Dolsen, Adam Dolsen, and
- George and Dan DeRuyter, among others, is that an email
- 18 that you sent?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O And so the email address, wsdf@msn.com, is that your
- 21 personal account for WSDF?
- 22 A That's the main email and the one that I use.
- 23 Q Are there other email addresses that you use on behalf
- of WSDF?
- 25 A I believe there's a Gmail account. We use it very



- 1 little.
- 2 Q Exhibit 207 discusses your -- well, describe for me
- 3 what you think Exhibit 207 is intended to do.
- 4 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague.
- 5 Q Do you understand my question? Do you understand my
- 6 question, Mr. Gordon?
- 7 A Yeah. I guess it is rather broad. What is it intended
- 8 to do? It's a communication to some key individuals in
- 9 the Yakima area about what's going on with the EPA at
- this point in time in early April. They are coming
- into the valley with a science team -- and I'm
- paraphrasing "science team," but I may have used that
- 13 term.
- 14 Q When you're saying "they," you're referring to EPA?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Again, just for purposes of today's deposition, if I
- ask a question and you don't understand it, I would
- 18 like you to tell me that. Otherwise, I'm going to
- 19 assume that you understood my question for the purposes
- of the record, okay?
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q All right. So why did you send them this
- 23 particular -- let me back up.
- Why this group of people that you sent this email
- 25 to? Is there a particular reason for this group of



- 1 people to be sent this email?
- 2 A This would loosely be folks I'm familiar with in
- 3 leadership roles, people I know.
- 4 Q What type of leadership roles?
- 5 A Dairy Federation board, some key people in the dairy
- 6 industry and the Yakima Valley Dairy Commission,
- 7 Darigold. Most of the people I was familiar with.
- 8 Q Are these some of the largest dairy producers in the
- 9 Yakima area?
- 10 A Doesn't really have anything do with size. There's
- some big ones there. I don't know all -- I don't know
- 12 all their sizes. That wasn't really a screen test for
- this.
- 14 Q Did these individuals ask you for assistance with the
- impending EPA study?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q So this is a list that you put together?
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A The -- the title, "FYI Tony et al.," Tony would be Tony
- Viega, first on the list. Tony, I believe, was still
- 22 my president at the time. And so this would be just
- kind of an update to my leadership and some other key
- individuals that are in the Yakima Valley.
- 25 Q And who's Steve George?



- 1 A Steve George is a part-time field -- field staff person
- for the Dairy Federation. I identified him earlier.
- 3 Q And he also works for another -- a Yakima County group;
- 4 is that correct?
- 5 A Not that I'm aware of. He has a number of businesses,
- 6 a plastics recycling company, a small farm.
- 7 Q So is that a Yakima County Dairy Federation?
- 8 A There's a Yakima County Dairy -- so Dairy Federation.
- 9 It's a subunit of our federation.
- 10 Q Okay. Does he head that up?
- 11 A He provides some staff assistance for that local team,
- 12 local group of Yakima dairy producers.
- 13 Q Okay. Is he the only WSDF staff that assists over
- there in the Yakima Valley?
- 15 A Yeah. We assist some from the office, but he's field
- staff. He's in the field and local, and that's one of
- 17 his primary duties.
- 18 Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 207 at the
- very bottom, it's a short email from Sage Consulting.
- 20 A Um-hmm.
- 21 Q That's Mr. George, correct?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q So in this capacity, did he BCC you? Is that how you
- got ahold of this -- this email?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. The document speaks



1 for itself. 2 A It would appear. 3 There -- it doesn't appear there. That's why I'm 0 4 asking. 5 Α Sorry. MR. COOKE: Same objection. The document 6 7 speaks for itself. It -- it would seem that I was bcc'd on the second Α 9 page --10 MR. COOKE: Are we looking at the same 11 document, Charlie? 12 MR. TEBBUTT: Second page of Exhibit 207 --13 MR. COOKE: Are you looking at the bottom? MR. TEBBUTT: -- at the very bottom. Right 14 down here. Yep. 15 16 MR. COOKE: Yeah. 17 Oh, okay. You are cc'd there. I apologize. 18 Do you agree with Mr. George's statement at the very last sentence? It said, "With financial resources 19 generally at a premium for regulatory compliance work, 20 21 voluntary compliance is the quickest and most cost-effective means to make changes." 22 23 Do you agree with that statement? MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Lack of 24 25 foundation.

- 1 A Not totally.
- 2 Q Okay. What do you disagree with?
- 3 A That's a generality, that last statement. It depends
- 4 on the circumstances. Mr. George is referring to
- 5 voluntary compliance, the last sentence -- the last
- 6 part of the sentence, "voluntary compliance is the
- 7 quickest and most cost-effective means to make
- 8 changes."
- 9 That would certainly be true, but it's not the
- only way to get there, I guess is the best way to
- 11 answer it. You could --
- 12 Q What do you think other ways are?
- 13 A Other ways to achieve compliance? What would be
- compliance -- the intent of your question?
- 15 Q Well, to achieve --
- 16 A To make changes or --
- 17 O Yeah. To -- to achieve compliance. What other ways
- are there besides voluntary?
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague.
- You can go ahead.
- 21 A Obviously, enforcement. Monetary penalties, changing
- rules. There's a number of different tools in the tool
- box for compliance or making changes.
- 24 Q So you believe those are other effective ways to bring
- about change as well?



- 1 A Absolutely.
- 2 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior
- 3 testimony.
- 4 Q Your answer was absolutely?
- 5 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstatements his
- 6 prior testimony.
- 7 MR. TEBBUTT: He just answered. He just said
- 8 absolutely. I just want to make sure that that's what
- 9 we all heard.
- 10 MR. COOKE: Same objection.
- 11 (Exhibit No. 208 marked for identification.)
- 12 Q Exhibit 208 in front of you, Mr. Gordon, is an email
- from you to Steve George and Chris Cheney. Do you see
- 14 that?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Who is Mr. Cheney?
- 17 A Mr. Cheney was our lobbyist, contract lobbyist at the
- 18 time.
- 19 Q So essentially he was the predecessor to Mr. Wood?
- 20 A Dan is an employee. Chris was a contract lobbyist.
- Job descriptions were quite a bit different.
- 22 Q Okay. So Mr. Cheney was the lobbyist for the
- Washington legislature?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And regulatory agencies as well?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And does Mr. Wood carry on those same duties as
- 3 legislative lobbyist and agency lobbyist?
- 4 A Yes. Among others.
- 5 Q What other -- well, tell me -- tell me Mr. Wood's full
- 6 job description.
- 7 A Government relations, work with the legislature, work
- 8 with legislative agencies or regulatory agencies, work
- 9 with industry partners. We lean heavily on the last
- section, which is "other duties as assigned," which
- includes cleaning the bathroom and emptying the waste
- 12 can.
- 13 He primarily works on policy, policy analyst,
- 14 policy regulations. So title is government affairs and
- 15 everything it entails.
- 16 Q When did Mr. Wood come on as an employee of WSDF?
- 17 A January 1 of 2013? Yes.
- 18 Q Was he brought on for any particular reason?
- 19 A We made changes. Mr. Cheney was retiring and we needed
- to fill that government relations and policy work that
- 21 Mr. Cheney had provided. That was in large part.
- 22 Q What is Mr. Wood's professional background?
- 23 A Mr. Wood's professional background would be -- I'll pay
- 24 for it later if I get it wrong. I believe 17 years
- with the Washington State Farm Bureau. He was a county



- 1 commissioner in Grays Harbor County for approximately
- five years. He's been with the Dairy Federation
- for -- since January 2013. Worked in ag policy arena
- 4 for a number of years.
- 5 Q Looking at Exhibit 208, you earlier testified about
- 6 having spoken with Henry Bosma about the impending EPA,
- 7 I believe you called it, science team.
- 8 A Um-hmm.
- 9 Q Is this email about that initial EPA science team
- 10 inquiry?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And had you reached out to Henry Bosma or did he reach
- out to you about this?
- 14 A If you're referring to the first line here, same report
- I just got from Henry Bosma at 5:00 last night, I don't
- remember if Henry called me or I called him. We
- 17 talked.
- 18 Q Henry Bosma has been an important member of WSDF, and
- 19 the Bosma facilities have been members of the WSDF for
- 20 quite some time, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q So they -- they're an important member to you?
- 23 A Yeah.
- 24 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague.
- 25 Q When the Bosma facility, Cow Palace facility got notice



- 1 from EPA of their intent -- or their desire to come on
- and do some testing on the dairy sites, did they
- 3 contact you right away to discuss that?
- 4 A If I recall, yes. That would have been much earlier
- 5 than this. March -- late March, I believe?
- 6 Q And did you give them the kind of advice that is
- 7 reflected in Exhibit 208?
- 8 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection --
- 9 A The first page?
- 10 MR. HARRINGTON: -- vague.
- 11 0 Yes.
- 12 A The three questions?
- 13 0 Yes.
- 14 A No, I don't remember doing that.
- 15 Q Did you instruct them to be evasive with EPA?
- 16 A This is a conversation in March that --
- 17 O Yes. In 2010.
- 18 A No. Actually, if I remember right, my understanding,
- it was a science team that was going to come, and I
- said, Let them on.
- 21 Q You didn't say make sure they have a warrant or
- 22 anything like that?
- 23 A No. Not in March.
- 24 Q Did you tell them what to allow them to do, whether to
- 25 allow them to take soil samples or anything to that



- 1 effect?
- 2 A I don't remember -- I don't remember saying that, no.
- 3 Q If you look at the second page --
- 4 A Um-hmm.
- 5 Q -- this is an email in the middle of the page from Adam
- 6 Dolsen to Steve George. Did Mr. George forward this
- 7 email to you from Adam Dolsen?
- 8 A It would appear, yes.
- 9 Q And Mr. George, in his role at Sage Consulting
- 10 Services, obviously wears different hats in different
- 11 situations, it appears, correct?
- 12 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vaque.
- 13 You can go ahead and answer.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Yes. So if you're emailing to Mr. George at Sage
- 16 Consulting Services, it could be as a representative of
- the Washington State Dairy Federation, correct?
- 18 A Sorry. If I'm --
- 19 Q Let me rephrase it.
- The email address is sageconsulting@bossig.com.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q But this is WSDF business, correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q So Mr. George's business email address for his own
- consulting business is also used for WSDF business,



1 correct? 2 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for a 3 legal conclusion. Vague. 4 Q You may answer. 5 Α It would appear, yes. And Mr. George sent an email to Adam Dolsen on April 6 Q 7 23rd concerning access to the Cow Palace Dairy by EPA. 8 Do you see that? Down at the bottom? Yes. 9 Α 10 Yes. 0 11 A Yes. 12 I don't see you cc'd on this, so I'm wondering how you 13 got that. Oh, all right. Never mind. At the top of 14 the second page of Exhibit 208 looks to be the forward --15 16 A Oh, yeah. 17 0 -- from Mr. George to you, correct? 18 FYI, yeah. A 19 MR. TEBBUTT: Why don't we take a short 20 break. We've been going for a little while and come 21 back. Five minutes? MR. COOKE: Sounds good. 22 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 24 10:31. 25 (Off the record.)



- 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the
- 2 record at 10:40.
- 3 Q Mr. Gordon, you understand every time we go back on the
- 4 record, you're still under oath, correct?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 (Exhibit No. 209 marked for identification.)
- 7 Q Mr. Gordon, you have in front of you Exhibit 209, which
- 8 is an email from Chris --
- 9 A Sybrandy.
- 10 Q -- Sybrandy. Who is Chris Sybrandy?
- 11 A Chris Sybrandy is our current association president,
- dairy farmer in the Skagit Valley.
- 13 Q And how long -- is that a he or a she?
- 14 A It's a he.
- 15 Q How long has he been president?
- 16 A Chris is about a year and a half now. January of 2013,
- 17 I believe, he was elected.
- 18 Q If you look at the second page of Exhibit 209, email
- 19 from you to various people, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q I'm going to ask you: Why that particular list of
- 22 people on the email of September 14, 2012?
- 23 A The initial recipients are the farms that were the
- 24 subject of the EPA investigation and science document,
- and other leadership in the area, as well as other



- 1 leadership around the state.
- 2 0 Who is Jason Sheehan?
- 3 A Jason Sheehan would be Tony Viega's son-in-law.
- 4 O And who's sfi@clearwire.net?
- 5 A I believe that's Bill Sheenstra [phonetic]. He was a
- 6 board member in Yakima at the time.
- 7 Q What about chamdairy2?
- 8 A That is Rex Chamberlain. He was on my board as an ex
- 9 officio at the time. He's in the Columbia Basin.
- 10 Q Foresterfarms, who are they?
- 11 A Duane Forester was on my board at the time.
- 12 0 The suntonfarms?
- 13 A That would be the Shulter [phonetic] family in Yakima,
- and she was on my board at the time.
- 15 Q TDVE, who are they?
- 16 A Tom DeVries.
- 17 Q Eaglemill?
- 18 A John DeYoung is a dairy farmer in Whatcom County on my
- 19 board.
- 20 0 What about walterab- --
- 21 A Abplanalp.
- 22 O Yeah.
- 23 A Walt is a board member from Chehalis, dairy farmer.
- 24 Q So other than the cluster dairy entities, were all the
- other members in the two group board members of WSDF?



- 1 A In the two?
- 2 Q Yeah.
- 3 A I don't believe Dan DeGroot was on my board at the
- 4 time.
- 5 Q Why was Mr. DeGroot a recipient of this email?
- 6 A Dan is a past board member. Keep -- keep fairly close
- 7 contact with Dan.
- 8 O And Steve Rowe, who's he?
- 9 A Steve Rowe is the -- I believe he's a senior vice
- 10 president of Darigold.
- 11 Q Why was he copied?
- 12 A Just an FYI.
- 13 Q What about Fred Likkel?
- 14 A Fred Likkel is a field staff person in Whatcom County.
- 15 Again, FYI.
- 16 Q For WSDF?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q What about Stuart Turner?
- 19 A I know Stu and I know he represents another -- works
- with a number of farms in the valley. FYI.
- 21 O Do you consider Mr. Turner to be a reliable source of
- advice to farmers, dairy farmers?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. Lack of
- 24 foundation.
- 25 A Yes, I do.



- 1 0 Well, you kind of smiled when I said that, so were
- 2 you -- is there -- is there something behind the answer
- 3 that I should know about about Mr. Turner and your
- 4 opinions of him?
- 5 A No. I think he does a good job as a consultant.
- 6 Q Do you talk to Mr. Turner at all about the Faria
- 7 litigation? Are you familiar with the Faria litigation
- 8 in Royal City?
- 9 A A little bit, not a lot.
- 10 Q Did you talk to Mr. Turner about it at all?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope.
- 12 A I have.
- 13 Q Did you talk with him about it before the case went to
- 14 trial?
- 15 A Before the Faria case went to trial?
- 16 0 Yes.
- 17 A You're going to have to give me more specifics. I
- 18 don't --
- 19 Q Do you recall that it went to trial in the -- I believe
- it was December of 2010.
- 21 A I don't recall having a conversation with Stu about the
- 22 case before -- yeah, nothing there. Sorry.
- 23 O Okay. Did you ever review any transcripts of
- Mr. Turner's testimony in the Faria case?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q Were you aware of his testimony at all during the Faria
- 2 case?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Have you ever asked him about his testimony in the
- 5 Faria case?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Has he ever told you about his testimony in the Faria
- 8 case?
- 9 A I don't think so.
- 10 Q The email of September 14, 2012, discusses the EPA
- 11 report, correct?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Document speaks for
- itself.
- 14 You can go ahead.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q This email was basically about the EPA report and the
- follow-up from EPA, correct, with the cluster dairies?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q You refer to the legal consent decree, which is the
- second page of Exhibit 209, WSDF001937.
- 21 You had a copy of it, I take it, at this point?
- 22 A Yes. I think so.
- 23 Q Okay. And this was before the EPA report was even
- released to the public, correct?
- 25 A I'm -- if I recall, the EPA report was released after



- 1 this -- after the 14th, yes.
- 2 Q Somewhere around the 27th of September, correct?
- 3 A That's about what I remember.
- 4 Q So you had a copy of the consent decree. Who provided
- 5 it to you?
- 6 A I believe I got that from Hank Bosma.
- 7 Q And your comment is, "Generally it needs to be torn up
- and start with a blank sheet of paper, "correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And so did you provide consultation to the cluster
- dairies about the content about the -- I'll call it the
- 12 AOC, the Administrative Order and Consent that
- eventually became the AOC?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
- 15 Q Do you understand that --
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection, vague.
- 17 0 -- terminology?
- 18 A No, I don't.
- 19 Q Okay. The AOC is the Administrative Order and Consent
- 20 that the cluster dairies eventually entered into with
- 21 EPA and signed in March of 2013.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q So are you familiar with the term "Administrative Order
- and Consent, " then?
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 Q And that's what I'll be referring to. I'll be calling
- 2 it the "AOC."
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q So you provided strategic advice to the cluster dairies
- 5 about the AOC process, correct?
- 6 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague, misstates
- 7 the testimony.
- 8 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague. Misstates the
- 9 testimony.
- 10 A I don't know what you mean by "strategic advice."
- 11 Q Well, you consulted with the cluster dairies'
- principals about the AOC language, correct?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague.
- 14 A We talked about it, yes.
- 15 Q And who did you talk with?
- 16 A In what time frame?
- 17 Q Let's start in September of 2012.
- 18 A I recall talking to Hank and Henry. Obviously, this is
- 19 also communication, and they were on this
- 20 communication. So given both the email communication
- and any verbal conversations I might have had, I talked
- 22 with all of them.
- 23 Q Did you attend any of the meetings with EPA and the
- 24 cluster dairies?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q Did you have --
- 2 A Let -- with one caveat. There was a Dairy Federation
- 3 meeting in, I believe, late September. Tom Eaton was
- 4 there. At that meeting with Tom Eaton, there were a
- 5 number of the cluster dairies as well as dairy farmers.
- 6 Q Was that before or after the EPA report was released
- 7 publicly?
- 8 A I believe it was -- the EPA report was publicly
- 9 available prior to that meeting, but it was about the
- same time frame, that 27th, if I remember right, 26,
- 11 27, 28 time frame.
- 12 Q And was that meeting convened especially to address the
- 13 EPA report?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And where did that meeting take place?
- 16 A Snipes Restaurant in Sunnyside.
- 17 O Is that the Snipes Mountain Brewery?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Do you have a special conference room for that meeting?
- 20 A There's a side room. There's the main restaurant and
- 21 there's a side room for group gatherings and we, I'm
- sure, rented the room or -- I think we just rented the
- 23 room. Bad acoustics, by the way.
- 24 Q Was that open to all WSDF members?
- 25 A I can't recall the exact invitation, but generally,



- 1 yeah.
- 2 Q Do you have an email of who was invited to that
- 3 particular meeting?
- 4 A I think it was just a generic invitation that may have
- 5 gone out in our weekly news, that may have gone out
- 6 specifically in emails. It was well broadcast to the
- 7 membership in the Yakima area.
- 8 O How well attended was it?
- 9 A Pretty well attended. Sixty, rough guess.
- 10 Q The third page of Exhibit 209 at the very bottom, it
- 11 says, "The Report and Analysis."
- 12 You have some comments about the report including
- that there does not appear to be a peer review. What
- is your understanding about the EPA report and whether
- it was peer reviewed?
- 16 A Now or as of the time frame of this document?
- 17 O As of the time frame of the document.
- 18 A This would have been -- this would have been our early
- 19 concerns about the peer review. It -- it seemed to be
- 20 missing -- it seemed to be missing some peer review,
- 21 and I may have done some checking -- I know I did some
- checking, whether it was -- it appears right before
- 23 this on what was required for EPA on their science to
- have for peer-review quality. And we had concerns that
- 25 this is -- surprised it's this early, but we had



1 concerns about the peer-review quality of this 2 document, and this would have been September 15th. So, 3 yeah, we -- we were concerned and remain concerned about the peer-review quality of the document. 4 5 What do you think is lacking in peer review of EPA 6 study? 7 My analysis of what EPA -- again, just Googling, EPA 8 has some guidance on peer review for documents like the science manual. I believe there's a White House order 9 10 from -- I can't remember the exact name of the order, 11 but there's an order from 1996 that gives guidance to 12 agencies on what they need to have for peer review. There's very little congressional guidance on peer 13 14 review, but there is both White House guidance and EPA 15 quidance that I've reviewed on what peer review needs 16 to be included and incorporated into a peer-review 17 document -- or into a document. 18 EPA -- I don't know if I knew it at this time, but in the process of analyzing this, EPA classified this 19 20 science as highly influential, which carries a 21 heavier -- a higher level, according to EPA or that 22 White House document, a higher level of peer-review 23 expectation. And so those -- both EPA and the White House peer-review standards, we've been unabashed that 24 25 we don't see that they were met.



The last page of Exhibit 209. Talk about the process 1 0 2 problem, and you say in the second paragraph there, you don't -- to paraphrase, you don't think that by the 3 4 four farms changing their practices they'll make any 5 difference to the nitrate problem in the area, correct? MR. COOKE: Objection. The document speaks 6 7 for itself. 8 Α Could you state the question again? 9 Yeah. You're saying the four farms. We're talking 10 about the cluster farms at this point, you are, 11 correct, in that -- in that document? 12 Yes. Four families, yes. Α 13 And that they alone wouldn't make any difference if 14 they fixed their manure management practices, I assume you were referring to; is that right? 15 16 My statement is -- it's written right there. I mean, I 17 think I said it pretty well. Is that alone these 18 farms -- yeah, the -- the two sentences together really 19 state my opinion. I mean, I can read them back to you. 20 No, I'm not asking you to do that. What I'm trying to 21 get at is, you don't disagree, as you state it in the 22 paragraph above, that that's a serious nitrate problem 23 in the Lower Yakima Valley, correct? 24 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for expert 25 opinion.



- 1 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague.
- 2 A No, I don't disagree.
- 3 Q Okay. And what -- has WSDF made a determination about
- 4 how much of the problem that dairies are contributing
- 5 to the nitrate problem versus other forms of
- 6 agriculture?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for expert
- 8 opinion.
- 9 A Determination? No.
- 10 Q Do you have an opinion about how much the dairies are
- 11 contributing to the problem versus other agriculture in
- 12 the area?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q No opinion whatsoever?
- 15 A I would characterize our opinion as we're part of the
- 16 community, and so we're part of the problem.
- 17 Q You don't disagree that the dairies are contributing to
- the contamination problem of nitrates in the
- 19 groundwater --
- 20 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for a legal
- 21 conclusion.
- 22 Q -- is that right?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Calls for expert opinion and
- vague.
- 25 A State the question again.



- 1 Q You would agree that the dairies are contributing to
- 2 the overall problem of nitrate contamination in the
- 3 Lower Yakima Valley, correct?
- 4 A No.
- 5 MR. COOKE: Same objection.
- 6 MR. HARRINGTON: Same objection.
- 7 O You wouldn't?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Who's responsible for the nitrate contamination in the
- 10 Lower Yakima Valley, then?
- 11 MR. HARRINGTON: Same objections.
- 12 A The list is long from a time and distance standpoint.
- 13 Q And you think the dairies aren't part of the problem?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 15 Q I think you just testified earlier that they were part
- of the problem. So are you now saying that they aren't
- 17 part of the problem?
- 18 A I think the dairies are both part of the problem and
- 19 part of the solution. And the characterization of that
- depends on the time frame of what we're looking at.
- 21 Q Tell me more about that. What do you mean by "the time
- 22 frame"?
- 23 A The time frame would be agriculture and dairy have been
- part of the Yakima Valley for 100 or 150 years.
- Obviously, the nitrates came from somewhere, and



1 agriculture is a source. 2. The question the EPA report raised was when did those nitrates get in the groundwater and where did 3 4 they come from? And I think those are still the 5 questions that we have answered -- not answered. You believe that the dairies are contributing to that 6 7 problem? 8 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior testimony. 9 10 A Today? 11 MR. HARRINGTON: Asked and answered. 12 Q Yes. 13 I don't believe the dairies today are. 14 When did they stop contributing? 15 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for expert 16 opinion and speculation. 17 Α I don't -- vaque question. 18 Six months ago? A year ago? Two years ago? 19 MR. COOKE: Same objections. 20 Give me an answer. You said at some point you said 21 that -- you don't think they are today. Well, when did 22 they stop becoming a problem?



23

24

25

testimony.

MR. COOKE: Same objections.

MR. HARRINGTON: Mischaracterizes the

- 1 A I don't know how to answer that question.
- 2 Q I'm sorry?
- 3 A I don't know how to answer that question.
- 4 Q Based on your testimony, at some point they were
- 5 contributing to the problem. You would agree with
- 6 that?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior
- 8 testimony.
- 9 A That's an assumption on my part, yes.
- 10 Q So do you think it was during the '90s that they
- 11 contributed to the problem?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 13 A It's possible.
- 14 0 In the 2000s?
- 15 A It's possible.
- 16 Q And what about this decade, 2010 to present?
- 17 A I think it's less likely.
- 18 Q Why?
- 19 A I think farm plans and management -- and I'm speaking
- in general terms. I think farm plans, management,
- 21 cropping histories, cropping patterns, dairy patterns
- have changed significantly since the 2000s and
- especially in the last eight, ten, 12 years, 15 years.
- 24 Q And are you basing this -- when you say the "farm
- 25 plans, " are you talk about the dairy nutrient



- 1 management plans?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q So are you saying that if the facilities complied with
- 4 the dairy nutrient management plans that they wouldn't
- 5 be contributing to the nitrate loading problem in the
- 6 Yakima Valley?
- 7 A That's correct, yes.
- 8 Q So if they weren't complying with the dairy nutrient
- 9 management plans, would you be concerned about that?
- 10 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection to form.
- 11 A Complying -- I may be.
- 12 Q You might be concerned, depending on what they weren't
- 13 complying with?
- 14 A There's a lot of -- yeah. There's a lot of variables.
- 15 Q Right. But if it was shown that the dairy nutrient
- management plans weren't being complied with even
- within the last year, would that affect your opinion
- about whether the dairies are contributing to the
- 19 nitrate problem?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for
- 21 speculation, incomplete hypothetical, and vague.
- 22 Q Go ahead and answer.
- 23 A Okay. Say the question again, please. If -- I'll let
- you say it.
- 25 MR. TEBBUTT: Can you read it back please,



```
1
         Kylie?
 2
              (Question read as follows:)
 3
              "QUESTION: But it was shown that the dairy
 4
         nutrient management plans weren't being complied with
         even within the last year, would that affect your
 5
         opinion about whether the dairies are contributing to
 6
 7
         the nitrate problem?"
 8
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Same objections.
9
                   MR. COOKE: Also object that it's outside the
10
         scope of the deposition notice.
         It might. It would depend. Farm plans could be not
11
   Α
12
         being complied with. It would have nothing -- no
         impact, no difference, no effect. It would depend.
13
14
         Well, if, for instance, field application information
15
         was not taken into account, like -- well, let me ask
16
         you: The things that would be critical for manure
17
         application that you would need to know -- and you're a
18
         farmer yourself, so you would know this, is -- and you
         testified about this earlier. You would need to know
19
20
         what crop is going on a field, right?
21
   Α
         Yes.
22
         What the nitrate levels are in the soil before you
   0
23
         plant the crop and whether additional fertilizer is
24
         needed, right?
25 A
         Yes.
```



So you look at the residual nitrates, as well? 1 0 2 Α Yes. And what the uptake of a particular crop, the optimal 3 0 4 nitrate levels for optimal uptake would be, correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 And so in order to do that, you would look at past crop 7 yields to determine what you believe the optimal manure 8 application rates and nitrate levels should be in the 9 soil for an optimal crop yield, correct? 10 Yes. Α 11 And if those weren't complied with, if the numbers of 0 12 nitrates were -- the residual nitrates were higher than 13 the optimal crop need and the manure is still being 14 applied, that would be reason to be concerned about 15 nitrates still being contributed to the soil at levels 16 beyond which the crop could use? 17 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for an expert 18 opinion --19 0 Correct? 20 MR. COOKE: -- and speculation. 21 It could be but it might not be. It really depends. Α 22 Do you recall doing an interview with an industry 0 23 publication about the nitrate contamination problem in 24 the Lower Yakima Valley? 25 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague.



- 1 A Which publication?
- 2 Q Have you reviewed any YouTube -- any pieces of like a
- 3 TV interview that you have done that's been posted on
- 4 YouTube?
- 5 A Have I done -- say that again.
- 6 Q Yeah. Have you done any interviews with a photo
- 7 journalist about the problems of contamination in the
- 8 Lower Yakima Valley?
- 9 A Oh, I don't remember that. It's possible. No -- that
- 10 I -- I don't remember doing an interview on camera, no.
- 11 It's possible.
- 12 Q You do quite a few interviews about this issue, right?
- 13 A Yeah, some, but not photo.
- 14 Q Well, video?
- 15 A It's possible.
- 16 Q Earlier, Mr. Gordon, you testified about a conversation
- 17 with --
- 18 (Exhibit No. 210 marked for identification.)
- 19 Q -- a conversation with Deb Kristensen about this
- deposition. Does this document, Exhibit 210, refresh
- 21 your recollection about that conversation?
- 22 A Sorry, the question again?
- 23 Q Yeah, this is an email -- I'll rephrase it -- an email
- from you to Steve Rowe at Darigold, correct?
- 25 A Yes.



And this is about your conversation with Deb 2 Kristensen, correct? MR. HARRINGTON: Objection to the extent this 3 is going to try to get into the content of a 4 5 conversation. But if it's just --MR. COOKE: I have the same objection. 6 7 MR. HARRINGTON: -- if it's just asking about 8 this email, you may answer. 9 MR. TEBBUTT: That's what I asked. 10 A This refreshes my memory. 11 Q It does? 12 Α Sure. 13 So you had a conversation with Deb Kristensen about who 14 would represent you at this deposition? 15 Α Yes, I did. 16 0 And so she was not representing you -- she declined to 17 represent you for the purpose of this deposition, 18 correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 Were you ever part of any conversations with Deb 21 Kristensen and JT Cooke? Not that I recall. 22 A 23 And Deb told you that she was going to consult with JT 24 Cooke about the deposition, correct? 25 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for attorney



- 1 -client communications.
- 2 MR. TEBBUTT: There's no attorney-client
- 3 privilege in that particular situation. She declined
- 4 to represent him.
- 5 MR. COOKE: Can you repeat the question?
- 6 Q Deb Kristensen told you that she would be consulting
- 7 with JT Cooke about the deposition, correct?
- 8 A At this time?
- 9 Q Yes.
- 10 A I don't recall if she said that or no.
- 11 Q Okay. Well, you state it in your email, don't you?
- 12 MR. COOKE: Objection. Document speaks for
- itself.
- 14 A No, that's not what it says.
- 15 Q It says he and Deb were to talk Friday.
- 16 A But it doesn't say whether Deb said that or whether
- 17 Jason -- JT said that.
- 18 Q Okay. Mr. Gordon, I'm going to show you a YouTube
- video and ask you a couple questions about it.
- 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I've got five minutes
- left. Do you want to make a tape change now?
- MR. TEBBUTT: No, I should be able to handle
- 23 it.
- 24 Q That's you, isn't it?
- 25 (Video playing.)



- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q Star of stage and screen. Who's the gentleman that
- 3 you're -- that you're with, do you know?
- 4 A No, I don't.
- 5 Q Do you know what entity he's with?
- 6 (Video playing.)
- 7 Q Do you know who -- do you know who that is?
- 8 A I don't. I may remember as I watch the video, but I
- 9 don't recall.
- 10 Q Do you know where the video was shot?
- 11 A I think this was down at the Oregon Dairy Convention.
- 12 Q All right.
- 13 A I don't remember when yet, but...
- 14 Q And you stated earlier that you believe there are
- multiple sources of the nitrate contamination in the
- 16 Lower Yakima Valley, correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And one of them, historically, at least, one of those
- 19 sources, at least, is the dairies, correct?
- 20 A Could be, yes.
- 21 Q All right. And you state that again here in this
- interview, don't you?
- 23 (Video playing.)
- 24 Q You agree that -- well, let's see. Let's back up here.
- 25 (Video playing .)



- 1 Q Do you admit there that the dairies are part of the
- 2 problem?
- 3 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for a legal
- 4 conclusion.
- 5 Q They are part of the village that messed up the
- 6 agriculture, correct?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Same objection. Go ahead.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 MR. COOKE: Asked and answered.
- 10 Q I'm going to show you another segment where you talk
- about settlement agreements. I'll just run that clip
- 12 briefly.
- 13 (Video playing.)
- 14 Q So you're talking about the citizens at this point, at
- that particular point of the video; is that right?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
- speculation. He hasn't been allowed to review the
- entire YouTube video. You're taking snippets.
- 19 Q Do you need to review the entire video to understand my
- question and give an answer?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Okay. So you're talking about the citizen suits at
- 23 this point, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And that they usually settle out of court for



- 1 undisclosed sums?
- 2 A That's what I said, yes.
- 3 Q Are you aware of any settlements that have been
- 4 undisclosed between the citizens and the dairies?
- 5 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague.
- 6 A Tell me what you mean. Rephrase it.
- 7 Q Well, you said they usually settle out of court for
- 8 undisclosed sums. What's your basis for that
- 9 statement?
- 10 A I don't know.
- 11 Q You have no basis for that statement, do you?
- 12 A I think that's -- I don't know, it's common knowledge
- in the valley that settlement -- can't tell you what it
- 14 was for. That's the basis.
- 15 Q Are you talking about any of the CARE litigation when
- 16 you're referring to that in that particular video?
- 17 A I believe that's what I said, yeah.
- 18 Q What CARE litigation do you believe has been
- 19 undisclosed -- settlements, CARE settlements have been
- 20 undisclosed?
- 21 A Do you want me to go through the list that I'm aware
- 22 of?
- 23 Q Sure. Yeah, please.
- 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm going to need to make
- 25 a disk change here.



```
1
                   MR. TEBBUTT: All right.
 2
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: So we're going to end Disc
 3
        No. 1 in the deposition of Jay Gordon. We're going go
         off the record at 11:21.
 4
 5
                                        (Off the record.)
 6
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is going to begin
 7
         Disk No. 2 in the deposition of Jay Gordon. We're
8
         going back on the record at 11:27.
9
         Mr. Gordon, when we changed tape, we were -- you were
10
         just about to list the CARE settlement agreements that
11
         you believe had undisclosed terms of settlement, right?
12
         I believe -- yes.
   Α
         What ones -- give us the list.
13
    0
14
         So the ones I'm aware of are kind of common knowledge
15
         going back a number of years is -- CARE has engaged in
16
         whatever legal action -- whether it was litigation or
17
         not, I don't know -- legal action -- Bosma family.
18
         believe there was the group in 1996 that's just
19
         generally referred to as the lawsuit of
         Tanner-something. That was part of my employment.
20
21
         certainly aware of that litigation. I believe there
22
         were settlements in many of those cases. I think eight
23
         is the number I've heard over the years. Litigation
24
         against Jake and Jimmy DeRuyter. Again, don't know the
25
         terms of what the settlement was or what the litigation
```



1 was or how the outcome was. Those are the ones that 2 come to mind, but that characterizes the list of actions and... 3 Okay. Did you ever look into whether those documents, 4 5 those settlements were actually public records? 6 Α No. 7 So you're just making an assertion without a basis of 0 8 knowledge, then, correct? Based on rumor? 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. 10 MR. COOKE: Objection. 11 MR. HARRINGTON: Mischaracterizes testimony. 12 MR. COOKE: And asked and answered. I've been characterizing the general sense of the 13 Α 14 knowledge in the valley including my own. 15 So if I were to represent to you that all the CARE 16 settlements have been a matter of public record, have 17 been filed with federal district courts, and are 18 available to any member of the public, would you be 19 able to disagree with that? I would check and see if that were true. 20 Α 21 Well, I would suggest you do that before you make 22 assertions in public again that there are undisclosed 23 settlements. 24 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. 25 MR. COOKE: Is there a question there?



1 MR. HARRINGTON: It's improper. Go ahead and 2 ask a question if you have one, Mr. Tebbutt. Wouldn't that be your due diligence to determine 3 4 whether those rumors are true before you restate them --5 6 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. 7 -- in public --0 8 MR. COOKE: Objection. Argumentative. 9 MR. TEBBUTT: Hold on a second, let me finish 10 my question. 11 MR. COOKE: I'm getting my objection in. 12 MR. TEBBUTT: No. You wait until I finish my 13 question before you -- the courtesy is to wait until I 14 finish my question. 15 So my question is: Wouldn't it be the prudent thing to 16 do to determine whether the rumors are true before you 17 simply pass those rumors along in, you know, televised public interviews? 18 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vaque. 20 Argumentative. 21 I think that's an excellent suggestion. 22 Good. I hope you'll take it. 0 23 MR. COOKE: You want to talk about 24 courtesies? 25 Q I'm going to show you just one more clip, Mr. Gordon,



from that YouTube video. 1 2 (Video playing.) 3 Is that enough? 0 4 Α Um-hmm. 5 I'm going to stop the clip there. That was at about the seven-minute, 30-second mark of the YouTube video. 6 7 You said the science was unconscionably bad and that 8 the science was, I think you said, horrible. 9 What do you base that conclusion on? 10 As I mentioned earlier, the peer review was initially a 11 concern, and the more we explored that -- just that one 12 example of what the quality that peer review should have was a big piece of that. I mean, there's a lot of 13 their technical details that are in the reports of 14 15 various people across. But for us, one of the things 16 that was a piece of that and led to that emotion that 17 you see in that tape would have been the peer review. So when you stated that the EPA science was atrocious, 18 19 I believe was the word you used, you're saying that 20 that was an emotional representation of it? 21 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates the prior 22 testimony. 23 It was both emotional and based on -- it was --24 the peer review was terrible. It was not conducted 25 according to EPA's own standards, White House



- 1 standards, guidance for peer review that we saw that
- was required of the agency.
- 3 Q Okay. And so that's your opinion based on -- based on
- 4 what?
- 5 A The -- looking at those guidances that EPA has and that
- 6 the White House has issued for that science.
- 7 Q A few minutes ago we talked a little bit about -- you
- 8 said that you believe the dairies were a contributing
- 9 factor to the nitrate problem in the Lower Yakima
- 10 Valley but they're not anymore. Is that a fair
- 11 paraphrase of what you said earlier?
- 12 A That's generally. It's...
- 13 Q Okay. What management practices have changed that you
- believe have made the dairies stop being part of the
- 15 problem?
- 16 A I think there's a number of things that have made us
- better managers: More intense cropping, better
- 18 varieties of corn, triticale, alfalfa.
- 19 Q That are varieties in what respect?
- 20 A Higher yielding, earlier -- earlier maturing. For
- instance, double cropping has become a very standard
- 22 practice in the valley, you see a lot of corn and
- triticale or alfalfa, corn, and triticale rotations.
- I think the attention to detail in irrigation
- water management, soil testing, genetics, general



- levels of knowledge I've seen increase in the 15, 20
- 2 years I've been paying attention. Those are examples.
- 3 Q What about the lagoons? Have the lagoons changed over
- 4 time?
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague.
- 7 Q So lagoons that were built in the 1980s or 1990s that
- are still there, those haven't changed, have they?
- 9 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
- 10 speculation.
- 11 A May have, I don't know.
- 12 Q How would they have changed?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
- 14 speculation.
- 15 A An example, lagoons can be retrofitted. I'm not aware
- of any that have, but it's possible. That would be an
- example of a lagoon changing.
- 18 Q And if the lagoons hadn't changed and they were part of
- the problem 20 years ago, they would still be a part of
- the problem today, right?
- 21 MR. COOKE: Objection.
- 22 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for expert
- 23 opinion. Calls for speculation.
- 24 A There's an assumption that they were part of the
- 25 problem 20 years ago that I don't -- I don't believe.



- 1 O You don't believe that the lagoons are a problem?
- 2 A You said lagoons 20 years ago. You were inferring an
- assumption that lagoons 20 years ago were a problem,
- 4 and I don't believe that.
- 5 O You don't believe they were a problem ever, the
- 6 lagoons?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q What science do you have to back that up?
- 9 A We've reviewed a lot of the science that's come in over
- 10 the course of the last two years.
- 11 Q What science is that?
- 12 A It's published on the EPA -- a lot of the science was
- referred to in the EPA comments.
- 14 0 In the comments that WSDF commissioned?
- 15 A Some that we commissioned, some that we didn't.
- 16 Q And you have a list of that science that you believe
- 17 confirms your opinion that the lagoons are not a
- 18 problem?
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates the prior
- 20 testimony.
- 21 A I would go to the EPA website and look at those -- the
- science that I referred to is on the EPA website.
- 23 Q Have you looked at any of the Department of Ecology
- studies about lagoons and their impacts on groundwater?
- 25 A It's possible.



- 1 Q Have you looked at any of the Department of Ecology
- 2 studies in the Sumas-Blaine aquifer?
- 3 A I think I've seen one, yeah, a long time ago.
- 4 Q Have you seen Ecology's conclusions that the dairies
- 5 are a major part of the problem in the Sumas-Blaine
- 6 aquifer?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. Assumes facts not in
- 8 evidence.
- 9 A No, I haven't seen that.
- 10 Q Have you looked?
- 11 A I can't recall.
- 12 Q Have you ever reviewed a study on the Edaleen Dairy?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Done by Dennis Erickson from Department of Ecology?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Have you ever reviewed any studies by Dennis Erickson
- from the Department of Ecology about dairy
- 18 contributions to nitrate contamination in groundwater?
- 19 A No. Not that I recall.
- 20 Q Have you ever reviewed any of the studies by Melanie
- 21 Redding Kimsey about nitrate contamination from dairies
- to groundwater?
- 23 A Doesn't ring a bell.
- 24 Q She works for the Department of Ecology. She's a
- 25 hydrogeologist.



- 1 A No. Haven't seen that.
- 2 Q Do you know Kevin Freeman?
- 3 A I know who he is.
- 4 0 Who is he?
- 5 A He's a -- works for Arcadis Consulting Firm.
- 6 Q When did you first meet Mr. Freeman?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection --
- 8 A Go ahead.
- 9 MR. COOKE: -- assumes facts not in evidence.
- 10 Go ahead.
- 11 A I believe I've only met Kevin once. It was at a
- meeting. It was either April of '12 or April of '13, I
- think. I can't remember which year. I think it was
- 14 '13.
- 15 Q 2013?
- 16 A 2013.
- 17 Q Was that right after the AOC was signed by the dairies?
- 18 A It would have been, I believe, yes.
- 19 Q You were present during the appeal of the Pollution
- 20 Control Hearings Board case of the 2006 Washington
- 21 General CAFO Permit, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Does that refresh your recollection about meeting
- 24 Mr. Freeman another time?
- 25 A Yep. That's right. I forgot about that. He was an



- 1 expert witness or testified there.
- 2 Q On -- on behalf of the Washington State Dairy
- 3 Federation, right?
- 4 A Yeah, he did, yes.
- 5 MR. COOKE: Objection. It's also outside the
- 6 scope of the deposition topics.
- 7 Q Did you spend some time talking with Mr. Freeman then
- 8 about your case?
- 9 A The case at the time?
- 10 Q Yeah, the case at the time, the PCHB appeal.
- 11 A Oh, gosh.
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope of
- the deposition topics.
- 14 A I -- I don't remember the nature of our conversations
- 15 at the time. It's been a long time.
- 16 Q Anything else I could do to refresh your recollection
- of whether you've met Mr. Freeman at other times?
- 18 A You could try, sure.
- 19 Q Have you ever been on site of any of the cluster
- 20 dairies yourself?
- 21 A I've been on the farms, yes.
- 22 Q When were you -- let's keep it to the 2010 and forward
- time frame. When were you on the Bosma Dairy from
- 24 2- -- what started in 2010. Were you ever on the Bosma
- Dairy in 2010 right around the time when EPA said they



- were going to come out and conduct some -- some tests?
- 2 A I don't think so, no.
- 3 Q Okay. Same question -- would you give the same answer
- for Cow Palace and the two DeRuyter facilities?
- 5 A Yes, I would.
- 6 Q Okay. Tell me when you believe you were on site at
- 7 those facilities since 2010.
- 8 A Oh, good gracious. I would have probably been on the
- 9 farms in September of '12 -- of 2012. I know I went
- 10 over there shortly after the AOC -- the initial AOC
- language and the science report was given to the
- 12 families.
- 13 Q And did you go to each of the facilities?
- 14 A I don't remember. I remember --
- 15 Q Let's go with what you do remember.
- 16 A I remember going to the Bosma Dairy.
- 17 O Let me stop you there. Who were you with?
- 18 A I believe I was with myself.
- 19 Q Just you, and did you meet with Henry?
- 20 A I think I saw Henry.
- 21 Q Did you take a tour of the facility?
- 22 A I could just -- I think at that meeting in September, I
- went to his office on one of the dairies.
- 24 Q And what did you talk about?
- 25 A The science and the AOC that had been presented to



- 1 them.
- 2 Q Give me more specifics. What did you talk about? Did
- 3 you talk about the soil sampling?
- 4 A I don't remember that, no. I remember --
- 5 Q Did you -- did you review any -- any documents of
- 6 theirs?
- 7 A I would have -- I think that was about the time, so in
- 8 September of 2012 would have been when I got a
- 9 copy -- I think Hank came down to the office with Henry
- and I, and I got a copy of the science compendium that
- the EPA had submitted and a copy of the AOC language.
- 12 And I think we spent most of the time talking about
- that.
- 14 Q Did they give you or did you ask for any of their soil
- 15 sampling records?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Did you look at their dairy nutrient management plan?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did you do any kind of drive-around through the
- 20 facility?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q How long were you there?
- 23 A Half an hour, 45 minutes.
- 24 Q And the purpose of the meeting was what?
- 25 A Check in with them and see how they were doing, get a



- 1 report on what they were confronted with and how they
- were doing and what was going on.
- 3 Q Did you have similar meetings with people from Cow
- 4 Palace?
- 5 A I may have. I do remember, that time frame, meeting
- 6 Dan and, I believe, George DeRuyter. Just having a
- 7 hard time picturing where we met at and what that
- 8 conversation was about. But I remember I went over
- 9 there to talk to the families.
- 10 Q And that was just you?
- 11 A Yes. I believe so.
- 12 Q And you said you met with the DeRuyter families. Did
- 13 you meet with the Dolsens?
- 14 A I don't remember. I've met with them a number of times
- and I've been on their farm once, maybe twice --
- 16 0 When?
- 17 A -- in the last two years.
- 18 Q In the last two years.
- 19 A I think we had a meeting there maybe early October, and
- 20 that was a meeting -- we had a meeting at
- 21 DeRuyter -- at Dolsen's farm, and there would have
- been, I think, DeRuyters and Dan was there, Henry, and
- 23 the Haak family and the Dolsens. And I think it was
- 24 just Bill. I don't think Adam Dolsen was there. So
- 25 that would have been on the Dolsen farm.



- 1 Q And what was discussed then?
- 2 A I think it was a lot about the -- again, the EPA
- 3 science, the questions that we had, who was going to
- 4 address what the quality of the science was. Probably
- 5 talked a little about the current AOC. That would have
- 6 been my recollection of the main conversation.
- 7 Q Did you ever attend a meeting where Bud Hover was also
- 8 present at the dairies?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Do you remember being part of a discussion with the
- 11 Department of Agriculture -- Ginny Prest, Julie Morgan,
- or Bud Hover -- about a meeting that was to take place
- at some of the cluster dairies?
- 14 A I remember conversations. Ginny, Julie -- I can't
- 15 remember. I think most of them were either email or
- phone -- but -- and I can't remember the time frame.
- 17 It was not too long ago. I'd have to look for a time
- 18 frame.
- 19 (Off the record.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the
- 21 record at 11:50.
- 22 Q Mr. Gordon, you have in front of you a tablet with
- 23 Exhibit 35. I'm going to hand you a copy, which is the
- same thing. I'm not going to ask the court reporter to
- 25 remark it, but it's Exhibit 35 that's been prior



- 1 marked.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q You're copied on this email --
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q -- correct? Does this refresh your recollection about
- 6 whether you participated in a meeting with Director Bud
- 7 Hover of the Washington State Department of Agriculture
- 8 at the dairies -- the cluster dairies?
- 9 A Yeah. I wasn't here.
- 10 Q You were not?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Do you know if Mr. Wood was present at that meeting?
- 13 A I'd have to ask.
- 14 Q Do you know if you designated or delegated this task to
- someone else from the Dairy Federation to attend?
- 16 A It's possible.
- 17 Q Did you provide comments on this meeting and the
- 18 agenda?
- 19 A Vaguely remember discussions about the agenda, the
- 20 renewable natural gas especially. I think that was one
- of the things we wanted Bud to see. And then No. 2
- 22 speaks for itself, it says, "Impacts from the EPA
- 23 decree." And vaguely remember discussions from, I
- think it was, Ginny, may have been with Julie on just
- 25 Bud go over and listen to the families.



- 1 Q So when you say, "We wanted them to see," are you
- 2 talking about you and Ginny Prest?
- 3 A Sorry? Say --
- 4 O Yeah. You said earlier that we wanted them to see the
- 5 digester, the methane -- I assume that's what you're
- 6 talking about here?
- 7 A No. We would have been -- Dairy Federation, also a
- 8 gentleman that's not listed on here named Dan Evans,
- 9 is -- and I don't know if he made it to this meeting or
- not, but he's a gentleman the families have been
- working with on the renewable natural gas project, and,
- again, I don't know if he made it, but that we would
- be, Dairy Federation, and that -- RNG digester
- projects, goals, hopes, and challenges loosely would
- describe getting Bud familiar with the -- what the
- renewable natural gas project is about.
- 17 O And my question is: Earlier you said, "we wanted Bud
- to see this." Who's "we"? Is it you and Ginny?
- 19 A No. It would have been -- I mean, when I said "we," I
- 20 meant me, and the other one that would have been in
- there would have been Dan Evans. I think I had a
- 22 conversation with Dan as well.
- 23 O So did you have discussions with Ginny Prest about the
- agenda?
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 0 Was it just the two of you who had those conversations?
- 2 A I think I also had a little conversation with Julie
- Morgan, as well, and may have even talked to Bud
- 4 briefly about it.
- 5 Q Before the meeting?
- 6 A Um-hmm. Yes.
- 7 Q And when you talked with Julie, was Ginny also present?
- 8 A She could have been.
- 9 Q Did you have a conference call or did you do it in
- 10 person?
- 11 A I don't remember.
- 12 Q Going back to the AOC process.
- 13 (Exhibit No. 211 marked for identification.)
- 14 Q Exhibit 211, the first part of it is an email from Bill
- Dolsen to you and a couple other people. Do you know
- 16 what Mr. Dolsen was referring to, "I appreciate your
- 17 communication and commitment to resolve this headache"?
- What's he referring to?
- 19 A The EPA science and AOC.
- 20 Q So what meeting is it that is being referred to,
- 21 though?
- 22 A I'm sorry?
- 23 Q Oh, I'm sorry. The headache is the AOC generally?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. And the email of the same date from you to a



- 1 number of people, including Bill Dolsen, which is 46
- 2 minutes earlier than Bill Dolsen's reply, what meeting
- is it that Mr. Dolsen is referring to and that you are
- 4 referring to in your email?
- 5 A That would be the groundwater management area meeting
- 6 or meetings.
- 7 Q Do you go to all of those?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Do you go to some of them?
- 10 A I've been to a few.
- 11 Q And who is Jean as referred to on the first page of
- 12 211, do you know? "I'm sitting at the table with the
- two of you, across from Jean."
- 14 A That would probably be Jean Mendoza.
- 15 Q And what's the significance of the mention of Jean
- 16 Mendoza?
- 17 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vaque.
- 18 A Sorry. Could you -- just to make sure --
- 19 Q Yeah. I'm just wondering why you mentioned Jean here.
- What's the significance of mentioning Jean Mendoza?
- 21 A Jean Mendoza is on the groundwater management area with
- Jason Sheehan and Dan DeGroot, who were both --
- 23 Q Right. But why do you mention Jean specifically?
- 24 She's not the only one on the GWMA, right?
- 25 A No.



- 1 Q Why do you mention her?
- 2 A She's been an active participant in the process and, I
- 3 would say, a voice that we need to address.
- 4 Q Why? What do you mean "need to address, a voice you
- 5 need to address"?
- 6 A She brings up concerns and brings up arguments and
- discussions on points in science, and -- I'm
- 8 characterizing as best I can, but Dan and Jason would
- 9 sit with Jean a lot more on GWMA, and so it's -- that's
- 10 generally my characterization of it. I'm not sure if I
- answered your question.
- 12 Q I'm not sure you did either. Are you trying to address
- Jean Mendoza's questions, or are you trying to nullify
- 14 them?
- 15 A Oh, no. I don't think we're trying to nullify them. I
- think there's been a lot of conversations. I've not
- been part of them, but I've heard they are long and
- 18 exhaustive conversations between the GWMA participants
- 19 and especially Jean and Jason and Dan as
- 20 representatives, so...
- 21 Q In the second paragraph of your email, you say, "The
- 22 studies over the years do clearly show nitrates are
- higher than they should be based on federal standards."
- 24 A Where are you at? First page?
- 25 Q First page of Exhibit 211. And then in parentheses it



```
1
         says, "which have a medical safety factor of at least
 2.
         1,000 percent."
 3
              What are you basing that statement on?
 4
         So I don't recall where that information came from, but
   Α
 5
         it was in background study over the years of where and
         how did the EPA ten-part-per-million drinking water get
 6
 7
         established, and it is -- again, I can't recall where I
 8
         got it, but it's my understanding from conversations,
 9
         just my perception, that the standard in the United
10
         States was set at about 10 parts per million, which
11
         clinical symptoms were assumed to be somewhere above
12
         100. And so EPA had determined that they wanted to
         have ten times the level of reduction for safety.
13
14
         So that's not 1,000 percent, right?
         So my math would be if 100 percent was half, 10 would
15
16
         be 1000. But if my math is wrong, I stand to be
17
         corrected. But my point was tenfold.
18
         Have you ever -- ever heard any studies or any -- well,
19
         have you ever heard that, in fact, there is no safety
20
         factor built into the maximum contaminant level for
21
         nitrates in groundwater?
22
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
                   MR. COOKE: Assumes facts not in evidence.
23
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Assumes facts not in
24
25
         evidence. Also expert opinion.
```



- 1 A Ask the question again.
- 2 Q Have you ever seen any literature that indicated that
- 3 there is no safety factor built in to the maximum
- 4 contaminant level for drinking water?
- 5 A No, I haven't.
- 6 Q Have you ever seen one that says that there is a safety
- factor built in, or is this the same kind of rumor that
- 8 you determined was used for the consent decrees and
- 9 undisclosed --
- 10 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Argumentative.
- 11 MR. COOKE: Objection. Argumentative,
- 12 there's not a question.
- 13 A I can't remember if I saw documentation on that or not.
- 14 Q Is that why you have the question marks next to it?
- 15 A It could be, yes.
- 16 Q On the second page of Exhibit 211, under No. 2, you say
- that "EPA used one study by a Ph.D. named Ham to make
- its determination that lagoons leak and pollute. There
- are dozens of studies on lagoons and leakage rates and
- this is the first one that claims" -- all in caps --
- 21 "there are significant amounts of nitrates coming from
- lagoons."
- What studies are you talking about there, those
- 24 dozens of studies?
- 25 A This would have been referring at this time frame to an



- initial screening. We had talked to some of the
- 2 scientists that ended up doing some reviews, both at
- 3 our request and at others' requests. So there would
- 4 have been a gentleman in Texas -- I'll think of his
- 5 name in a second -- that we would have talked to by
- 6 this point in time that would have been the basis of
- 7 that statement. And I'll -- I'm going to have
- 8 to -- it's a funny name. If it's okay, we'll move on.
- 9 I know his name will come to me in a minute.
- 10 Q Let's see if we can get to --
- 11 A Dr. -- Dr. Sakamuktar [phonetic] would have been at
- 12 Texas A&M.
- 13 Q So he's one of the people that WSDF engaged to critique
- the EPA report?
- 15 A We engaged him to review it, yes.
- 16 Q Did he cite the dozens of studies that you are talking
- 17 about in his comments?
- 18 A I think he did -- I'd have to go look at his final
- 19 report, but I believe there were citations in that,
- 20 yes.
- 21 Q What are the Yakima Dairy Federation kitchen meetings?
- 22 A We have tried over the years to have just a
- get-together for producers. No set schedule, although
- 24 typically we'll do a spring and a fall. It's just a
- 25 chance for the local board and the state board and



- 1 state leaders have a lunch, get together, chat.
- 2 Q So the kitchen meetings are a euphemism for a meeting
- 3 somewhere; is that right?
- 4 A Yeah. We don't hold them in anybody's kitchen anymore,
- 5 but --
- 6 Q It's a nice quaint term.
- 7 A It is.
- 8 O You talk about on the very bottom of the second page of
- 9 Exhibit 211 recruiting a couple more dairy folks to
- 10 pitch in on the GWMA committee process.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So you want more membership on GWMA; is that what
- 13 you're trying to do?
- 14 A No. I think there's one seat, Jason Sheehan, and Dan
- 15 DeGroot is the alternate. But there's a lot of
- 16 meetings and there was going to be a lot of
- subcommittee meetings. And our concern then and now is
- the sheer volume of time that it takes to make sure
- that you can cover all the meetings. And I refer to
- that on the next page. The GWMA committee process was
- 21 already starting to be a concern.
- 22 Q So that's your -- that was an email from Dan to you
- that you're referring to dated October 13, 2012?
- 24 A So my email's following the one from Dan -- Dan
- 25 DeGroot, yes.



- 1 Q So that's what you're referring to in your email of
- 2 October 18th?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague.
- 4 Q Are you referring to Mr. DeGroot's October 13th email
- 5 responding to that, in part at least, with your October
- 6 18th email, correct?
- 7 A The last -- the last part of that page 2, the last
- 8 paragraph, if you're still on the question of recruit
- 9 more dairy folks, where I say, "If we can recruit a
- 10 couple more dairy folks to pitch in on this GWMA dairy
- process," yes, I'm referring to Dan DeGroot mentioning
- committee processes for the Columbia -- or for the
- 13 Yakima GWMA.
- 14 Q So you -- you're not a member of the GWMA yourself, are
- 15 you?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Are any members of WSDF members of the GWMA itself?
- 18 A Yes. Jason Sheehan is a member. He's the lead. And
- 19 Dan DeGroot is the alternate. Both of them are
- 20 members.
- 21 Q Those are both board members. But none of your staff
- are on the GWMA; is that right?
- 23 A Not in a role. Steve George is on the GWMA as a farm
- 24 bureau member.
- 25 Q So he can wear dual hats as a Dairy Federation



- representative too, right?

 He's there as a member of
- 2 A He's there as a member of the Washington -- of the
- 3 Yakima Farm Bureau.
- 4 Q So when you're talking about on the third page of
- 5 Exhibit 211, second -- oh, I'm sorry, this
- 6 isn't -- this is from Dan DeGroot. Do you know if --
- 7 Mr. DeGroot is referring to about "we need to reject
- 8 this and come up with something Jean will still go
- 9 along with"?
- 10 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
- 11 speculation.
- 12 Q Do you know what that's about?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Lacks personal
- 14 knowledge.
- 15 A No, I don't. It refers to the education and outreach
- report at that time in October, obviously, according to
- that paragraph. The object would be the education and
- outreach report, and I don't think I ever saw that.
- 19 Dan would have seen it.
- 20 (Exhibit No. 212 marked for identification.)
- 21 Q Mr. Gordon, you have in front of you Exhibit 212, an
- 22 email from you to all of the subjects of the -- well,
- 23 all of the cluster dairies plus Marlene Haak, correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And this was right before the AOC was signed on March



- 1 5, 2013? Do you agree with that?
 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And so you've talked -- your email indicates that
- 4 you've talked with folks and you're basically
- 5 responding to questions that came up that they raised;
- 6 is that correct?
- 7 A It would appear so, but I'm not absolutely certain of
- 8 that. But it does appear that these questions came
- 9 from one or more of the families.
- 10 Q Right. So you're essentially giving them legal advice
- about consent decrees; is that correct?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for a legal
- 13 conclusion.
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 15 the testimony.
- 16 Q You're giving them advice, aren't you?
- 17 A I'm suggesting they go visit a website; wiseGEEK, what
- is a consent decree.
- 19 Q So during the AOC process, you were advising the
- various members of the cluster dairies about how to
- 21 proceed with the AOC, correct?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior
- testimony.
- 25 A No.



1 0 You're not -- you weren't at all? 2 Α I -- advising them to go look at wisegeek.com, and I 3 believe I cut and pasted the contents below that line. 4 Right. But I'm just talking more generally. You 5 were -- you were involved with lots of discussions with these folks about the AOC itself, were you not? 6 7 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. 8 Earlier on, we showed you a document that you wrote Q that said that the AOC should be ripped up and started 9 10 all over on a blank sheet, right? 11 I said in my -- yes. Α 12 So from that time, which was in September of 2012, 13 until the time of the email in Exhibit 212, you were 14 having regular conversations with the people at the cluster dairies about the AOC and its process, correct? 15 16 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior 17 testimony. Assumes facts not in evidence. 18 MR. HARRINGTON: Vaque as to "regular." I've had a lot of conversations with members of our 19 Α 20 association that wanted to have me look for answers for 21 them. 22 I'm not talking about the association. I'm talking 23 about the specific members of the cluster dairies and 24 the AOC itself. My question was related to the AOC itself. You were involved with discussions with the 25



- 1 members of the cluster dairies and in relation to the
- 2 AOC process itself throughout the process, correct?
- 3 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague.
- 4 Mischaracterizes the testimony.
- 5 A Say the question again if I'm supposed to answer it.
- 6 Say it again.
- 7 Q You were involved with -- in consulting with the
- 8 cluster dairy principals about the AOC and what should
- 9 be in it, right?
- 10 MR. COOKE: Same objections.
- 11 MR. HARRINGTON: Same objection.
- 12 A The document I have in front of them is -- is very
- 13 clear. It says --
- 14 O I'm not asking about the document in front of you right
- 15 now. I'm just asking generally.
- 16 A Okay. So I've had questions from the members that are
- involved in the discussion with the AOC, yes.
- 18 Q And they've asked you for advice about the AOC process
- and what they should do, correct?
- 20 A That's rather broad. Yes.
- 21 MR. TEBBUTT: 12:14. Do we want to take a
- 22 break for lunch?
- MR. COOKE: How much time do you have left?
- MR. TEBBUTT: Oh, lots.
- MR. COOKE: Well, then I guess we should.



```
1
                   MR. TEBBUTT: We're going to be here the
 2
         whole day.
 3
                   MR. COOKE: Gonna be a couple hours, it's
         another -- but gonna be here the whole day, I guess.
 4
                   MR. TEBBUTT: All right. 12:15 now...
 5
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
 6
 7
         12:15.
 8
                         (Off the record. Lunch break taken.)
 9
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We are going
10
         back on the record. The time is 1:27.
11
         Mr. Gordon, you recognize you're still under oath, I
12
         assume?
13
   Α
         Yes, sir.
14
         I'm going to just ask you a question about lagoons
15
         again.
              (Exhibit No. 213 marked for identification.)
16
         You have in front of you Exhibit 213, which is an email
17
         from Ginny Prest to you, and you to Ginny Prest dated
18
19
         September 27, 2013. And you say in it, "I'm willing to
20
         listen and want to know, but every study I've seen says
21
         generally, there are always exceptions, lagoons are not
22
         the problem. Time to trot this hypothesis out in the
23
         sunshine and expose it to a real evaluation."
24
              We discussed this earlier and you said you
25
         couldn't remember any studies that said lagoons aren't
```



1 the problem. But you said now in this email that 2. you -- every one you've reviewed, right? So what ones have you reviewed? 3 4 The ones that we would have had seen in the analyses Α 5 that were done on the EPA assignment. So, obviously, we saw the Ham study that said they were a problem. We 6 7 saw the work that Sakamuktar had done in his 8 compendium. There was -- I can't recite the exact study. We just refer to it as the UC Davis study. I'd 9 10 have to go hunt up exactly citations on that one. 11 There was another one that we had -- that comes to mind that we reviewed -- we loosely refer to it as the 12 Israeli study. I think all of those were included in 13 14 those materials that came from a variety of different 15 commenters to the EPA science. 16 Earlier when you testified you couldn't remember 17 anything, did you review anything at lunch that helped refresh your recollection about these --18 19 Α No. 20 -- studies? No? They're just coming to your mind now? 21 Yeah. I don't remember saying I couldn't remember 22 exact studies, but... 23 Okay. And this is a year after the EPA report came out 24 publicly, so is this discussion that you had with Ginny 25 Prest and the context of the Washington CAFO general



- 1 permit workings at Ecology NA?
- 2 A I don't know what context this would have been in. I
- 3 would guess this is probably not -- it doesn't have
- 4 anything to do with the CAFO permit. I would guess
- 5 that it has to do with kind of the ongoing dialogue
- 6 about the EPA study, about EPA interests on lagoons in
- 7 the Yakima.
- 8 O And skipping back to just a follow-up question about
- 9 Arcadis. Have you ever been on site of any of the
- 10 cluster dairies when any members of Arcadis were
- 11 present?
- 12 A I don't believe so. I don't remember any.
- 13 Q Have you ever been present when any physical samples
- were taken at any of the cluster dairies?
- 15 A No. I'm pretty sure.
- 16 Q Pretty sure of?
- 17 A Yeah, I don't recall any time I've been on the dairies
- when sampling was taking place of any kind.
- 19 Q Do you know how Arcadis came to be a consultant to the
- 20 cluster dairies as part of the EPA process? Were you
- 21 part of that decision-making process?
- 22 A No. And, no, I don't know how they came to be.
- 23 Q I think I asked you earlier about your involvement with
- 24 EPA with regard to their report and the AOC process. I
- 25 actually asked you more in -- in the con- -- well, I



1 asked you different questions about each topic. 2. now I want to ask you a question about the report 3 itself. Have you urged or requested EPA to withdraw 4 its report on the Lower Yakima Valley? I -- that would generally, I believe, characterize our 5 comments that we official- -- officially submitted and 6 verbal statements that we've made since late 2012. 7 (Exhibit No. 214 marked for identification.) 8 9 And are these -- handing you what's been marked as 10 Exhibit 214, are these the Dairy Federation's official 11 comments on the EPA report? 12 Α No. 13 What are these? 14 This would be a follow-up correspondence to Dennis McLerran, Region 10 administrator. 15 16 And you said, among other things in this letter, that 17 "targeting a few dairy farms with bad science is damaging efforts to actually solve nitrate problems in 18 19 Yakima and makes it necessary to take legal action to 20 oppose those agency actions." 21 What legal action have you taken to oppose the 22 agency actions? 23 Α We haven't taken any legal action -- the Federation 24 hasn't taken any legal action against EPA. That's more 25 of a generic statement there.



- 1 Q Do you intend to take legal action against EPA?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q You say in the next sentence, "Therefore, we are
- 4 prepared, willing, and able to fight your agency
- 5 legally as far as necessary."
- 6 What do you mean by that?
- 7 A Well, I'm not sure, other than what it says.
- 8 Q It was just an attempt at bullying an agency?
- 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
- 10 MR. COOKE: Objection. Argumentative.
- 11 Q Go ahead and answer.
- 12 A I think that was a characterization of our thinking at
- the time.
- 14 O A fair characterization?
- 15 A At the time.
- 16 O Um-hmm.
- 17 A I think -- I think we were -- yeah, that characterizes
- our thinking at the time.
- 19 Q Also state on the very first sentence of the letter to
- 20 Mr. McLerran that "Washington Dairy Federation has
- 21 worked the last several years to establish" -- "to help
- 22 establish a shared cooperative, basin-wide process to
- 23 address excess nitrates in the Yakima Basin."
- 24 What has the Dairy Federation done in those -- in
- 25 that time frame that you're referring to to address



1		excess nitrates in the Yakima Basin?
2	A	That would be referring to our work with the Yakima
3		GWMA. So we have, again, designees that serve on that
4		GWMA, Jason Chelan and Dan DeGroot. We have both
5		producer members, as well as Steve George helping with
6		the Yakima producers, engaged in conversations, review
7		discussions, going back to I believe, Ecology
8		produced a report in '08 or '09 or maybe early 2010 on
9		kind of a characterization I would call it a process
10		evaluation to look at what options are available in
11		the community. I can't remember the exact title of
12		that Ecology report, but once that report when that
13		report came out, I know we had Steve George, a number
14		of producers I'm generalizing that had
15		conversations with county commissioners about different
16		processes, and the GWMA was ultimately chosen. I
17		believe there was four processes identified in that
18		report. And so that would those would be examples
19		of what I was referring to there.
20	Q	So basically, then, to summarize your testimony right
21		then, you're saying that the Dairy Federation and its
22		people have had meetings to discuss the problem.
23		MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes
24		the testimony.
25		MR. COOKE: Objection.



Would that be a fair characterization? 1 0 2 I didn't -- let me rephrase that. 3 I didn't hear anything in your testimony saying -talking about specific actions that are taken to reduce 4 nitrate loading in the basis, correct? You didn't say 5 anything about that? 6 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. 8 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes 9 testimony. 10 Go ahead. You may answer. 11 Α I did not. I was referring to establish a shared 12 cooperative basin-wide process. 13 So process. Nothing substantive at this point? Q You asked about what that sentence meant. 14 Α 15 0 Right. So has the Dairy Federation done thing 16 substantively to address the nitrate problem during 17 this time frame that you wrote this letter prior to 18 that time? MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. 19 20 Α The Dairy Federation doesn't farm in the Yakima Valley, 21 so if you're saying did we farm differently --22 No, I'm not asking that. I'm just asking whether --0 23 you know, you're saying the Federation is doing all 24 these things to help reduce the nitrate problem, but 25 what have you done -- have you made recommendations to



- 1 your members to reduce nitrate loadings or anything to
- 2 that effect?
- 3 A So different question than referring to this?
- 4 0 Yes.
- 5 A Okay. I'd have to go back and look to see if we've
- 6 sent out communications regarding -- I mean, nitrates
- 7 are hay farm specifically.
- 8 Q So you can't think of anything as you're sitting here
- 9 today where you made recommendations to your members to
- 10 change the practices?
- 11 A Not specifically, no.
- 12 (Exhibit No. 215 marked for identification.)
- 13 Q So the letter that you sent to Dennis McLerran dated
- 14 December 27, 2012, that was Exhibit 2014 -- sorry, 214.
- 15 I've handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 215.
- 16 That's a letter sent some 11 days later also to
- 17 Mr. McLerran. What is so different from this letter,
- 18 Exhibit 215, than 214?
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. The documents speak
- 20 for themselves.
- 21 Q Let me rephrase the question. Why did you send this
- letter 11 days later?
- 23 A I don't recall exactly. I'm trying to think -- I think
- one of it was both Idaho and Oregon associations, by
- 25 that time, wanted to join in their concerns.



```
1
                   MR. HARRINGTON: If you need time to review
 2.
         both documents, feel free.
 3
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I don't appreciate the
         narrative or prodding.
 4
 5
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Would you rather he comment
 6
         on the letters without reading them?
 7
         Well, let me rephrase the question.
              Is this just, in a way, to exert the Oregon Dairy
 8
 9
         Farmers Association and Idaho Dairymen's Association's
10
         muscle into the Washington process?
                   MR. COOKE: Objection. Argumentative.
11
12
         Both Oregon and Idaho are in Region 10. And so
   Α
13
         obviously between here and there, my -- what I remember
14
         is I do remember talking to Jim Krahn in Oregon. He's
15
         executive director down there -- or was. And Bob
16
         Naerebout. And for whatever reason we decided to
17
         follow this up when we said we'd like to join in on our
         concerns and that's about the extent of it.
18
19
         So this is just part of the campaign to continue, as
20
         you said before, essentially bullying the agency into
21
         retracting its earlier report, correct?
22
                   MR. COOKE: Objection. Argumentative.
23
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Mischaracterizes the
24
         testimony.
25
         Would you agree with that?
```



- 1 A No. I've not used the word "bullying," I don't
- 2 believe, ever.
- 3 Q Well, you agreed to that characterization of mine from
- 4 earlier.
- 5 MR. COOKE: Objection.
- 6 A Well, then I'd like to say I did not used the word
- 7 "bullying" and I would not characterize it that way.
- 8 Q It's essentially -- I mean, essentially the same
- 9 letter, but now you've just added two more dairy
- 10 federations to it. I mean, there's some differences,
- 11 but --
- 12 MR. COOKE: Objection. Document speaks for
- itself.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Just a moment, please. I'm
- trying to finish my question.
- 16 Q The letters are basically the same message, aren't
- 17 they?
- 18 MR. COOKE: Objection. The document speaks
- for themselves, it's asked and answered.
- 20 A The content appears to be similar. The distinction
- 21 here is this letter -- and I'm looking at one
- 22 distinction that I see -- is we were asking for a
- 23 meeting at the very end, the very last sentence. We
- were asking for a meeting -- and it was Dairy
- 25 Federation. And in this letter we said, Washington,



- Oregon, and Idaho would like to have a meeting with
- 2 you. And so between then and now, one primary
- difference I see is that my counterparts in Idaho and
- 4 Oregon wanted to be added to that request to have a
- 5 meeting.
- 6 Q And did that make it happen?
- 7 A I don't think either Jim Krahn or Bob Naerebout -- we
- 8 did have a meeting later in January that year with
- 9 Dennis McLerran -- it was Mr. Wood and I -- and neither
- 10 Mr. Krahn nor Mr. Naerebout were at that meeting.
- 11 Q Who else was at that meeting besides you and Mr. Wood
- 12 and Mr. McLerran?
- 13 A Bill Dunbar.
- 14 0 Who's he?
- 15 A Bill Dunbar is a special assistant or -- to
- 16 Mr. McLerran.
- 17 Q Is that the extent of the group?
- 18 A I don't recall anybody else in the room. There could
- 19 have been, but I thought it was Bill and Dennis and Dan
- and I.
- 21 Q Where did the meeting take place?
- 22 A EPA headquarters in Seattle.
- 23 Q What did you talk about?
- 24 A Talked about the science and the concerns expressed in
- 25 this letter.



- 1 Q And what did Mr. McLerran tell you?
- 2 A Not much.
- 3 Q Did he tell you that he was planning to retract the
- 4 report?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Did you ask him to at that meeting?
- 7 A I'm sure we did, yes.
- 8 0 What was his answer?
- 9 A I do remember one of his answers was -- is we
- 10 complained about the science and the quality of the
- science in the report, and his response, which I
- remember very clearly was, he said, We're fixing it.
- 13 Q Do you believe it's been fixed?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Do you think that Director McLerran agreed that the
- 16 science was flawed?
- 17 A I think his statement --
- 18 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
- 19 speculation.
- 20 Q Did he tell you -- did he tell you that the science was
- 21 flawed?
- 22 A His statement in response to my statement, "we're
- 23 fixing it, " speaks for itself.
- 24 Q So you inferred that he thought there was a problem.
- 25 He didn't say it, but you inferred that, correct?



- 1 A From his statement, yes: We're fixing it.
- 2 Q Do you believe that there's a proper threshold level
- 3 for nitric -- residual nitrates in the soil before
- 4 manure -- more manure is added to a field, for, let's
- 5 say, the east side?
- 6 A A proper threshold as in a number?
- 7 0 Yes.
- 8 A No. I think it depends on the field.
- 9 Q What does it depend on?
- 10 A What do you got going in, where are you at, soil types,
- 11 yields on those particular fields or farms, what
- 12 type -- I think I said what types of crops. All of
- those, I think, are factors.
- 14 Q Okay. And for the crops themselves on the east side,
- what's the effective zone below ground surface where
- the crops can update nitrates?
- 17 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for expert
- opinions.
- 19 Q Let's start with corn and triticale.
- 20 MR. COOKE: Same objection. Calls for expert
- 21 opinion.
- 22 A This goes back to my farming experience. I would say
- corn and triticale would generally be shallower, foot,
- 24 maybe two feet. Depends on the soil. You could
- 25 have -- I've seen corn -- pictures of corn roots



- down -- not in the Yakima Basin, examples from the
- 2 Midwest -- six -- five, six seven feet. Again, it
- depends on the soil type, depends on the character of
- 4 the soil and how it was treated.
- 5 Q As a manager, you would say that most of that nitrogen
- 6 uptake happens in the top one to two feet?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior
- 8 testimony.
- 9 A That's -- that's a generalization, and I'd be
- 10 uncomfortable with saying most, but that's -- for corn
- and triticale, the top few feet would be where you're
- 12 actively taking up those nutrients and recycling.
- 13 Q Top two or three feet you were saying, then?
- 14 A Yeah.
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for expert
- opinion.
- 17 A Corn I've seen deeper. And it depends on the soil,
- depends on the structure, depends on the type of soil.
- 19 Q Have you ever analyzed the type of soil as to the
- appropriate levels of uptake at what levels below
- 21 ground surface would be appropriate on the east side of
- Washington?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Have you had any discussions with any WSDF members or
- others about what that -- what the appropriate level is



for residual nitrates in the soil? 1 2 Α Specific conversations with specific members? Maybe. 3 But none come to mind in particular. In general, 4 the -- you know, WSU guidances, the NRCS guidances have 5 been discussed, but I'm -- I'd have to -- you'd have to 6 be more specific or I'd have to be more specific on 7 that, I guess. 8 Have you ever had any email communications with any 0 9 WSDF members or others about what the appropriate 10 approach to take is for nitrate residuals in soil on 11 the east side? 12 MR. HARRINGTON: Are you asking him in -- whether he had personally had communications --13 14 MR. TEBBUTT: Yes. 15 MR. HARRINGTON: -- or whether the entity 16 that he is here to testify on behalf of has had such 17 communications? 18 MR. TEBBUTT: One in the same, because 19 they're both. 20 MR. COOKE: I disagree. 21 Let's go in your capacity -- your capacity as a WSDF 22 executive director. Have you had any conversations? 23 Α So ask me the question again. 24 0 Yeah. Have you ever had any conversations with WSDF 25 members about the appropriate level of residual



- 1 nitrates in the soil for the east side in Lower Yakima
- 2 Valley?
- 3 A I don't recall any specifically, but I may have. I
- 4 don't -- like I said, don't recall any specifically,
- 5 but I may have.
- 6 Q Do you remember having any conversations about 45 parts
- 7 per million being an appropriate level?
- 8 A We've had some conversations. I don't remember having
- 9 those with individual members. It may have come up in
- some emails with the board. I'd have to see them to
- see what the nature of them was. I know 45 parts per
- million has been bantered around, and I think there's
- 45 and 30, and, again, generally in the context of NRCS
- standards.
- 15 Q Have there been any more recent discussions about what
- the appropriate residual nitrate levels are in the
- 17 soil?
- 18 A Yes. That discussion -- there was a conference in
- 19 early May that was in Olympia. A lot of the topic of
- that conversation and science discussions was about
- 21 soil nitrates and soil fertilizer levels and agronomic
- rates. So that was a topic of conversation at the
- conference.
- 24 Q Have you ever had any conversations with anyone about
- whether 15 parts per million is an appropriate level



1 for residual nitrates? 2 Α Yes. 3 Who have you spoken with? 4 Α I know Ginny Prest and I have talked about that. 5 Trying to think of his name. There's a kid over in Ecology -- trying to remember his name -- Ron Cummings, 6 7 was on the -- there was an advisory committee for NRCS 8 on the 590 nutrient management standard, and Ron would 9 have been involved in that conversation. Ginny would have been involved in that conversation. There was a 10 11 lot of people on that advisory committee, and that 12 would have been part of that conversation, if I 13 remember correctly. 14 And that was a conversation that took place relatively 15 recently, correct, back in late 2013, early 2014? 16 Well, which conversation are we referring to? Α 17 Q The NRCS 590 standard, for instance. 18 That conversation has been going on since May of either 2011 or 2012. 19 20 (Exhibit No. 216 marked for identification.) 21 I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit 217 [sic], 22 Mr. Gordon. 23 MR. COOKE: I think you got the wrong exhibit. Just for the record. 24 25 MR. TEBBUTT: 216, did I say?



- 1 MR. COOKE: You said 217.
- 2 Q Sorry. 216.
- 3 A (Witness complied.) Okay.
- 4 O Who's Bill -- is it Wavrin or Wavrin?
- 5 A Wavrin.
- 6 0 Who's Bill Wavrin?
- 7 A He's a dairy farmer in Yakima area, Sunnyside.
- 8 0 Is he a WSDF member?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Does this refresh your recollection whether you've had
- a specific conversation with a WSDF member about the
- appropriate nitrate residual levels in the soil on the
- east side?
- 14 A Yes. This gives me some specifics.
- 15 Q Now, do you recall having a very specific discussion
- with Mr. Wavrin about what the appropriate nitrate
- 17 residual levels are?
- 18 A Okay. Yes.
- 19 Q Do you disagree with any of the statements in the first
- 20 paragraph of Mr. Wavrin's email to you dated 2/10/13?
- 21 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Lack of
- 22 foundation.
- 23 A Can I mark on this?
- 24 O No.
- 25 A Okay.



Is there some particular sentence that you -- I mean, 1 0 2 we can do that. If -- if there's a particular sentence 3 that you disagree with, but not the whole thing, if you 4 want -- you want to go sentence by sentence and say you 5 agree or disagree, we can do that. We can highlight various sentences; we can number them; we can do it 6 7 that way, if you'd like. 8 Α You asked me about all --9 Do you want to go sentence by sentence? 10 I think if you're going to --Α 11 All right. Let's do that. Let's take a look -- you Q 12 can use my yellow highlighter. And why don't 13 you -- let's first identify where statements 14 of -- opinions or statements of fact by Mr. Wavrin 15 exist. Let's say the second sentence. Let's highlight 16 that, the one "I guess my point is." Can you highlight 17 that in yellow? Um-hmm. (Witness complied.) 18 Α And put a number one right in -- in -- with your ink 19 0 pen right before the first word of that sentence. 20 21 Circle it. 22 (Witness complied.) Α 23 There you go. Do agree or disagree with that 24 statement? 25 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Lack of



- 1 foundation. Calls for expert opinion. And the
- witness's prior statement and the prior email speaks
- 3 for itself.
- 4 MR. TEBBUTT: Just asking him whether he
- 5 agrees or not.
- 6 A Not totally, no.
- 7 Q What do you disagree with?
- 8 A The "no spread directive."
- 9 Q Is that the only part you disagree with in that
- 10 sentence?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. So you're basically saying that there may be
- 13 situations where there's nitrates above 45 where it
- would still be okay to apply manure?
- 15 A That would be -- that would characterize my concerns.
- 16 There may be.
- 17 O And is that 45 parts per million in the top one foot or
- two feet? At what level do you think it's appropriate?
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for expert
- 20 opinion.
- 21 A That one I can't -- 45 -- I don't know what he's
- referring to there. I assume that's the top foot, but
- I don't -- I'd have to go back and look at what the
- 24 context is for the 45.
- 25 Q All right. I'm going to ask you to highlight the



- sentence "in addition, if you've gotten to 45 nitrate"
- 2 in the middle. Do you see that? Highlight that in
- 3 yellow, if you would.
- 4 A You want it highlighted?
- 5 Q Yes, please.
- 6 A (Witness complied.)
- 7 Q And put a 2 next to that.
- 8 A (Witness complied.)
- 9 Q Okay. Do you agree or disagree with that statement?
- 10 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for expert
- opinion. Lack of foundation. Incomplete hypothetical.
- 12 A Can I answer?
- 13 Q Of course you may. Unless you're instructed not to
- answer.
- 15 A Okay. He could be right and he could be wrong. So I
- would have to disagree that that is blanketly always
- 17 true.
- 18 Q But in some circumstances you would agree with that?
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 20 A Again, in some cases Bill could be correct, Mr. Wavrin
- could be correct, and in other fields he could be
- wrong.
- 23 O In what situations would he be correct?
- 24 A Would he be correct?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Same objection.



1 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for 2 speculation. I think the sentence points to it. "If you have a 3 Α 4 large backlog of organic nitrogen, that 45 could be 5 accurate. So you not only are looking at the actual nitrate 6 7 residuals, but you'd always be looking at the ammonium 8 residuals, correct? 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for expert 10 opinion --11 MR. COOKE: Vague. 12 MR. HARRINGTON: -- incomplete hypothetical. 13 This is nitrate nitrogen. Α 14 Okay. But I'm just asking, generally, based on your knowledge of crop science and the work that you do in 15 16 your field, when you're calculating the -- I'm trying 17 to use the right terminology here. Did you say "backlog of organic N," right? That's what it says in 18 the sentence right above it? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 And that's the appropriate terminology? MR. HARRINGTON: Wait. For Mr. Wavrin or 22 23 Mr. Gordon? 24 MR. TEBBUTT: Would you just let him answer 25 the question?



1 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vaque. 2 Α Ask the question again. Yeah. We're talking at a back- -- you just said it 3 0 4 depends on the backlog of organic N, right? That it 5 could be right in certain circumstances. And I asked you in what circumstances, and you 6 7 said if the backlog of organic N was -- was high, then 8 that could be right. 9 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior 10 testimony and that wasn't a question. 11 Yeah, I think you would disagree with that objection, 12 wouldn't you? It did characterize your prior statement 13 correctly, didn't it? 14 MR. COOKE: Same objection. 15 Α I'm not sure. Can we start with the question? 16 I'm asking you what -- if there's a backlog of organic 17 N, what is the backlog number that you'd be looking at 18 to determine whether 45 parts was appropriately applied in that circumstance? 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for 20 21 speculation. 22 MR. HARRINGTON: Incomplete hypothetical. 23 Calls for expert opinion.



24

25

Α

0

Why is that?

Yeah, that one is impossible to answer, Charlie.

- 1 A How much organic -- and the backlog of organic N to me
- 2 means -- so backlog organic N means to me soil organic
- 3 matter.
- 4 Q Right.
- 5 A So backlog of organic N is Bill's words. It's an
- 6 interesting choice of words. And generally I take it
- 7 to mean soil organic matter that's sitting there that
- 8 may or may not be releasing nitrogen. He's saying he's
- 9 got some organic matter there that's sitting in reserve
- 10 and it may be releasing nitrogen.
- 11 Your question was, when do you have a backlog big
- enough that a 45 is enough?
- 13 Q Right.
- 14 A And, again, my original answer was it depends. I don't
- necessarily agree with Bill's statement here, because
- it depends.
- 17 O Depends on what?
- 18 A What kind of soil you've got, what temperature you got,
- 19 what water conditions you got -- this is just my
- 20 experiences -- of how fast, what time of year, the
- aeration conditions of the soil. How fast is that
- organic matter going to change to, as he says, backlog,
- which infers to when is it going to turn to nitrate.
- 24 Q Right. Let me stop you there. Because backlog means
- 25 there's other available total N, right? Total



- 1 nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl nitrogen in the soil that
- 2 could and is likely to convert to ammonium nitrite or
- 3 nitrate and be available to the crop, correct?
- 4 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for expert
- 5 opinion.
- 6 A "Could" would be the operative word. It could. And
- 7 you said "available." It's available as in
- 8 potentially --
- 9 Q Right.
- 10 A -- but not available as in the plant can't use it when
- 11 it's --
- 12 Q At that moment.
- 13 A At that moment.
- 14 O Right. But if there's a backlog, it can be -- come
- available later, correct, during the course of --
- 16 A Again, the operative is "could."
- 17 O Right. And if it's warm, right, and the soil is well
- aerated, it will likely convert faster, won't it?
- 19 A That's --
- 20 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for expert
- 21 opinion.
- 22 O Go ahead.
- 23 A That's the data we've seen in drafts we've seen, is
- warmer aerated moist soils tend to convert organic
- 25 matter faster.



So Mr. Wavrin says late in the paragraph, "Even in the 1 0 2 face of that" -- they are talking about the 3 standards -- "why would we argue to be able to continue 4 to apply beyond agronomic rate? I think this kind of 5 approach makes even a rational regulator pretty inclined to view us as disingenuous and maybe more 6 inclined to make his/her conclusions without 7 8 considering the parts of our arguments that do have merit." 9 10 Do you believe that your argument that -- well, 11 let me back up. 12 Does WSDF take the position that there should be 13 no narrative standard for residual nitrates in soil? 14 Α No. 15 What's the narrative standard that you believe -- I'm 16 sorry. Let me rephrase it. 17 Do you believe that there is no numerical standard 18 that should be appropriate? 19 So tell me what you mean by "standard." Α 20 For residual nitrates in soil. 21 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. 22 Let me ask the question another way. Is there a number 0 23 of residual nitrates in soil that you believe no 24 further manure should be applied? 25 A No.



No number whatsoever? 1 0 2 Α Not an absolute. What about for corn and triticale? 3 0 4 MR. COOKE: Objection. Asked and answered. 5 Α I don't know of a number -- how do I phrase this? I don't know of a number -- could you ask the question 6 7 again? (Last question read.) "QUESTION: What about for corn and triticale?" 8 9 MR. COOKE: I'm going to renew my objection. 10 Yeah, so it's a numerical standard for when manure 11 should not be applied to a field that will be planted 12 in corn or triticale. I think that there is a number individual to those 13 fields. Should there be a uniform number across the 14 15 state or across a region or in an area? No. 16 is -- so an agronomic rate at which -- a number at 17 which you have likely got the agronomic needs for that crop met, either with existing or anticipated 18 fertilizer contributions from that soil during that 19 20 crop, would be how I would characterize the number that 21 you're referring to. 22 So would you agree with the NRCS guidelines in the 23 dairy nutrient management plans for amount of nitrogen 24 that should be available in pounds per acre for a corn 25 or triticale crop?



1 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vaque. 2 Α Again, they are guidelines. I think that the 3 quidelines are designed to be on a field and on a farm 4 and on a region and on a crop basis. So, generally, 5 I'm going to say yes. So if -- let me rephrase. 6 7 If a number were in the DNMP -- let's say, for 8 instance, the 250 pounds of N per acre, right, for 9 corn. If that's the number in the DNMP and it says 10 that's a sufficient amount of nitrogen for a crop to 11 grow, shouldn't -- should any further manure be applied in that situation if that nitr- -- nitrogen is 12 available to the crop? 13 14 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for 15 speculation. 16 MR. HARRINGTON: Calls for expert opinion and 17 incomplete hypothetical. 18 I don't know of a circumstance where it should be, but 19 I couldn't rule out that it may be a good practice to 20 do that. Yeah, I'm going to stay with that. 21 Isn't it -- isn't it the point that you're trying to 22 take the nitrogen out of the cycle? That's why you add 23 fertilizer. You add fertilizer so that the nitrogen 24 would be available to the crop to take up, correct? 25 A Correct.



- So, theoretically, at the end of a growing season, you 1 0 2 should take up virtually all of that nitrogen in the 3 crop; is that correct? 4 In -- that's an example. But another example would Α 5 be -- so you want that corn to take up -- you use 250 pounds -- corn to take up the 250 pounds. But it's not 6 7 practiced so much on the east side of the state, but 8 the west side of the state, you have a relay crop. 9 Grass seed is planted midsummer in amongst the corn. 10 By the time the corn is taken off, the idea is to have 11 another crop that's there to then be also taking up 12 more nitrogen. 13 So let's stick with the east side for a minute. 14 Α So the east side --15 0 Double cropping. 16 Corn, triticale rotation. And you would want to have 17 some nitrogen. You wouldn't want zero for that 18 triticale two days or four days or however long after
- 20 have a seeding of triticale in. You'd want a little

you harvest the -- the guys would harvest their corn to

- 21 bit of nitrogen there to feed that crop, and certainly
- as the winter went through, you're on to another crop.
- 23 So there's an overlap there in how you would do the
- budgeting.
- 25 Q Did you agree or disagree with Mr. Wavrin about the



19

last -- his question, which I -- why don't we start by 1 2 highlighting that and giving that a 3. Starting with "Even in the face of." The last two sentences in the 3 4 first paragraph. Highlight them both, if you would. 5 Α (Witness complied.) And then put a 3 right before "even." 6 Q (Witness complied.) 7 Α 8 Okay. Do you disagree -- well, let me ask you this: 9 Did you -- you, I'm speaking of the Dairy Federation, 10 accept Mr. Wavrin's suggestion to change the approach 11 of -- or continuing to argue that applications at more than 45 parts per million would be appropriate in 12 certain circumstances? 13 14 MR. COOKE: Objection. The document speaks 15 for itself. 16 MR. HARRINGTON: Misstates the document. 17 Α My answer comes from -- there's a lot going on in that 18 question. Could you narrow it down a little bit? 19 I'm just asking whether you adopted his approach. 0 20 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vaque. 21 Yes or no? Did you adopt the approach or not? 22 MR. COOKE: Renew the objection. 23 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. 24 Α I think his approach is simpler than what our approach 25



has been.

- 1 O And the second page of Exhibit 216 is your email to
- 2 Bill. When you say in the second line, "the 45 PPM is
- 3 current a guideline number that indicates a high level
- 4 of nitrates, and management changes should or might
- 5 need to be implemented."
- 6 A Where are you at, please?
- 7 O Second line -- second and third lines of the second
- 8 page of Exhibit 216. See that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q That's your email to Bill, right?
- 11 A Um-hmm.
- 12 Q So you agree, essentially, that 45 parts per million is
- a benchmark at which concerns are raised?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. The document speaks
- 15 for itself.
- 16 A I -- I wrote there and I think it's still accurate,
- that at 45 -- "45 parts per million is current a
- 18 quideline that indicates high levels of nitrate or
- management changes should or might need to be
- 20 implemented."
- 21 O Right.
- 22 A And I stand by that statement.
- 23 Q Okay. Have you reviewed any documents more recently
- 24 that indicate that that number should be lower?
- 25 A I may have. There's been -- again, there was a lot of



- 1 conversation about the 1st of May, a lot of materials.
- 2 And, again, I remember a 30 parts per million as being
- discussed. I don't remember the exact specifics of it.
- 4 But there's been -- there's been a discussion
- 5 about should that -- is that the right number for a
- 6 guideline, a trip wire, a level of concern, as I
- 7 expressed in that statement.
- 8 O And then the fourth and fifth pages of Exhibit 216,
- 9 which is WSDF 2179 and 2180, do you see that? An email
- 10 from you to Dan and George DeRuyter, Bill and Adam
- 11 Dolsen, and Rick and Marlene Haak. Do you see that?
- 12 Dated February 9, 2013.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O You, essentially, are making some suggestions and
- recommendations to these entities about certain
- 16 questions; is that correct?
- 17 MR. COOKE: Objection. The document speaks
- 18 for itself.
- 19 A I don't see to where you're referring to.
- 20 Q I'm just generally saying you're making suggestions and
- 21 recommendations, right? For instance, the very last
- 22 part of your email: "This fertility plan should have
- been detailed and outlined in your farm plans."
- 24 A I'm sorry. I don't -- where are you at?
- 25 Q Right before "have a good weekend." Right here.



- 1 MR. HARRINGTON: Take a moment to read the
- whole email.
- 3 A (Witness complied.) Okay. What's the question again,
- 4 please?
- 5 Q So you've basically been providing assistance, guidance
- to the DeRuyters, Dolsens, and the Haaks, correct?
- 7 A I'm not sure why I responded to them in it. It doesn't
- 8 come through in the email. But these are some
- 9 examples, and there's two points there. It was
- 10 information.
- 11 Q Are there other emails that you've received or other
- calls from them specifically requesting this kind of
- 13 help?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Vaque.
- 15 A Again, I don't know what the genesis of this -- of this
- email. I'm looking down at the bottom and there's a
- 17 gentleman from National Milk that's preceding this
- 18 string.
- 19 O Is it Brian Bennett?
- 20 A Brian Bennett.
- 21 0 Where is he?
- 22 A He's in Arlington, Virginia.
- 23 O All right. But on the fourth page of Exhibit 216, an
- email from Bill Dolsen to you, cc'ing others. It says,
- 25 "Jay, you have been extremely helpful throughout the



```
1
         entire process. Words cannot express my deep
 2
         appreciation."
 3
              What entire process is Bill Dolsen referring to?
 4
                   MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
 5
         speculation.
         This would be consistent with the whole science, EPA
 6
 7
         process.
 8
         Including the Administrative Order and Consent?
   0
 9
         Well, that's part of it.
   Α
10
                   MR. COOKE: If you are going to look at
11
         another document, can I bother you to just take a break
12
         really quick?
13
                   MR. TEBBUTT: No, go ahead.
14
                   MR. COOKE: I just need to go to the
15
         bathroom. That iced tea went right through me.
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going on break at
16
17
         2:23.
18
                                        (Off the record.)
19
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the
20
         record at 2:29.
21
         Mr. Gordon, I want to ask you some questions -- well,
22
         first, before I do, I'm going to hand you --
              (Exhibit No. 217 marked for identification.)
23
         Do you have in front of you Exhibit 217, an email from
24
25
         Kevin Freeman to you dated April 17, 2013? Did you
```



- 1 talk with Mr. Freeman in response to his request to do
- 2 so?
- 3 A I think so.
- 4 Q Did you do that by telephone?
- 5 A Yes. I think. If I would have talked to him, it would
- 6 have been by telephone.
- 7 Q And was this in the context of -- it said -- asking
- 8 about how much of the work would qualify as research.
- 9 Does that ring a bell to you?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And what was -- why is the issue of whether this
- is research or not irrelevant?
- 13 A I believe Henry was wanting Mr. Freeman to ask if this
- would qualify as research that the Dairy Products
- 15 Commission might be interested in funding, or could be
- other entities.
- 17 Q Does it -- is the Dairy Products Commission part of
- 18 WSDF?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q They're a separate entity all together?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Do they have overlapping boards of directors?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q And is this question of the research, is this the
- question of the work that's done under the AOC?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And is this to help fund the AOC work at the cluster
- 3 dairies?
- 4 A It could.
- 5 Q Okay. So, Mr. Gordon, you've been out on the road
- 6 trying to raise funds for defense costs in this
- 7 litigation, right?
- 8 A I suppose I would say yes.
- 9 Q How many organizations have you visited to try to raise
- 10 funds for the defense costs in this litigation?
- 11 A Oh, I don't know if I even have that number.
- 12 Q More than five?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 0 More than ten?
- 15 A Probably.
- 16 Q Name -- name who you can.
- 17 MR. COOKE: Objection.
- 18 MR. HARRINGTON: At this point, I'm going to
- instruct the witness not to answer. The source of the
- 20 funding -- who is funding the litigation, how it works,
- 21 that is all subject to privilege and also is
- irrelevant. And if we want -- if you insist on going
- further, I think we should have a conference with the
- judge.
- MR. COOKE: I'd echo the same sentiments as



- 1 Mr. Harrington.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Well, the privilege has been
- 3 waived because it's been written about in newsletters
- 4 that are available online and lists a lot of the
- 5 different entities who have been funding the
- 6 litigation.
- 7 MR. HARRINGTON: I disagree.
- 8 MR. TEBBUTT: You disagree that people have
- 9 been named, that entities have been named?
- MR. HARRINGTON: I'm not sure what you're
- 11 saying.
- MR. TEBBUTT: What I'm saying is that there
- 13 are newsletters that WSDF has produced, Idaho
- 14 Dairymen's Association has produced that are available
- online to the public that identify what other
- 16 organizations Mr. Gordon has visited and who has
- 17 funded -- helped to fund the litigation.
- 18 MR. HARRINGTON: I'm not aware of that and
- 19 the accuracy of that representation. The objection
- 20 still stands.
- 21 MR. TEBBUTT: If I were to show you some of
- 22 those documents, would that change your position?
- MR. COOKE: Well, they may be waived to those
- 24 specific individuals possibly, but it wouldn't be to
- 25 other not named individuals whose right to privacy is



- 1 still entitled to protection. And there's First
- 2 Amendment case law on this too, as I'm sure your groups
- 3 are full aware of. Member lists and donor lists are
- 4 not discoverable.
- 5 MR. TEBBUTT: That's not what I'm asking for.
- 6 MR. COOKE: Well, it seems to me --
- 7 MR. TEBBUTT: I'm asking a very specific
- 8 question.
- 9 MR. COOKE: You asked who has been donating
- 10 money.
- MR. TEBBUTT: No, I'm asking who he's gone to
- 12 talk with, which is very different.
- MR. COOKE: Which I think is getting at the
- 14 same information.
- MR. HARRINGTON: I agree.
- MR. TEBBUTT: I have a right to it.
- 17 MR. COOKE: I would disagree, and I've had
- 18 this battle with organizations on the flip side. And
- 19 there's First Amendment case law that exists.
- 20 MR. TEBBUTT: Not when it's been waived.
- 21 MR. COOKE: Waived to those potential
- 22 individuals, and that's assuming it wasn't
- 23 inadvertently waived.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Taking a look at Exhibit 106 in
- 25 this case. If you didn't bring your documents that's



- 1 your problem.
- MR. COOKE: We weren't provided documents,
- 3 excuse me. So that's not my problem. I would believe
- 4 that is your problem, Mr. Tebbutt.
- 5 MR. TEBBUTT: No. Here's Exhibit 106. Why
- 6 don't you take a look at it. Second page.
- 7 MR. COOKE: (Complied.)
- 8 MR. TEBBUTT: Are you withdrawing your
- 9 objection as to these entities?
- MR. COOKE: As to those specific entities?
- 11 MR. HARRINGTON: The identity of those
- 12 entities as entities which have been solicited, I agree
- is a matter of public record. But I disagree that
- 14 there's any broader waiver that reaches any other
- 15 issues or any other information, including details
- 16 about those entities.
- 17 MR. COOKE: I echo the same sentiment,
- 18 especially as it pertains to any other entities,
- 19 organizational or individual.
- 20 MR. HARRINGTON: So if you wish to ask the
- 21 witness, you know, is it true that one of those
- 22 entities named on that document -- I don't remember
- 23 what the wording was -- has been solicited, I would not
- 24 object to him answering that question. But anything
- 25 further, I would object.



```
I'm going to hand you what's been previously marked in
 1
   0
 2
         this case as Exhibit 106, Mr. Gordon. And on the
         second page of this tablet will have a paragraph that
 3
 4
         discusses -- let's see if we can pull it up for you.
 5
         Right here. It says, "The Washington State Dairy
         Federation and Idaho Dairymen's Association are both
 6
 7
         helping out and are seeking support from other industry
         organizations. They've received pledges from several
 8
         groups, including DFA's Mountain Area Council."
 9
10
              Did you visit them, the Mountain Area Council?
11
                   MR. HARRINGTON: I'm going to instruct the
12
         witness not to answer that question.
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I thought we just said we were
13
14
         waiving that -- you were waiving that --
15
                   MR. HARRINGTON: No, I'm saying you can't ask
16
         him --
                   MR. TEBBUTT: You can't instruct the witness
17
18
         not to answer, by the way. He's not -- he's not your
         client.
19
20
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Well, I think Mr. -- I
         believe I --
21
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Are you speaking -- are you
22
23
         speaking on behalf of Mr. Cooke?
24
                   MR. HARRINGTON: I believe I can instruct the
25
         witness not to answer, Mr. Tebbutt.
```



```
1
                   MR. TEBBUTT: On what grounds?
 2
                   MR. HARRINGTON: On the grounds that it is a
 3
         matter of privilege as to who is funding the
         litigation, and there is a common interest as to that
 4
 5
         issue, that limited issue. But I also think it's a
         moot point if that's the point you want to make,
 6
 7
         because I think that Mr. Cooke agrees to me, but he's
 8
         certainly free to --
 9
                   MR. COOKE: More than happy if that resolves
10
         the issue.
11
         I just asked you a little while ago who you visited.
12
         Is the Mountain Area Council one of the entities you've
13
         solicited?
14
                   MR. HARRINGTON: We're instructing --
15
                   MR. COOKE: I'm instructing him not to
16
         answer.
17
                   MR. TEBBUTT: It's a public document. I have
18
         the right to ask him this question.
                   MR. HARRINGTON: You can ask him to affirm
19
20
         the information in the public document. But you're
21
         asking a --
22
                   MR. COOKE: It's something completely
23
         different than what's in that document.
24
                   MR. TEBBUTT: It's not completely different.
25
         It says they've sought and received pledges.
```



MR. COOKE: So if you ask --1 2 MR. HARRINGTON: That's inaccurate. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: Seeking support from other industry organizations, right? It says --4 5 MR. HARRINGTON: It does say that. MR. TEBBUTT: -- they've received pledges 6 7 from several groups. MR. COOKE: It says nothing about seeking. 8 9 MR. HARRINGTON: It doesn't say that. 10 MR. TEBBUTT: It does right in the prior 11 sentence: "Seeking support from other industry 12 organizations." Can you not read? 13 MR. COOKE: Excuse me. 14 MR. HARRINGTON: It's not -- you paraphrased 15 it inaccurately. But, fine, if you want to ask him 16 what is actually in that article and to affirm that, 17 feel free. 18 MR. COOKE: That's not --19 MR. TEBBUTT: That's not what I'm asking. I'm asking questions about it. 20 21 Are you giving -- are you giving a blanket 22 instruction not to answer those questions? That's what 23 I want to know. 24 MR. HARRINGTON: No. We will -- I mean,

25

I can't speak for Mr. Cooke, but we will assess the

```
validity of each question as you -- as you pose it.
 1
 2
        All right. I'll ask again: Did you visit the Mountain
 3
        Area Council?
                  MR. COOKE: Same objection.
 4
 5
                  MR. TEBBUTT: What's the same objection? You
 6
        haven't posed any objection.
 7
                  MR. COOKE: Attorney-client privilege. I
8
        have too. I've just echoed Mr. Harrington's.
9
                  MR. TEBBUTT: All right. So you're saying
10
         attorney-client privilege --
11
                  MR. COOKE: Yes.
                  MR. TEBBUTT: -- in that association?
12
13
                  MR. COOKE: Yes.
14
         Is there an attorney-client -- Mr. Gordon, is there an
15
         attorney-client -- I asked you earlier whether you had
16
         any common interest agreements with any other entities,
17
        and you said no.
18
                  MR. HARRINGTON: You asked --
                  MR. TEBBUTT: I believe there is no
19
20
         attorney-client --
21
                  MR. COOKE: I don't -- think you should go
22
        back and take a look at the deposition --
23
                  MR. HARRINGTON: That -- that is inaccurate.
24
                  MR. COOKE: -- transcript.
25
        All right. Well, let me ask you this: Is there a
```



1 common interest agreement between the Washington State 2. Dairy Federation and the Mountain Area Council? there or isn't there? Yes or no. There's no 3 objection. Answer the question, please. 4 5 Α No. 6 Is there a common interest agreement between any of the 7 other entities listed in that paragraph that we were 8 just discussing in Exhibit 106? 9 Ask it again, please. 10 Is there a common interest agreement with any of the 11 other entities listed in the paragraph we were just discussing in Exhibit 106? 12 This paragraph right here? 13 Α 14 Yes. 15 Α No. 16 MR. HARRINGTON: Are you asking for a written 17 agreement or otherwise? 18 MR. TEBBUTT: I'm asking if there was a 19 common interest agreement. His answer is no. 20 Privilege is waived. Do you disagree with that? 21 MR. HARRINGTON: I do disagree with that. 22 MR. TEBBUTT: On what basis? 23 MR. HARRINGTON: On the basis that there is a 24 common interest between the defendants in this case and 25 the Washington State Dairy Federation regarding the



- 1 information about the funding of the lawsuit. That is
- 2 off limits and is also irrelevant.
- MR. TEBBUTT: I don't know how you can say
- 4 it's off limits when it's vitiated because they are
- 5 talking to all these other groups, and there is no
- 6 other common interest agreement with these other
- 7 entities. There's no attorney-client privilege in that
- 8 circumstance. Where is the privity? Tell me where the
- 9 privity is.
- 10 MR. HARRINGTON: The privity is that the
- 11 Federation is -- well, the privity is that there is a
- 12 funding of the lawsuit. And the information about how
- 13 the lawsuit is funded, how it works, who is funding is
- 14 all irrelevant and it is -- and it is privileged as
- 15 between Mr. Gordon and the defendants in this case.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Well, there's a --
- 17 MR. COOKE: Why do you need the information
- 18 so bad, Charlie? I guess I just -- I'm failing to
- 19 see --
- 20 MR. TEBBUTT: There's a very significant
- 21 question here about who the real parties and interests
- 22 are. If your firm or the Givens Pursley firm is
- 23 representing all these entities in a common interest
- 24 agreement, who's making the decisions?
- MR. COOKE: That's none of --



- 1 MR. HARRINGTON: What is the relevance of any 2 of this? 3 MR. TEBBUTT: Well, who the real parties and interests are, whether you have conflicts of interest. 4 5 Those are -- those are real issues that we get to 6 explore. 7 MR. HARRINGTON: They are not real issues 8 that you get to explore. They may be real issues, but those are issues between the parties and their lawyers. 9 10 MR. TEBBUTT: Well, we'll just put that one on reserve for now rather than fighting all day. We 11 reserve the right to recall Mr. Gordon if the Court 12 rules that we are allowed to pursue this line of 13 14 questioning. 15 MR. HARRINGTON: Do you wish to call the 16 Court now? 17 MR. TEBBUTT: I don't right at the moment. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would this be a good time 19 to change the tape?
- MR. TEBBUTT: Oh, sure, yeah.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is going to end Disk
- 22 No. 2 in the deposition of Jay Gordon. Going off the
- 23 record at 2:44.
- 24 (Off the record.)
- 25 (Exhibit No. 218 marked for identification.)



- 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will begin Disk No. 3 2 in the deposition of Jay Gordon. We are back on the record at 2:47.
- 4 Mr. Gordon, I have handed you what's been marked as
- 5 218. You created this document, did you not?
- 6 Α Yes.

3

- 7 And who was this document prepared for? 0
- 8 Α Generally for general purposes, reports to membership,
- 9 report to the board, that kind of thing.
- 10 Was this used for fundraising purposes as well?
- 11 Go ahead and answer. Don't -- quit looking at
- 12 your counsel. If you don't hear an objection, please
- answer the question. 13
- MR. HARRINGTON: If there is a concern about 14
- 15 privilege, I think it is appropriate for you to pause
- 16 and give us an opportunity to interpose an objection.
- 17 There was no objection interposed.
- 18 MR. HARRINGTON: There is no objection to
- 19 this question.
- Please answer the question. 20
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 So you took this on the road with you and emailed this 0
- and used this to give to anyone who you were 23
- fundraising from? 24
- 25 A This was being -- this was used to raise awareness of



```
1
         what the case was, yes.
 2
         Who has this been distributed to?
                   MR. COOKE: Objection.
 3
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Instruct the witness --
 4
 5
                   MR. COOKE: Instruct the witness -- you're
 6
         not --
 7
                   MR. TEBBUTT: On what grounds?
 8
                   MR. COOKE: On you're not entitled to member
 9
         lists or donor lists or donor information or possible
10
         people who they've solicited funds from, period.
11
                   MR. TEBBUTT: You guys are really going far
12
         afield here with a very blanket objection when a lot of
13
         these issues have been waived. You produced this
         document to us. You produced other documents to us
14
15
         that indicate who you guys have been soliciting.
16
                   MR. COOKE: I produced this document which
17
         doesn't name any parties. So that's what I'm
         maintaining my objection about.
18
19
         In the second page of this document, Mr. Gordon, you
    0
         state under how these farm -- "How have these farm
20
21
         managed their manure?" And that's a "sic' after
22
         "farm." You say, "These farms all have implemented
23
         farm plans and updates to those farm plans over at
24
         least the past 16 years as a requirement of Washington
25
         State law."
```



- 1 What did you do to confirm that?
- 2 A I didn't.
- 3 Q You just -- what basis do you have for making that
- 4 statement?
- 5 A Statements made by some of the families over the course
- of the several-year discussion.
- 7 Q So you took them at their word without looking at the
- 8 documents behind them?
- 9 A Yes, I did.
- 10 Q And the same with the last sentence of that same
- 11 paragraph: "None of the farms have had any issues in
- the numerous inspections by the State Department of
- 13 Ecology and Agriculture over the past 16 years."
- What did you do to confirm that statement?
- 15 A I took that as a statement from producers -- the
- families have been made over the course of discussions
- over the last couple years.
- 18 Q Did you actually look at the inspection reports?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Did you look at any inspection reports for any of the
- 21 facilities at any time?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Vaque.
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Would it surprise you to find out that the Department
- of Agriculture has said levels of nitrate are excessive



```
at many of these farms?
 1
 2.
                   MR. COOKE: Objection --
 3
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
 4
                   MR. COOKE: -- assumes facts not in evidence.
 5
         Calls for speculation.
         Would it surprise you?
 6
 7
   Α
         Yes.
 8
         Mr. Gordon, handing you what's been marked as Exhibit
 9
         15, McCarty. I'm going to show you an inspection
10
         report dated March -- let's see. Date of inspection is
11
         March 13, 2013. This is for the DeRuyter facilities.
12
         I'd like you to take a look at it.
13
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Can I review this?
14
                   MR. COOKE: Do you have a hard copy of that?
15
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I don't have a hard copy.
16
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Are you going to be asking
17
         about each page of 15?
18
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Mostly about some later pages.
19
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Are you asking about all six
20
         pages of the document or just --
21
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I'm going to ask about specific
22
         pages as well.
23
                   MR. COOKE: There's an email as well. I
24
         just -- so I'm not wasting everyone's time --
25
                                 Including the email.
                   MR. TEBBUTT:
```



```
1
                   MR. COOKE: Okay. That's what I was asking.
 2
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Yep, look at the whole thing.
 3
                   MR. COOKE: I just noted that the inspection
         report isn't signed. Is there -- is there a signed
 4
 5
         one?
 6
                   MR. TEBBUTT: It's in the record, okay?
 7
                   MR. COOKE: I was just asking.
 8
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I don't know.
                   MR. COOKE: Okay.
 9
                   MR. TEBBUTT: But it's in the record.
10
11
                   MR. COOKE: All right. I've not been privy
12
         to your exhibit list, so I'm simply asking a question.
13
        Mr. Gordon, I'm handing you, again, 15 McCarty on the
    Q
         tablet provided by the court reporters. This is
14
         Exhibit -- as I said, Exhibit 15, McCarty. It says
15
         right here on the -- I believe it's the third of six
16
17
        pages in this document, that DeRuyter is out of
18
         compliance. Do you see that?
19
                   MR. COOKE: I will object. The document
20
         speaks for itself and lack of foundation.
21
        Do you see that?
22
   Α
        Yes.
23
        And it says "warning," correct? The box "warning" is
24
        checked?
25 A
        Yes.
```



1 MR. COOKE: Same objections. 2 0 And it also says, "DNMP does not account for current 3 cow numbers." Do you see that? MR. COOKE: Same objections. 4 5 Under comments? 0 6 Α Yes. 7 And going to the fifth page of the document is an email 0 8 from Chery Sullivan to Ginny Prest about -- and to Dan 9 DeRuyter, do you see that? 10 Α Yes. 11 And it says, Thank you for following up. And it says a 0 12 number of things. Let me see it for a moment. 13 And it says, among other things, According to the 14 inspection report, your nitrate levels range from 300 15 pounds per acre to over 600 pounds an acre, and 16 essentially said that those are excessive numbers, does 17 it not? MR. COOKE: Objection. Document speaks for 18 itself. 19 20 MR. HARRINGTON: If he's asking you to -- as 21 to what the document essentially says, please review 22 the document in full. 23 Α Ask the question again. I have read it, please. 24 0 Yes. It says basically that nitrate levels are



25

excessive, doesn't it?

1 A It says they're high. 2 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. Does that mean excessive to you? Never mind. Strike 3 0 4 that. 5 So based on this one document -- and there are many others, I can assure you, that are in the exhibits 6 7 already in this case. But just based on this one 8 document, would you like to retract the statement that 9 none of the farms have had any issues in the numerous 10 inspections by the State Department of Ecology and 11 Agriculture over the past 16 years that you stated in 12 Exhibit 218? 13 MR. HARRINGTON: Disregard counsel's 14 representation and preamble about what other facts are not in evidence here. 15 16 Are you asking if he'd like to retract --17 MR. TEBBUTT: I'm just saying based on this 18 document --MR. HARRINGTON: Does he want to retract his 19 20 document or retract his answer to the prior question? 21 What is your question, Mr. Tebbutt? MR. TEBBUTT: I don't appreciate the 22 23 narrative. 24 I asked the question. Please answer it, Mr. Gordon. 25 Do you understand my question?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Can you answer it, please?
- 3 A No. I made that statement at the time based on the
- 4 information that I had at the time --
- 5 Q And you had no information at the time, correct? You
- 6 already admitted that.
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior
- 8 testimony.
- 9 A No. I did say I had had conversations and that was the
- 10 information I had.
- 11 Q And that's all -- so sitting here today, based on what
- 12 you see here, is the statement you made in Exhibit 218
- on the second page that we just talked about, is
- 14 that -- is that accurate?
- 15 MR. COOKE: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- Document speaks for itself.
- 17 A This report indicates that farm needs -- needs to do
- 18 some work.
- 19 Q Right. Which contradicts the statement that none of
- the farms have had any problems, that you stated in
- 21 Exhibit 218, correct?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q I'm going to, rather than giving you the tablet
- version, just hand you a hard copy, which I've already
- 25 handed to counsel, which has been previously marked as



```
1
         Exhibit 165 in this case.
 2
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Can I ask a clarifying
         question about the use of the iPad here? A moment ago
 3
 4
         you represented that the i- -- that this is the
 5
         certified document that came from the court reporter,
         or that this was an iPad that was brought by the court
 6
 7
         reporter?
 8
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Both.
                                        These are the documents
 9
         that have been all scanned in from previous
10
         depositions. So it's up-to-date with all of the
11
         information.
12
                   MR. HARRINGTON: And the actual hardware was
13
         brought by the court reporter today? Is that --
14
                   MR. TEBBUTT: This little Lenova tablet --
15
                   MR. HARRINGTON: That's what I -- the tablet,
16
         yes.
17
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Yes.
18
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank you.
19
         Just looking at the first page of that document, which
   0
20
         was produced by you, WSDF00005, is this a document that
21
         you prepared?
22
         I don't believe so.
   Α
23
         Do you know who prepared it?
   0
24
         I don't.
   Α
25
         Did you participate in the preparation of this agenda?
```



- 1 A I don't believe so and don't recall doing that.
- 2 MR. COOKE: When you say "you" -- I'm still
- 3 confused, because a lot of these questions have been
- 4 individual to Jay. Are you referring to the Dairy
- 5 Federation, or are you referring to Jay individually?
- 6 MR. TEBBUTT: Good question.
- 7 Q First, I will state that the question applied to you,
- 8 Mr. Gordon. You're saying you personally didn't. Do
- 9 you know if the Dairy Federation assisted in the
- 10 creation of this agenda?
- 11 A I don't believe we did, but I'm not 100 percent certain
- of that.
- 13 Q So this was an agenda for a meeting which was to occur
- on March 2, 2013, in Pasco, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did you attend that meeting?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Did Dan Wood attend that meeting?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O Did everyone who is listed on the first page of Exhibit
- 21 165 attend that meeting, to your knowledge?
- 22 A As far as I know, yes.
- 23 Q What did you say in your welcome and introductions?
- 24 A I don't remember exactly. I would have probably had
- everybody go around to the room and do an introduction,



- if I remember right, and introduced Deb Kristensen.
- 2 Q Had you worked with or met -- I'll rephrase the
- 3 question.
- 4 Had you met Deb Kristensen prior to this meeting?
- 5 A I don't remember if I met her before. I don't recall
- 6 meeting her before this meeting.
- 7 Q Did you have any kind of attorney-client relationship
- 8 with Deb Kristensen or Givens Pursley prior to this
- 9 meeting?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q What was the nature of that relationship?
- 12 A They --
- 13 MR. COOKE: I'm going to object. It is
- 14 attorney-client privilege.
- 15 You can describe the nature, but any specific
- 16 conversations you've had are not disclosable.
- 17 MR. TEBBUTT: I'm not asking for specifics.
- 18 MR. COOKE: I know. I just wanted to make
- 19 sure he was clear on that.
- 20 A Pursley Givens [sic] was our attorney working on the
- 21 EPA science document.
- 22 Q Did you find Givens Pursley?
- 23 A What do you mean by "find"? I'm sorry.
- 24 Q Did you seek out a law firm to engage to work on the
- comments for the AOC, or did someone suggest them to



- 1 you?
- 2 A I may have -- may have seeked them out, talked to Bob
- Naerebout, my counterpart. And I don't remember the
- 4 genesis of how we found each other.
- 5 Q So did you and Bob Naerebout come to an agreement that
- 6 you should engage Givens Pursley?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 0 When did that occur?
- 9 A Mid to late September of 20- -- 2012, would be my
- 10 quess.
- 11 Q What was the strategy that was laid out during this
- meeting that occurred on March 2, 2013? You see
- 13 section 4B?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q What was the strategy that was discussed?
- 16 A I -- other than what the document says, it's about what
- 17 I remember, was maybe conversations about the deadline
- when the motions were due, as well as the motion to
- 19 consolidate all the families. That would -- generally,
- it says what the conversation that I recall --
- 21 Q Well, I understand this is an outline, but I'm looking
- 22 for specifics. What do you recall?
- 23 A I remember the conversation about there being a
- timeline, especially the discussion between all the
- families about the consolidation. That's generally



- 1 about what I remember.
- 2 Q Was there a discussion about what experts to employ?
- 3 A I don't remember that, no. Could have been, but don't
- 4 remember.
- 5 Q Are you under any medications or drugs that would
- 6 impair your memory as you sit here today, Mr. Gordon?
- 7 A No, sir.
- 8 Q This is kind of a big part of your job, is it not?
- 9 Kind of a big deal to put together a defense strategy
- and a meeting like this. That's something you might
- 11 remember?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Assumes facts not in
- 13 evidence.
- 14 A This is not part of my job to do a defense strategy.
- That was why Ms. Kristensen and Pursley Givens [sic]
- were there.
- 17 Q Right. But you're part of that strategy and
- 18 discussion, correct?
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Assumes facts not in
- 20 evidence.
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Have you had any discussions with Ms. Kristensen about
- 23 the defense of these cases?
- 24 A We've talked about the case. I guess I have to ask
- 25 what -- tell me what you want. What do you mean?



- 1 Q Have you had any discussion with Ms. Kristensen,
- 2 you -- just the two of you on the phone about the
- 3 cases?
- 4 A We've talked about the cases.
- 5 0 When?
- 6 A I can't recall exact dates.
- 7 Q I understand that. Would you have a calendar that
- 8 would tell you when you've had discussions with
- 9 Ms. Kristensen about these?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Do you keep notes about those discussions?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q How many times have you talked with her since March
- 2013 about this litigation? And when I'm saying "this
- 15 litigation, " I'm talking about the CARE/CFS litigation.
- 16 A Since March of 2013?
- 17 O Yes.
- 18 A I remember talking to her in May and asked for a
- 19 generic update. That would have been May of 2013. I
- 20 believe in the summer of -- it would be July or early
- 21 August of 2013 --
- 22 MR. HARRINGTON: I want to interpose an
- objection here and caution the witness not to divulge
- 24 what we would consider privileged and objectionable and
- irrelevant information about who is funding the



1 lawsuit, how much, how that funding works, 2. communications about the funding. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: I'm not discussing the funding right at the moment. 4 5 MR. HARRINGTON: I just want to make sure 6 that the witness wasn't going to volunteer that. 7 Your question -- can I have the question repeated back 8 again, please? 9 (Last question and answer read as follows:) 10 "QUESTION: How many times have you talked with 11 her since March 2013 about this litigation? And when 12 I'm saying "this litigation," I'm talking about the 13 CARE/CFS litigation. "ANSWER: Since March of 2013? 14 15 "QUESTION: Yes." 16 So we have two. May of 2013. One is in July/August of 17 2013. Let's start -- let's go with May. What was 18 discussed in May of 2013? 19 Α I asked her for an update, just kind of where's the 20 case, what's the timeline, what's it going -- or how's 21 it going. 22 What did she tell you? Q 23 Α I don't remember on the phone call. I believe they 24 sent some kind of a generic update at the time. 25 Q In writing?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did you produce that to us?
- 3 A I don't know.
- 4 Q It's not in the privilege log either.
- 5 MR. TEBBUTT: We request a copy of that
- 6 update.
- 7 MR. COOKE: We'll look for it, to the extent
- 8 it hasn't been produced already.
- 9 Q What about in July or August of 2013? What did you
- 10 talk about?
- 11 A I think I asked if she would be available to come to
- 12 Portland to a meeting.
- 13 Q And I believe it's documented in the file that you
- produced she did come and give an update to the group
- in Portland, correct?
- MR. COOKE: It was produced. It's the first
- one on the production log, May 2013. I believe that's
- 18 the record.
- 19 MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. That's the one we
- requested earlier, because there's no attorney-client
- 21 privilege.
- MR. COOKE: Again, that's noted and we would
- 23 disagree with that.
- MR. TEBBUTT: I didn't hear any objections
- when I was questioning the witness about it or there



1 was any --2 MR. COOKE: I've been trying to provide you a 3 little bit of leeway to get to the nature and substance 4 of the representation, not the exact details or 5 substance of those communications. So if you'd like, I can object every time you raise it and we keep battling 6 7 it out, but I was trying to give you some flexibility 8 to ask your questions to get the information you think 9 you need. 10 MR. TEBBUTT: Once again, the record speaks 11 for itself. 12 Okay. So did Ms. Kristensen agree to come to Portland? 13 Yes. Α 14 And who -- who was the audience for that meeting? 15 Α Mostly dairy producers from across Oregon, Washington, 16 my board. 17 MR. HARRINGTON: And we're going to object to 18 divulging any additional information about the audience. 19 20 Well, all of those entities were not in any kind of 21 attorney-client privilege relationship with Givens 22 Pursley, were they? 23 Α I don't know. 24 MR. HARRINGTON: If you know. 25 A I don't know.



```
1
                   THE WITNESS: If this is a convenient time,
 2
         can we get another break?
 3
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Yes.
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record
 4
 5
         at 3:16.
 6
                                        (Off the record.)
              (Exhibit No. 219 marked for identification.)
 7
 8
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record
 9
              at 3:21.
10 Q
         The last exhibit that you had there, can you hand that
11
         to me, please?
12
              Again, going back to Exhibit 165, previously
13
         marked, what else of the conversations during that
14
         meeting do you recall?
15
   Α
         During this meeting?
16
         Yes.
   0
17
   Α
         I -- that's -- I don't recall a lot about it, again.
18
         And then you had dinner that night, correct?
19
   Α
         Yes.
20
         And did you discuss the cases and the strategy with
21
         some of your individual WSDF members at that meeting?
22
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague as to
23
         "strategy."
24 A
         I don't remember that. It was more of just a dinner to
25
         relax and get to know each other.
```



- 1 0 No questions were asked or discussed about the
- 2 litigation?
- 3 A At dinner? No. I don't really remember. I remember
- 4 it being kind of light and social.
- 5 Q So questions about CARE and who they were and CFS and
- 6 who they were?
- 7 A No. I don't remember that.
- 8 O About any of the attorneys who were involved?
- 9 A (Witness shook head negatively.)
- 10 Q Were there discussions about the legal team for the
- 11 plaintiffs during the 3/30 meeting?
- 12 A What 3/30 meeting are you referring to?
- 13 Q The agenda.
- 14 A I'm sorry. Ask the question again.
- 15 Q Yeah. Was there discussion about the plaintiff's legal
- team and their strategies during -- during that
- 17 meeting?
- 18 A The plaintiffs, the families, is who you're referring
- 19 to?
- 20 Q No. I'm referring -- the plaintiffs are CARE and
- 21 Center For Food Safety.
- 22 A Oh, I'm sorry. I don't remember that coming up, no.
- 23 Q Moving on -- I'll take that back, please.
- 24 Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 219 in
- 25 this case.



- 1 This was produced by you, and it's WSDF 002217.
- Is this the meeting that you were referring to
- 3 where Ms. Kristensen appeared in Portland to discuss
- 4 the RCRA lawsuits?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And were both Ms. Kristensen and Mr. O'Riordan present?
- 7 A I don't believe Mr. O'Riordan was there.
- 8 O And what did Ms. Kristensen tell the audience at that
- 9 meeting?
- 10 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Asked and
- answered.
- 12 A Again, the outline says it pretty much was -- she gave
- an update of the timeline, generic activities and
- actions regarding the case in Yakima.
- 15 Q Right. What did she say? I understand what the
- document says, but you tell me what it says. It was
- 17 from 1:00 to -- 1:00 to 5:00. So how long did her
- 18 briefing last?
- 19 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Asked and
- answered.
- 21 MR. TEBBUTT: It wasn't.
- 22 A Her briefing might have been a half-hour to 45 minutes
- of that, if I remember right, which I think I do, I
- 24 think.
- 25 Q So what actions did she say that the defendants were



- 1 taking to defend the case?
- 2 A I don't remember her talking about the specific actions
- of defending the case. I remember her talking about
- describing RCRA, what the law was, and how a change
- 5 in -- via this litigation would affect producers and
- 6 what it would mean. I'm sure she -- I know she talked
- 7 about timeline, of kind of generic what the case was
- 8 time -- the timeline was on. That's about what I
- 9 remember.
- 10 Q Was this part of your fundraising strategy, to bring
- the attorney in to try to raise funds from these other
- 12 groups?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vaque.
- 14 A No. This was more informational, just producers,
- what's going on, what's the -- what's the whole
- 16 situation in Yakima about.
- 17 O Was there discussion about media or public relations
- campaigns to try to counteract the message that came
- out of the EPA report or the citizen lawsuits?
- 20 A I don't remember that, no.
- 21 Q Does WSDF have a media strategy in place to try to
- 22 counteract the EPA study and the litigation by CARE and
- 23 CFS?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q No media strategy at all?



Α (Witness shook head negatively.) 1 2 0 Are you part of the commercials that are airing on the 3 radio about how wonderful the milk producers are in the state of Washington and how they contribute to the 4 5 economy? MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates the 6 7 evidence. 8 Α No. I don't know what commercials you're talking 9 about. 10 WSDF hasn't participated in those? 11 MR. COOKE: Same objection. 12 Α No. 13 (Exhibit No. 220 marked for identification.) 14 Q Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 220 in production from you, meaning the Dairy Federation, 15 16 produced by your counsel, email from you dated October 17 23, 2013. And there are three emails on the page. These were -- referred to contribution agreements. 18 19 What contribution agreements are you referring to? MR. HARRINGTON: And I am going to object on 20 21 the same basis as before, including common interest and 22 attorney-client privilege and associational privileges, 23 and irrelevance. You can testify whether the statement in the email 24 25 is correct or not, but I'm going to instruct you not to



- 1 answer as to the content of any contribution agreements
- 2 or any details about them.
- 3 Q So I'm going to ask you: Did you ever find the
- 4 contribution agreements?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And did Wendy keep a copy?
- 7 A I don't know.
- 8 Q Do you have a copy in your files?
- 9 A I don't believe so.
- 10 Q How do you know they exist?
- 11 A Hugh would have tormented me if we hadn't gotten them
- 12 to him.
- 13 Q So when you said earlier that you found them, they were
- 14 at the Givens Pursley firm?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 16 testimony.
- 17 Q Well, you said you found them. Where did you find
- 18 them? Let's try it that way.
- 19 A What I say in here is we had Dave Boon as the president
- of the Washington Ag Legal Foundation, and he signed
- 21 them.
- 22 Q I know that. But my question is, you said you found
- them. I'm just asking you where you found them.
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q But you said you found them, so you've seen them?



- 1 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 2 the witness's testimony.
- 3 A I have seen signed contribution agreements.
- 4 Q They weren't produced to us in discovery and they're
- 5 not on the privilege log, so I'm going to ask, at the
- 6 very least, they be identified in the privilege -- are
- 7 you claiming privilege for them?
- 8 MR. COOKE: We will be claiming privilege for
- 9 them to the extent WSDF has them in their possession.
- 10 Q Do you know today whether they are in WSDF possession?
- 11 A They are not.
- 12 Q So when you were looking for documents in response to
- this subpoena, did you -- did you find them?
- 14 A We did not.
- 15 Q Did you inquire as to where they were during the
- 16 request for the subpoena?
- 17 MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope of
- the deposition documents.
- 19 A State the question again.
- 20 Q Did you search for them as part of the response to the
- 21 subpoena?
- MR. COOKE: Same objection. Outside the
- 23 scope.
- 24 A This would have been part of the search and they did
- 25 not come up.



- 1 (Exhibit No. 221 marked for identification.)
- 2 Q You have in front of you, sir, Exhibit 221, a document
- 3 prepared by you called, "Report on Yakima Litigation,"
- 4 correct, dated October 26, 2013?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And to whom was this provided? What -- I know
- 7 it's -- who it says, but is there a certain group or
- 8 category of people to whom this was provided?
- 9 A Interested parties.
- 10 Q And, again, how did you determine who the interested
- 11 parties were?
- 12 A Starts with the board, other dairy groups around the
- west and across the nation. Other groups and other
- people that are on here.
- 15 Q And that includes the primary defendants in these
- cases, correct? Down at the bottom of the bcc's.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q On the second page of Exhibit 221, bottom, "Activity to
- 19 Raise Awareness and Funds to Support the Litigation."
- 20 Discusses Bob Naerebout met with certain entities and
- 21 that Jay and Dan -- and I assume that's Dan Wood,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q -- have participated in virtually all national
- 25 agricultural environment conference calls since last



- 1 winter.
- 2 How many of those conference calls have you had?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague as to
- 4 "you."
- 5 Q Have you been on, you personally.
- 6 A As of the writing of this email or as of today?
- 7 Q Well, let's start with as of the writing of the email.
- 8 A Oh, I can't say for sure. Five, eight, six, somewhere
- 9 in there. Probably less than ten.
- 10 Q Are they monthly calls?
- 11 A No. They're ad hoc.
- 12 Q Did you call any of -- when I say call them, did you
- call to order any of these national calls?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q No? You just joined in existing ones?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 O Did you request that one or more of these calls be
- 18 brought together on an ad hoc basis to discuss these
- 19 cases?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Has WSDF retained the services of a professional
- 22 fundraiser for these cases?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q So the statement at the top of the third page is
- incorrect? Well, it says it's working on retaining the



1 services of a professional fundraiser. Did that ever 2 happen? It did not. 3 Α 4 How much of the litigation costs are being covered by 5 the Dairy Federation and other nonparties to the litigation? 6 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. 8 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. MR. COOKE: I'll instruct the client not to 9 10 answer. 11 MR. TEBBUTT: There's a lot of information in 12 here about the costs. I'm just trying to figure out 13 what the share is. 14 MR. HARRINGTON: Instruct the witness not to 15 answer. 16 There have been other representations in other 17 documents which are already in the record that say 50 18 percent of the costs are being covered. Let me 19 rephrase the question. 20 Are more than 50 percent of the costs of 21 litigation being covered by outside entities, other than the actual defendants in this case? 22 23 MR. COOKE: Objection. Attorney-client 24 privilege. Instruct you not to answer the question. 25 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Same basis as



1 before, yeah. 2 MR. TEBBUTT: I'll reserve on that issue once 3 again. 4 (Exhibit No. 222 marked for identification.) 5 Mr. Gordon, you have in front of you Exhibit 222, email from Dennis Halladay at Hoard's West to you. And 6 7 asking you to edit an article for Hoard's Dairyman, 8 H-o-a-r-d-s. Do you see that? 9 Yes. Α 10 And in your email to Mr. Halladay dated November 2, 11 2013, regarding the article, you say your intent "was 12 to say that we can't promise nitrogen will get below 13 the root zone ever." Is that --14 MR. COOKE: Objection. Document speaks for itself. 15 16 So what did you change in the article, is my question? 17 I believe the reference -- the document says it, by and 18 large, but I said the line about 11 parts per million 19 in the groundwater shouldn't be written that way. My intention was to say that we can't promise nitrogen 20 won't get below the root zone ever. 21 22 So you weren't promising that nitrates will never get Q above 11 parts per million. Is that what you changed? 23 24 Α I was asking -- again, the document says -- the line 25 about 11 probably should be -- my intent was to say we



- can't promise nitrogen won't get below the root zone
- ever.
- 3 Q Right. But what I don't have is the draft that said
- 4 something about 11 parts per million, right? There's
- 5 this article --
- 6 A Right.
- 7 Q -- but I don't have the first draft of it. Do you
- 8 still have that first draft?
- 9 A I -- I do not.
- 10 Q What would you have done with it?
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q You received it by -- the article by email, correct?
- 13 A I probably would have, yes.
- 14 Q Well, it says -- doesn't it say right on the first page
- of 222, "Good morning, Jay. Please take a look at this
- and let me know"? So it wouldn't have been an
- 17 attachment?
- 18 A It would have been an attachment --
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates the
- document.
- 21 0 Where's the attachment?
- 22 A I don't know.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Request the attachment to that
- email, please.
- MR. COOKE: To the extent it exists.



```
1
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Well, if you deleted it, you
 2
         can get it off the hard drive.
         And in the article itself -- which is actually not
 3
 4
         marked by WSDF, so it looks like we got it through
         another source -- you say, "There is no possible
 5
         way" -- on the second page -- "that some nitrogen won't
 6
 7
         get below the root zone sometimes, despite farmers'
 8
         best plans and intentions."
 9
              You said that, right?
10
         Yes.
   Α
11
         So this article came out in November of 2013?
   0
12
         No. January 10, 2014.
   Α
13
         Okay. Where do you see that?
    0
14
   Α
         Last line. Well, third-to-last line. Right down at
         the very bottom.
15
16
         Oh, okay. Thank you. And above -- just above that,
17
         you say, "I'll guarantee that our side is going to
18
         submit testimony about the 38 individual scientists,
         associations, organizations, and agencies that
19
20
         submitted comments to EPA saying its science is so bad
21
         it needs to be done over."
22
              Who's your side?
23
   Α
         That's a generic reference to the defendants' side,
24
         dairy farmers, the families.
25
              (Exhibit No. 223 marked for identification.)
```



- 1 Q Mr. Gordon, you have in front of you Exhibit 223, which
- is an email from you to various people, including the
- defendants in these cases. What is the significance of
- 4 this attachment in sending this link to those
- 5 individuals?
- 6 A This appears to be an FYI.
- 7 O About what?
- 8 A About this article.
- 9 Q Right. So is the intent to show -- what? Anything?
- 10 MR. COOKE: Objection --
- 11 Q Any message you're trying to convey here?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 13 A No.
- 14 (Exhibit No. 224 marked for identification.)
- 15 Q 224 is an email from Bill Dolsen to you dated February
- 16 6, 2014. How much conversation have you had with
- 17 Mr. Dolsen about these cases?
- 18 A Not very much.
- 19 Q Are the emails produced the extent of the
- communications you've had with Mr. Dolsen and what
- 21 you've already testified to today, or have you had
- 22 other communications with him?
- 23 A We've talked on the phone a couple of times, few times.
- 24 Q When you say "Mr. Dolsen," you're referring to Bill?
- 25 A I am. Bill, in this particular case, yes. I have



- 1 talked to Bill and to Adam occasionally.
- 2 Q Do you talk to them together all the time or
- 3 separately?
- 4 A Usually separate.
- 5 Q Are you talking to them about the billings in this case
- 6 or are you talking to them about other things? And I'm
- asking just generally, not with respect to this
- 8 particular document yet, just generally.
- 9 And just -- I'm asking a general question. Do you
- talk to them about billing issues only or about
- 11 substantive issues or case issues? And I'm not
- referring to this document right at the moment.
- 13 A Okay. The last few times I think have been mostly
- billing. And this -- and this -- and this would be a
- 15 written clarification.
- 16 Q So do you receive the full bills as well from -- from
- the attorneys' offices?
- 18 A The Washington Ag Legal Foundation does, and we receive
- 19 them.
- 20 O So they receive the actual billing information not just
- 21 the summaries, but the actual individual time sheets
- 22 and summaries of actual time worked?
- 23 A No, I've never seen that.
- 24 O Just the summaries?
- 25 A Yes.



```
Just the final, Here's the total; here's what you owe?
 1
   0
 2
   Α
         Yes.
              (Exhibit No. 225 marked for identification.)
 3
 4
         So, Mr. Gordon, is Exhibit 225 an example of the kind
   0
 5
         of billing statement that you get in these cases?
                   MR. HARRINGTON: I'm going to instruct the
 6
 7
         witness not to answer for the reasons stated before,
 8
         and I think we're going to consider whether this was
 9
         inadvertently produced.
10
                   MR. COOKE: Disclosed. I agree with that.
11
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Are you instructing him not to
12
         answer?
13
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Yes.
                   MR. COOKE: Um-hmm.
14
15
                   MR. TEBBUTT: We take exception to that, once
16
         again, and we'll reserve for a later time.
17
              (Exhibit No. 226 marked for identification.)
18
         Exhibit 226 is an email from you to Mike Anderson of
19
         Farmers Co-op, correct?
20
   Α
         Yes.
21
         And who's Farmers Co-op?
22
         Farmers Co-op Creamery is a cooperative based out of
   Α
23
         Oregon.
24 0
         And they've been contributing as well?
25
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
```



- 1 MR. COOKE: Objection.
- MR. HARRINGTON: Same basis. Therefore,
- 3 instruct the witness not to answer.
- 4 MR. TEBBUTT: Tells you right there on the
- 5 page: "Thank you and your board for their help and
- 6 leadership." So they've been contributing?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection.
- 8 MR. HARRINGTON: Same objection.
- 9 MR. TEBBUTT: You provide the document to us
- 10 and now we can't ask questions about it?
- 11 MR. COOKE: I think it possibly was
- 12 inadvertently disclosed.
- 13 MR. TEBBUTT: Inadvertently disclosed?
- 14 There's no attorney-client privilege between WSDF and
- 15 Farmers Co-op.
- MR. COOKE: Well, I've already told you my
- 17 position on donor lists and membership lists. Have you
- 18 disclosed your membership list for your organization?
- MR. TEBBUTT: I'm not asking for membership
- 20 lists.
- 21 MR. COOKE: Donor lists?
- MR. TEBBUTT: We have a legitimate right to
- 23 keep it withheld. You've made public declarations as
- 24 to what they are. So I have a right to inquire into
- 25 those.



1 MR. COOKE: I guess I disagree with that 2. assessment. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: We haven't made public declarations to that effect. 4 5 MR. COOKE: I disagree with that assessment. 6 MR. TEBBUTT: Well, the record speaks very well for itself. 7 8 MR. COOKE: It's noted. 9 So do you give these kinds of updates to all of the 10 entities that contribute to this litigation? 11 Α No. 12 Who do you give them to? 13 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection to the extent that 14 it would identify any particular donor. 15 MR. TEBBUTT: Let me rephrase. 16 What criteria do you use -- criterion or criteria do 17 you use to determine who to give updates to? MR. HARRINGTON: Objection to that as well. 18 That would disclose information about this particular 19 20 entity's participation. 21 MR. TEBBUTT: No it wouldn't. I'm just 22 asking generally --23 MR. HARRINGTON: Anything he answers would mean that they, by default, fit that criteria. 24 25 Other than this entity, what criterion or criteria do

you use to determine who you sent updates to? 1 I don't have any fixed criteria. 2 So it's just arbitrary? 3 0 4 This towards the bottom is an example of an update that Α 5 we have talked about before. Goes out to the board, key leaders on an ad hoc basis occasionally. 6 7 So the information that's on the bottom of the page 226 8 and carries over onto 227 is a cut and paste from a document that goes to lots of different entities? Is 9 10 that what you're saying? 11 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. 12 MR. COOKE: Objection. 13 MR. HARRINGTON: Mischaracterizes the 14 witness's testimony. 15 MR. COOKE: Same objection. 16 Is that a fair summary? 17 I don't know if this was cut and paste or this was 18 added to, but it's -- it's what it says it is. It's an 19 update. (Exhibit No. 227 marked for identification.) 20 21 In front of you is Exhibit 227, WSDF000252. This talks 22 about -- it's a Memorandum of Understanding between the 23 State Dairy Federation and Yakima County Dairy 24 Federation. Why do you need a Memorandum of 25 Understanding if the Yakima County Dairy Federation is



```
1
         a subsidiary of WSDF?
 2.
         I believe this document refers to -- this is a
 3
         written -- just a written -- it's very formal. I'm not
 4
         sure why we wrote it this formal. But this would have
 5
         referred to an agreement that we would help the local
         unit with some technical -- make sure that we had
 6
 7
         technical assistance, policy review, and this would
 8
         have been related mostly -- I think actually
 9
         exclusively to the groundwater management area process.
10
         And so this is just putting in writing kind of
11
         a -- putting in writing an agreement between our local
         board and representatives on the GWMA. Again, written
12
         a little formally for a document like this, but that's
13
         the intent of what the document was.
14
15
         And this is trying to obtain technical information to
16
         assist Dairy Federation members in the GWMA process?
17
   Α
         Yes.
18
         Okay.
              (Exhibit No. 228 marked for identification.)
19
20
         Exhibit 228 is research fund proposals that have been
21
         produced by you in response to the subpoenas in your
22
         file.
23
   Α
         Yes.
24
         Just have a couple of questions about them. The first
25
         one, the Pre-Proposal Template, which is Bates-stamped
```



- 1 293, principal investigator Joe Harrison, Nutrient
- 2 Management-Environmental Stewardship-Nitrogen
- 3 Utilization. States that this project was to start, it
- 4 looks like, in 2012 and be completed in the summer of
- 5 2013. Was this study done, to your knowledge?
- 6 MR. HARRINGTON: Which page are you referring
- 7 to, Charlie?
- 8 A It's 293 --
- 9 Q 293.
- 10 A -- and 294?
- 11 O Correct.
- MR. HARRINGTON: So these are all part of
- 13 228?
- MR. TEBBUTT: They are.
- 15 Q Do you understand my question?
- 16 A I do. I do.
- 17 O My question is: Is the study done?
- 18 A I don't know if the study was ever done. This was a
- 19 preproposal.
- 20 O Do you know if it was accepted?
- 21 A I don't recall. I don't know.
- 22 Q Is there something in your files that would tell you
- 23 whether it was or not?
- 24 A No. This would have been handed off to the dairy
- commission for their consideration at this time, and I



- don't believe they approved this.
- 2 Q Did you have input into whether to approve this
- 3 project?
- 4 A No. Not at the end.
- 5 Q Well, in the beginning, did you have input?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Did you give your seal of approval to the project? Did
- 8 you ask the Dairy Commission to approve the project?
- 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Compound.
- 10 Vague.
- 11 A Our board would have discussed this, and I don't -- I
- don't remember -- I don't remember the discussion,
- whether they approved it or not that year. I mean,
- this is --
- 15 Q So that would be reflective -- approval or not would be
- reflective in WSDF minutes of meetings?
- 17 A No, I don't think it would.
- 18 Q Why not?
- 19 A Again, this -- this process is -- we help gather
- information -- or interested parties, and then this is
- 21 passed on to their products commission. We may
- 22 have -- may have record of this, but I don't recall
- 23 this study being funded.
- 24 Q All right. Going to ask you the same question with
- 25 289, which is -- the principal of the project was



```
1
         Nicole Embertson. Was this project approved?
 2.
         I don't -- I don't believe this one was -- I don't
 3
         recall it being approved, and I -- yeah, I don't -- I
 4
         don't remember this being approved, but, again, this
 5
         would have gone to the Dairy Commission, and I -- but I
         don't recall this one being approved either.
 6
 7
         In the first paragraph of the Purpose and Rationale of
8
         Study, it refers -- it says, third sentence, "However,
         due to a lack of practical guidance otherwise
9
10
         currently, the most common practice for determining
11
         manure nutrient is retroactively by taking a manure
12
         sample during application time and getting results a
         week later -- getting results a week later or by using
13
14
         the previous year's results to calculate agronomic
15
         rates for the current year. Both of these methods lead
         to inaccurate nutrient value estimations and
16
17
         application range -- rates, which waste a valuable
18
         resource."
19
              Do you agree or disagree with those statements?
                   MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for an expert
20
21
         opinion.
22
         I disagree in some regards with that statement, yes.
   Α
23
         And agree in some regards, I take it, too?
   0
24
   Α
         Yes.
25
              (Exhibit No. 229 marked for identification.)
```



1 You have in front of you 229, Mr. Gordon. On the 0 2 second page of 229 is a WDPC report on the meeting which occurred January 29, 2013. It talks 3 4 about -- forgive my ignorance, but who -- what's WDPC? 5 That's the Washington Dairy Products Commission. Α Okay. There's a discussion on the bottom of the second 6 7 page, which is Bates-stamped 348, about how WDPC gets 8 the media to publish dairy stories. Do you know who Mark Leader is? 9 10 Mark Leader was an employee of the Washington Dairy 11 Products Commission. 12 And says here, "Janet explained that Mark Leader is a 13 traditional newspaper reporter, and he will write the 14 story and review each story with Blair Thompson and Jay Gordon before calling his contacts with the media. 15 16 said that there are times the media accepts the article 17 and other times they will not. Some stories are paid, 18 others are not." Is this part of your media strategy 19 to get your word out about the dairy industry? 20 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his prior 21 testimony. 22 This is a discussion about the Dairy Products media 23 work and the people, Mr. Leader and Blair Thompson 24 and -- at the Dairy Products Commission. 25 Right. And it says you.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q You get to review all of these articles before they go
- out. So you're part of this media strategy too,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A I don't review every story, but I do occasionally get
- 6 calls.
- 7 Q But you do get the opportunity to review them, do you
- 8 not?
- 9 A Not on every article, but occasionally, yes.
- 10 Q Take a look at the fifth page of Exhibit 229, which is
- Bates-stamped 351. Do you see that?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And the section "EPA Lower Yakima Valley nitrate
- 14 issues."
- 15 A Where are you at?
- 16 Q Middle of the page.
- 17 A Okay. Yes.
- 18 Q Third paragraph -- well, just read it to yourself. And
- does this refresh your recollection about what was
- 20 discussed at your meeting with Mr. McLerran and
- 21 Bill Dunbar of EPA that you testified to earlier?
- 22 A (Witness complied.)
- 23 Question again, please?
- 24 Q Does this document refresh your recollection about what
- was discussed at your meeting with Mr. McLerran and



1 Mr. Dunbar that you and Mr. Wood attended? 2. Α Yes. 3 Anything else you want to tell us about that meeting 4 that isn't reflected in these notes? 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague and 6 compound. MR. TEBBUTT: I'll strike it and we'll move 7 8 on. (Exhibit No. 230 marked for identification.) 9 10 Mr. Gordon, handing you what appear to be minutes from 11 a board call on February 20, 2013, of the Washington 12 State Dairy Federation. Do you see -- you agree that 13 these are minutes of that call? 14 Α Yes. 15 0 Under the category "Yakima and EPA" --16 Α Yes. 17 -- that talks about the Administrative Order and 18 Consent with EPA and that you reported that the revised 19 agreement was very close to what the producers and the 20 Federation have been asking for. Do you see that? 21 Α Yes. 22 And so the consent decree that EPA originally gave to 0 23 you and you saw and testified to earlier that you saw 24 in September of 2012 changed quite a bit to what you 25 have characterized here in this call in February of



1 2013, correct? 2. MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague. 3 Compound. 4 I'm sorry. Could you say that question again? Α Yeah. Well, essentially it says that the producers and Dairy 5 Federation got what they wanted, doesn't it, what they 6 asked for in the consent decree? 7 8 MR. HARRINGTON: Object --MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates the content 9 10 of the document. Document speaks for itself. 11 It says, "very close to what the producers and 12 Federation have been asking for, " correct? 13 It does say that. Α 14 You agree with that statement, right? 0 15 Α That's what it says. 16 (Exhibit No. 231 marked for identification.) 17 Exhibit 231 is a Legislative and Regulatory Update 18 prepared by the Dairy Federation, correct? 19 Α Yes. And is this something Mr. Wood would have prepared? 20 21 We would have done this together. Α 22 So you and he did this together. 0 23 The second page of the document, "Upcoming Work 24 and Discussions." It says, the third bullet point, 25 "EPA. Continues back-channel. Ignorance-based



1 arm-twisting tactics." 2. You're talking about EPA there? MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes 3 4 the document. 5 Are you referring to EPA? 6 Α Yes. 7 So these other recommendations are ones that you and 0 8 Mr. Wood have identified to your -- to, whom, your membership or to others? 9 I'm sorry. Say the question again. 10 11 Yes. To whom does this legislative and regulatory Q 12 update 90? 13 MR. HARRINGTON: If you need a minute to review the document. 14 15 Α This would likely go to my board. 16 0 Okay. 17 (Exhibit No. 232 marked for identification.) 18 Exhibit 232, email that was produced by WSDF and talks about the fundraising plans, correct? 19 20 Α Yes. (Exhibit No. 233 marked for identification.) 21 233, are these notes prepared by you or Dan Wood? 22 Q 23 MR. HARRINGTON: Take a minute to review the 24 document if you need. 25 Q It says you were not present, right?



- 1 A It does say that.
- 2 Q Right. So who would have -- who would have taken these
- 3 minutes?
- 4 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Mischaracterizes
- 5 the document.
- 6 MR. TEBBUTT: It's a question, not a
- 7 characterization.
- 8 A I don't know.
- 9 Q Someone at WSDF?
- 10 A I don't know.
- 11 Q Okay. They were provided to you, correct, to WSDF?
- 12 A I don't know.
- 13 Q Well, it came out of your files. So they were either
- created by you or provided to you, correct?
- 15 A That would be correct.
- 16 Q Right. So the goal is to raise \$5 million for the
- defense of these cases; is that right?
- 18 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Document speaks
- 19 for itself.
- 20 MR. COOKE: Objection. Document speaks for
- 21 itself.
- MR. HARRINGTON: And instruct the witness not
- to answer.
- MR. TEBBUTT: It says right here. I'm asking
- 25 him a question about a document that's been produced.



- 1 It's a perfectly legitimate line of questioning.
- 2 MR. HARRINGTON: I disagree. Objection.
- MR. TEBBUTT: You produced the document and
- 4 you're not letting me ask questions about it. That is
- 5 completely irrational and unsupportable.
- 6 Are you still -- are you instructing him not to
- 7 answer the question?
- 8 MR. HARRINGTON: I'm instructing him not to
- 9 answer. As I stated before, our position is that
- 10 information about the fundraising and the funding of
- 11 the lawsuit, who are the funders, the manner in which
- 12 the lawsuit is funded, the agreements, if any, that
- 13 underlie the funding of the lawsuit, all of that is
- 14 protectable, it is privileged, it is irrelevant to the
- 15 investigation, and it also implicates the associational
- 16 interests of the defendants and of the WSDF --
- 17 MR. TEBBUTT: All I'm asking --
- 18 MR. HARRINGTON: -- and we are instructing
- 19 you not to answer anything additional beyond
- 20 what -- what is disclosed in this document, and we will
- 21 consider whether it was properly produced.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Well, all I'm trying to verify
- 23 is that the goal is to raise \$5 million, and you're
- 24 instructing him not answer that.
- 25 Are you instructing him not to answer that, Mr.



```
1
         Cooke?
 2
                   MR. COOKE: I'd echo the same objections.
 3
         He's already stated them. He's stated our position.
 4
                   MR. TEBBUTT: So you're adopting his
 5
         objections?
                   MR. COOKE: I have the same objections.
 6
 7
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Well, I didn't hear them until
 8
         just now.
9
                   MR. COOKE: Would you like me to raise them
10
         again? I can talk over him, if that's going to help.
11
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I just want to know whether
12
         you're objecting, because you're his counsel.
13
                   MR. COOKE: And I said --
14
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Just now you did.
15
                   MR. COOKE: -- I have the same objections --
16
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Okay.
17
                   MR. COOKE: -- when you asked.
              (Exhibit No. 234 marked for identification.)
18
         Not to belabor the point any more than I have to,
19
    0
20
         Mr. Gordon, Exhibit 234 is more information about
21
         fundraising activities, is it not, with respect to this
22
         litigation?
23
   Α
         Yes.
24
         And, sir, are you part of the Washington Agriculture
25
         Legal Foundation? "You," meaning the Washington State
```



- 1 Dairy Federation?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope.
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Who is the Washington Agriculture Legal Foundation?
- 5 A It's an agricultural legal foundation in the state of
- 6 Washington.
- 7 (Exhibit No. 235 marked for identification.)
- 8 Q Exhibit 235 is something on Washington Agriculture
- 9 Legal Foundation, WSDFs, and IDA's letterhead. Do you
- 10 see that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Did you help produce this document?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague as to
- 14 "produce."
- 15 Q Did you help write it?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And to whom did this go?
- 18 A Again, interested parties, dairy farms, dairy farmers.
- 19 Q Part of the fundraising strategy?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q Mr. Gordon, when was the first time you saw a copy of
- 22 the draft general permit for CAFOs from the new round
- of CAFO permitting?
- 24 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague.
- 25 Q Do you know what I'm talking about, the new round of



- 1 CAFO permitting starting in about 2011, 2012?
- 2 A It's supposed to get going.
- 3 Q Right. When was the first time you received a draft of
- 4 the general permit?
- 5 A I saw a copy -- I don't remember. It would have been
- 6 2011, 2012, I remember seeing a copy of it.
- 7 Q How did you get it?
- 8 A I don't remember.
- 9 Q Who gave it to you?
- 10 A I don't know. I just remember seeing it.
- 11 Q Was it given to you in hard copy?
- 12 A I remember seeing a hard copy of it.
- 13 Q Were you given a hard copy of it?
- 14 A I had a copy of it, so I got it from somebody. I don't
- 15 remember where.
- 16 Q Where did you first see it?
- 17 A I don't remember.
- 18 Q Was it given to you at your office?
- 19 A No. If I remember right, I got a copy at my house. It
- was mailed to me.
- 21 Q By whom?
- 22 A I don't know.
- 23 Q Do you still have the envelope it came in?
- 24 A I doubt -- no, I doubt it. It was a long time ago.
- 25 O Was there a return address on it?



- 1 A I don't remember, no.
- 2 Q You don't remember whether there was or not, or there
- 3 wasn't?
- 4 A I don't recall a return envelope -- a return address on
- 5 it.
- 6 Q Was there any note included with it?
- 7 A None that I recall.
- 8 Q Was there a little sticky note on it that says, "See
- 9 this"?
- 10 A I don't remember that, no.
- 11 Q Did it come from Ginny Prest?
- 12 A I suspected at the time it did.
- 13 Q Did you confirm later whether it did or not?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Do you still suspect that it came from her, then?
- 16 A I do.
- 17 Q Would you call it brown bag?
- 18 MR. COOKE: Objection.
- 19 Q Basically an unmarked envelope?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
- 21 MR. COOKE: Objection to form.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q How many copies of the draft permit have you seen since
- 24 then?
- 25 A That -- that one was the one I remember seeing and



- 1 that's it.
- 2 Q The only one?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Did that have in the draft a 15-part-per-million
- 5 nitrate standard?
- 6 A I don't remember.
- 7 Q Did you have discussions with Ginny Prest about 15
- 8 part-per-million nitrate standard in the permit and
- 9 that being unreasonable?
- 10 A We had discussions about the 15 part per million, I
- 11 know that. Whether it was unreasonable -- yeah, I'd
- 12 characterize it as that.
- 13 Q So you felt -- you feel it's unreasonable, the
- 14 15-part-per-million standard?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did Ginny say that she felt it was unreasonable too?
- 17 A She may have said that, yes.
- 18 Q Have you ever asked Ginny whether she provided you that
- 19 document or not?
- 20 A I have not.
- 21 Q Have you carefully avoided asking her that question?
- MR. HARRINGTON: Objection.
- 23 Q So as not to get her in trouble?
- 24 A I just have never asked her that question.
- 25 Q Have you thought about whether, if you asked her that



- 1 question and she said yes, it would get her in trouble?
- 2 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for
- 3 speculation.
- 4 A Not a lot, no.
- 5 Q That means that you have thought about it?
- 6 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his
- 7 testimony.
- 8 A I haven't asked Ginny that question.
- 9 Q That wasn't my question. I asked whether you thought
- about it and you said, "Not a lot." So you have
- 11 thought about it?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q How often do you communicate with Ms. Prest?
- 14 A Fairly frequently.
- 15 Q What's that?
- 16 A Once, twice a month.
- 17 Q How do you communicate with her?
- 18 A Phone calls, emails, stop in her office. Sometimes we
- meet each other at a bar south -- west of town.
- 20 Q What bar is that?
- 21 A Buzz's Inn -- or Buzz Inn.
- 22 Q Is that something that you set up regularly or is it
- just ad hoc?
- 24 A No. It's just ad hoc.
- 25 Q So you communicate with her once or twice a month?



- 1 A Yeah. Off and on. Sometimes more, sometimes less. It
- 2 just depends.
- 3 Q How much more sometimes?
- 4 A Maybe once a week sometimes. If I'm in town,
- 5 legislative session.
- 6 Q But no more than that?
- 7 A Communicate more often than once a week?
- 8 O Yeah.
- 9 A Oh, no, there may be times that we would talk more than
- 10 that. I don't know.
- 11 Q What would be the maximum that you would ever
- 12 communicate with her in a month?
- 13 A In a month?
- 14 O Yeah.
- 15 A Eight, ten, 12 times, 15 times maybe.
- 16 Q Maybe.
- 17 A Between phone calls or seeing her at meetings -- if
- it's a busy meeting -- busy month, yeah.
- 19 Q That would be the highest, then, 10, 12, 15 times?
- 20 A Yeah. That would be my guess, yes.
- 21 Q You have a cell phone that WSDF provides to you?
- 22 A It's my personal cell phone, but it's -- I use it for
- 23 the Federation as well.
- 24 Q And when you were looking at documents to produce in
- response to the subpoena, did you look at your phone?



I don't believe I did. I mean, I have email on it and 1 A 2 we looked at the email. 3 Do you ever text with Ms. Prest? 4 Α Yes. 5 Sticking with your story that the maximum you ever communicate with her during the month is 15? 6 MR. TEBBUTT: I'm sorry. Sorry. Go ahead 7 8 and mark that. (Exhibit No. 236 marked for identification.) 9 10 Q Are you sticking with your story that it's unusual to 11 talk with her more than -- you know, around 10, 12, 15 12 times a month? 13 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague, argumentative. 14 Misstates his testimony. 15 Α That's my best estimate right now, yeah. 16 Okay. Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 236. 17 MR. TEBBUTT: By the way, for the record, 18 these texts were not produced to us in response to the 19 subpoena. They've come from other sources. 20 MR. HARRINGTON: What sources are those? 21 MR. TEBBUTT: That's our business. MR. COOKE: I'm sorry. What was that? 22 23 MR. TEBBUTT: That's our business. 24 MR. HARRINGTON: His answer was, "That's our 25 business."



```
1
                  MR. TEBBUTT: 237?
 2
                  THE COURT REPORTER: 236.
 3
        Would it surprise you that you and Ms. Prest in one
   Q
 4
        month, I believe it was in February of 2014, texted to
 5
        each other 32 times?
                  MR. COOKE: Objection. Lack of foundation.
 6
 7
                  MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Assumes facts
 8
        not in evidence.
        Are those -- I haven't seen this document before. So
 9
10
        32 times -- 32 texts?
11
        Just in one month.
   0
12
   A
        Okay.
                  MR. HARRINGTON: I'm going to object.
13
                                                         Wе
        have not seen these documents before.
14
15
        Maybe even 33. I might have underestimated.
16
             Now, let's take a look at these.
17
                  MR. HARRINGTON: Are you counting each
         individual text as its own communication --
18
19
                  MR. TEBBUTT: Yep.
                  MR. HARRINGTON: -- in your count, Charlie?
20
                  MR. TEBBUTT: Absolutely.
21
22
        On the first page: "I'm ready" -- "tomorrow night."
   Q
23
        When you get back? "Tomorrow night. I'm ready."
24
             Ready for what?
25 A
        I don't know.
```



Did you send that text or did Ms. Prest send that text? 2. MR. COOKE: Objection. Lack of foundation. MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. We don't even 3 4 know --MR. COOKE: Unidentifiable source. We don't 5 know who this is to or from. 6 MR. HARRINGTON: What is your representation 7 8 as to what this is, Mr. Tebbutt? 9 MR. TEBBUTT: These are texts between Jay 10 Gordon and Ginny Prest. 11 MR. COOKE: How do we know that? 12 MR. TEBBUTT: Because it came from public 13 records requests from the Department of Agriculture. MR. HARRINGTON: So this is from the 14 15 Department of Agriculture? 16 MR. TEBBUTT: These are Department of 17 Agriculture files. 18 Do you agree that these are texts between you and 19 Ms. Prest, Mr. Gordon? 20 MR. HARRINGTON: Take a minute to review the 21 whole document to make sure you're sure. 22 0 By all means. 23 Α (Witness reviewed.) 24 The top of each page has a cutoff that says "Jay 25 Gordon" on it?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q At the top of every page.
- 3 A Yes. These appear to be texts between Ms. Prest and I.
- 4 Q Okay. Want to correct your testimony at all about how
- 5 often you communicate after seeing these?
- 6 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his
- 7 testimony.
- 8 A You asked about how often we communicate. You're
- 9 counting in a different manner than what I was
- 10 counting.
- 11 Q What were you counting?
- 12 A I was counting episodes, if you would like
- 13 clarification.
- 14 Q So what's an episode to you?
- 15 A A day, during the afternoon, do you text and -- it's a
- 16 conversation.
- 17 O So if you have ten communications a day with someone,
- you'd only count it as one because it's one day?
- 19 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates --
- 20 Q Is that what you're saying? Is that how you're trying
- 21 to reframe this?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Argumentative.
- 23 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Vague,
- incomplete hypothetical, argumentative.
- MR. TEBBUTT: There's no hypothetical. It's



- 1 right in front of him.
- 2 A It's a text communication log in front of me, and it
- 3 has communication back and forth in front of me between
- 4 Ms. Prest and I. Some of those occurred on the same
- 5 day. Some of them in the same time frame, an hour, so
- 6 I would classify this as a string of communications,
- 7 and how you want to count it is up to you.
- 8 Q So when you say it's a string of communications, are
- 9 you saying each string is one communication? Is that
- 10 how you're trying to characterize this?
- 11 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague and
- 12 argumentative.
- 13 A I'm not sure how to answer your question.
- 14 Q Why not? What's the matter with my question? Is there
- something wrong with my question?
- 16 Let me ask you, Mr. Gordon. How do you
- 17 characterize these communications? How many would you
- say you have?
- 19 A I already answered that. I told you I thought, based
- on just a rough guess, Ginny and I communicate maybe as
- 21 much as 10, 15 times a month at the most.
- 22 Q Right. And my question is: How do you determine
- what's a communication? What do you consider a
- 24 communication?
- 25 A I consider a communication a conversation, and that is



- 1 a two-way conversation between people. So if one text
- and then a reply constitutes two communications as
- 3 you're asking, then we're counting these...
- 4 Q So you're saying that a text and a response, in your
- 5 calculation, would be one communication?
- 6 MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his
- 7 testimony.
- 8 Q That's all I'm trying to get at.
- 9 A A text and response --
- 10 Q I'm trying to get an answer.
- 11 A A text and response would -- in my mind, would
- 12 consider -- would be an event. So if you have a
- conversation and they're linked, that is a
- 14 conversation. A conversation implies a two-way
- conversation between people.
- 16 O It's a communication. Email is a communication one
- 17 way, right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you may or may not get a response, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 O And a text in one direction is a communication, is it
- 22 not?
- 23 A It is.
- 24 Q And you may or may not get a response, correct?
- 25 A That's correct.



- 1 Q Let's take a look at the third page of Exhibit 236.
- 2 A (Witness complied.)
- 3 Q The next of November 7, 2013. "Hey there. Can we
- 4 visit soon?"
- 5 Is that from you or from -- from Ginny?
- 6 A I don't know.
- 7 Q Can you tell from the context of that page who sent
- 8 that text?
- 9 A No, I can't.
- 10 Q Who sent the text, "Nice letter. Green light IMHO."
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q What's IMHO?
- 13 A That would be shorthand, I believe, for "in my humble
- opinion."
- 15 Q Green light. Green light in my humble opinion. I see.
- 16 Is that the kind of shorthand that you use?
- 17 A I've used IMHO on occasion. It's not something I'm in
- 18 the habit of doing.
- 19 Q What's "good to read" refer to?
- 20 A I do not know.
- 21 Q Was that in response to "Same old stuff here. Had
- Roylene, Mark Clark and Bud on podium last evening,
- went well"? Did you send that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q What podium was that?



- 1 A We had Roylene Wright, Mark Clark, and Bud Hover at our
- annual meeting, and that would be what that was
- 3 referring to.
- 4 Q So you can't remember "can we visit soon," what that's
- 5 about?
- 6 A No, I can't.
- 7 Q Was it personal or was it in a professional capacity?
- 8 A It would have likely been in a professional capacity.
- 9 Q Let's turn to the fourth page of Exhibit 236.
- 10 "Headed to Wesens tomorrow."
- Is that you?
- 12 A I believe so, yes.
- 13 Q Okay. And was Ginny talking about the 590 discussion?
- When we're speaking of 590 discussion, we're talking
- about the NRCS 590 standard, correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q So you're congratulating her on a good job on that,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q On the seventh page of Exhibit 236 --
- 21 A The pages are not numbered.
- 22 Q They're not, so you're just going to have to go 2, 4, 6
- and I'll help you. In fact, you're looking at the
- 24 right page right there.
- 25 A Okay.



- 1 Q Text about "Jay, just a Happy Thanksgiving to you and
- 2 yours. Have a terrific trip to Cabo." Do you exchange
- 3 personal as well as professional texts with Ms. Prest
- 4 on a regular occasion?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q On the very next page, there's another text about "need
- 7 a warm beach badly." It's your response to Ginny,
- 8 right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And talks about a "chat about the prospective language"
- 11 re: GWMA soil test pilot."
- 12 What's that about?
- 13 A I'm not sure. There was some conversation last fall at
- the GWMA about a -- how to do soil test pilots. And
- that's about what I remember.
- 16 Q All right. Who's Patrick that you referred to, a
- friend you want to run it by?
- 18 A The only friend that I would know in Seattle would be
- 19 Patrick Ryan.
- 20 O And who's he?
- 21 A He occasionally is our attorney at the Dairy
- 22 Federation.
- 23 Q And is he an attorney with K & L Gates, Foster
- 24 Pepper --
- 25 A No.



- 1 0 -- Perkins Coie?
- 2 A Perkins Coie.
- 3 Q Perkins Coie. Okay.
- 4 The next text on the following page says, "Call
- 5 any time. Sent it to irrigated ag committee Jim Trull
- 6 and Steve Turner. I am confident WSDA will support."
- 7 That's from Ginny to you, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And what is it she's referring to? Is that the GWMA
- 10 soil test pilot?
- 11 A It would appear, yes.
- 12 Q Says she's suggesting Honeyford and Chandler, to them,
- to lobby, I suppose. Is that what you -- how you would
- interpret that email?
- 15 A I don't recall what this was about, and I don't
- remember why or I can't speculate on why the Honeyford
- 17 and Chandler.
- 18 Q All right. Let's go to the next in 12/19, a couple
- 19 pages later.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q Actually, on the prior page, I think, is where that
- 22 starts. Let's look at the one on 12/18. It says,
- 23 "Buzzes?"
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Is that to you -- from you to her or her to you? The



- 1 next one says, "You must be in Idaho."
- 2 A "Buzzes" would have been my text.
- 3 Q So you wanted to meet Ginny to talk about something.
- 4 A Could have just been -- yep.
- 5 Q Okay. And then so the couple texts, one at 4:46, one
- at 6:09, one at 6:10. Are all three of those from you?
- 7 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Assumes facts
- 8 not in evidence. Calls for speculation.
- 9 MR. TEBBUTT: Trying to establish the facts.
- 10 A I cannot follow this string.
- 11 Q Let's keep going; we don't want to belabor this too
- much.
- 13 Let's go with 12/26. "Ole, Senor." I assume
- that's Ginny to you, since she's not a senor, correct?
- 15 A That would be correct.
- 16 Q Next one, you respond: "I am not. Family needed a
- driver in Florida. We can chat as you wish, since I
- will be either standing in line at Disney World or
- 19 drinking beers and talking to you."
- 20 What were you talking about?
- 21 A What do you mean?
- 22 Q Did you talk to her?
- 23 A It doesn't appear so, no.
- 24 Q So in addition to the texts, you also talk to her on
- 25 cell phones, correct?



- 1 A Yes.
- 2 O And emails?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And in person?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And how often do you get together at Buzz's
- 7 every month?
- 8 A It depends.
- 9 Q At least once a month at Buzz's?
- 10 A Some months more, some months less. It just depends.
- 11 Q How many is the maximum time you meet at Buzz's in a
- 12 month?
- 13 A Oh, gosh. I don't know.
- 14 O With her.
- 15 A Maybe when the legislative season is going on, again,
- it may be once, twice a week some weeks. Other times,
- 17 not for weeks on end.
- 18 Q Let's go to 1/2. You sent a text of a picture
- 19 from -- it's maybe the -- it's one, two, three, fourth
- 20 page in with 1/2 on the bottom, 7:51p. Do you see
- 21 that?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Sent a picture of Disneyland castle to Ginny? What
- would have been, what, 10:51 Eastern Time?
- 25 A Sure. Yes.



- 1 Q So right around New Year's?
- 2 1/9. Let's go a couple of pages later. Starts
- out on the bottom page, "When are you in town next?"
- 4 Response, "Late tonight. I am in Lynden."
- 5 So that was your response, I assume, "Late
- 6 tonight. I am in Lynden"?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And then the response is, "Jay, can we visit when you
- 9 get time? I would like to schedule a meeting with you,
- 10 Dan, and members of your board."
- 11 What -- what was -- was it a meeting -- did that
- meeting happen?
- 13 A I believe Ginny attended our board meeting in January
- 14 for a little while.
- 15 Q Before the director's talk?
- 16 A I'm sorry. What?
- 17 O Before the director's talk, which was referenced on the
- 18 next page?
- 19 A I don't remember if we got it -- when our board meeting
- was. I'd have to go look.
- 21 Q It says you have a -- okay.
- 22 A The next page says we have -- I replied we have a board
- of directors meeting the 28th.
- 24 Q Right. And there's a visioning session the 23rd in
- Oregon; I think 24th is open; about a bit of time with



wild board. 1 2 What does that mean? 3 With -- typo. Α 4 With whole board? 0 Might have been whole board. So the meeting -- our 5 Α board meeting would have been the 28th. 6 And it's essentially, it would be, Ginny wants to 7 0 8 either lobby the WSDF or have the board lobby her about what needs to be done with the director's talk, 9 10 correct? 11 MR. COOKE: Objection. 12 MR. HARRINGTON: Calls for speculation. 13 They --14 MR. COOKE: Document speaks for itself. 15 Right? Q 16 No. I wouldn't say that. 17 Q What would you say? 18 The previous page, she says she wants to meet with the 19 board. Includes issues in Yakima, Whatcom, Samish, and 20 potential changes to RCW 90.64. 21 Q Yep. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I need to make a change 22 23 here. 24 MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is going to end Disk



- No. 3 in the deposition of Jay Gordon. We're off the
- 2 record at 4:51.
- 3 (Off the record.)
- 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will begin Disk No. 4
- 5 in the deposition of Jay Gordon. We're back on the
- 6 record at 4:55.
- 7 Q Mr. Gordon, I'm going to ask you some more questions
- 8 about Exhibit 236, the texts between you and Ginny
- 9 Prest. Let's look at the texts on 1/10. Do you see
- 10 those?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So looks like on 1/10 you met for drinks at Buzz's,
- 13 right?
- 14 A Whoops, I'm sorry. 1/10. Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. "Meet you there. Got to talk about 590, winter
- apps, Whatcom surface, and GRH2O, which I assume is
- 17 groundwater; is that right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Is that text from you or from Ginny?
- 20 A I think that's from Ginny.
- 21 Q Okay. So I assumed you guys talked about 590 and
- winter apps, Whatcom surface, and groundwater. Was
- 23 that during the context of legislation -- during the
- legislative session?
- 25 A No. 590 would have been the discussion relevant to the



- 1 590 process that NRCS is going through, which includes
- 2 the winter application guideline that was under
- 3 consideration at the time. Not sure exactly what the
- 4 Whatcom surface and groundwater discussion refers to.
- 5 Q 1/13, "Following up. You want us at lunch at Rambling
- Jacks to talk 90.64 issues on January 28th?" Is that
- 7 from you?
- 8 A Yes. No. That would have been Ginny.
- 9 Q Okay. Then you say, "Yes, I guess. But if this is
- about legislation for 2015, is there a better place and
- 11 time?"
- 12 Are you concerned about meeting in public to talk
- about legislative issues?
- 14 A I remember this one. It -- I think what that's
- referring to was 2015 was a long ways away, so if this
- 16 was about legislation -- because legislature had
- already started, and so there was really, at that
- point, no point in talking about 90.64 for the 2014
- 19 legislation.
- 20 0 What did you want to change of 90.64?
- 21 A So I'm not the one asking. Ginny is. There's been
- ongoing discussions about 90.64 for 16, 17 years and
- about the statute --
- 24 Q What do you want to do to change it?
- 25 A At this point, we haven't -- for the 2015 session, we



- 1 haven't really had any conversations about what needs
- 2 to be changed.
- 3 Q What did you talk about at lunch that day --
- 4 A I know the department --
- 5 Q -- the 13th of January?
- 6 A -- I know the department has expressed concern that the
- 7 90.64 is in need of cleanup, for number one. And --
- 8 Q When you say "the department," are you talking
- 9 Department of Agriculture?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q At your behest?
- 12 A I'm sorry, say that again.
- 13 Q You're saying it needs cleanup at your behest, at your
- 14 request?
- 15 A No.
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Misstates his
- 17 testimony.
- 18 A No. The department has -- the statute has references
- in it that have been there for a long time and needs
- 20 cleaning up. They would like to have more
- 21 conversations about what else in 90.64, in particular
- the needs changed. So I don't remember what we talked
- about at our board meeting. Anyway, sorry.
- 24 Q Okay. And then "Lunch tomorrow" -- this is the 13th.
- "Lunch tomorrow with Julie, Ted, and me? Noon?"



- 1 So that's Julie Morgan. Who's Ted?
- 2 A Where are you at? I'm sorry.
- 3 Q Next page. See where it says, "Lunch tomorrow with
- 4 Julie, Ted, and me? Noon?"
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q "Or Wednesday same time." Wednesday is great. And
- 7 then Julie -- or Ginny writes back and says, "I think
- 8 we should grab lunch and meet in Julie's office, okay?"
- 9 Or is that you that says that?
- 10 A Oh, my gosh. Where are you at?
- 11 Q Next page.
- 12 A Okay. Ginny is the "yes, that would be great."
- 13 Q So you had lunch in Julie's office that day, right?
- 14 A I don't remember where we had lunch, if -- I don't even
- 15 remember if we had lunch.
- 16 Q Do you remember what you talked about?
- 17 A No.
- 18 O You have lots of conversations with the folks at
- 19 Department of Ag, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q How often do you meet with Julie Morgan on a monthly
- 22 basis?
- 23 A Once, twice a month, maybe. Again, it varies.
- 24 Q How often do you meet with Bud Hover on a monthly
- 25 basis?



- 1 A Maybe as often as once or twice a month, but, again,
- 2 some -- some months not -- not.
- 3 Q Are they always in his office or do you meet him at
- 4 Buzz's too?
- 5 A No. I have not met Bud as Buzz's, but he did come over
- 6 to a function at our annual meeting. And to this board
- 7 meeting that we had on the 28th.
- 8 O And, I'm sorry, we -- a guy named Ted was mentioned
- 9 earlier. Who's Ted? You mentioned it in one of the
- 10 texts. It was in the context of Julie, Ted, and me.
- 11 A Oh, I'm presuming -- I think that's Ted -- Ted Maxwell,
- is, I think, Ginny's boss. Runs the -- whatever
- division it is. Ted Maxwell is his name.
- 14 Q Let's qo to 2/27.
- 15 A (Witness complied.)
- 16 Q And this is the last of the 2/27 texts. "FYI, we are
- trying to get a shit hauling brigade lined up by
- 18 morning for the family."
- What family is that?
- 20 A Okay. Where are you at? 2/27?
- 21 Q 2/27. The last text of 2/27. Did you write that one?
- 22 A Yes, I wrote that one.
- 23 O Objection. What -- what's that about. What family are
- 24 you lining up this shit hauling brigade for and why?
- 25 A The Snook family. I think the farm name is Snookbrook.



- 1 Their lagoon was getting very full and they had been
- 2 getting in trouble with it running over. And so we had
- a number of rain events coming. I believe this would
- 4 have been -- I remember it being just before a weekend.
- We were going to have a lot of rain, and some of the
- 6 local farmers were getting tractors and manure tankers
- 7 lined up to keep this guy from having his lagoon breach
- 8 over during the weekend.
- 9 Q Which it did do, right?
- 10 A I don't know.
- 11 Q It did for about six weeks straight.
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Assumes facts not in
- 13 evidence.
- 14 A There were problems on this farm.
- 15 Q And it was discharging for weeks straight, was it not?
- MR. COOKE: Same objection.
- 17 A I don't know if it was weeks straight, but there were
- 18 problems on this farm.
- 19 Q Is it the Dairy Federation's normal enterprise to line
- up shit hauling brigades?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q How often -- other than for Snookbrook, have you done
- 23 it?
- 24 A This is the only case I know of.
- 25 0 Where was the shit taken?



- 1 A I know some was hauled to a methane digester
- 2 at -- sorry, drawing a blank. Vander Haak's dairy.
- 3 Q And this in, where, Whatcom County?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 O How much of it was taken there and how much of it was
- 6 taken elsewhere?
- 7 A I don't know how much was taken elsewhere. Steve
- 8 Vander Haak is on my board, and I did talk to him, and
- 9 I believe he got -- what I remember, about 20,000
- 10 gallons.
- 11 Q How many thousands of gallons was carted off
- 12 altogether?
- 13 MR. COOKE: Charlie, I know we've got like
- seven hours in this deposition. I don't see how this
- is relevant at all, so, I mean, I'm more than willing
- to allow some flexibility over that seven hours, but
- for him to talk about irrelevant issues...
- 18 MR. TEBBUTT: You know, you're right. I'll
- 19 just -- I'll skip it at this point.
- MR. COOKE: Thanks.
- 21 Q Moving on to 3 -- well, let's go 4/1. No, let's not.
- There's plenty.
- 23 (Exhibit No. 237 marked for identification.)
- 24 Q You have in front of you Exhibit 237, Mr. Gordon, an
- email from Ginny Prest to you dated September 10, 2013.



1 The fourth numbered item talks about limiting P 2 applications. P is phosphorus, correct? 3 Α Yes. 4 It says, "We encourage producers to keep P -- soil P Q 5 below 120 parts per million. Dairy producers have been working on this a long time. Slow but steady 6 7 progress." That indicates that a lot of producers have 8 over 120 parts per million of phosphorus in their soil, correct? 9 10 I think it -- I think it says what it says. 11 Right. Doesn't that indicate to you that a lot of 0 12 producers have P over 120 parts per million? 13 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for 14 speculation. 15 Α Yeah. I... 16 Would you agree with that statement? 17 Α You can infer that there are fields and producers that have soil above 120 parts per million in that 18 19 statement, yes. 20 And over 120 would be excessive, correct? 21 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for an expert 22 opinion. 23 I would not -- I'd have to have more context and more 24 information.



25

(Exhibit No. 238 marked for identification.)

Exhibit 238 is a document produced by you, correct? 1 0 2 MR. HARRINGTON: Are there no control numbers on this, Charlie? 3 4 MR. TEBBUTT: No, there aren't. 5 Yes, it would appear so. Α And this is with respect to regulating issues in the 6 7 groundwater contamination in the Lower Yakima Valley, 8 correct? 9 MR. COOKE: Take your time. 10 Among other things. Under the "Review of Ongoing 11 Programs" session? 12 Where are you at, please? Oh, page 1? Α 13 On the October 13, 2001, letter. 0 14 MR. HARRINGTON: Are you asking him to 15 characterize the whole document? 16 MR. TEBBUTT: No, just this -- just that this 17 includes those kinds of documents. 18 Could you state the question again? 19 Well, let me just rephrase it. 0 20 Α Okay. 21 This letter dated October 9, 2013, to the governor, to 22 the director of ecology, to other entities, is 23 essentially an advocacy piece on behalf of your 24 members; is it not? 25 MR. HARRINGTON: Take your time to review the



1 document so you're comfortable with your answer. 2 MR. TEBBUTT: I don't appreciate the 3 narrative. 4 This is a letter I wrote, and I would characterize it 5 as informational to the named parties: Director Bellon --6 7 All right. 8 -- Brian, the chairman of the House and Senate ag committees, and to Commissioner Bouchey. 9 (Exhibit No. 239 marked for identification.) 10 11 0 And Exhibit 239 is an email from Ginny Prest to you. 12 Subject, "Answers for the governor." 13 You had -- did you have a meeting scheduled with 14 the governor? 15 MR. HARRINGTON: What page are you looking 16 at, Charlie? 17 MR. TEBBUTT: Very top page. It says subject 18 line is "Answers for the governor." 19 No, I did not -- I do not recall any meeting with the Α 20 governor in the time -- this -- this would have been 21 fall in 2013. 22 So this document was one produced by the Department of 0 23 Agriculture for the governor; is that right? 24 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Lack of 25 foundation. Calls for speculation.



- 1 Q Do you know?
- 2 A I don't know who produced it.
- 3 Q Okay. Did you help produce it?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Did you give Ginny any comments about this letter?
- 6 A I don't remember doing so, no.
- 7 Q Did you talk to Ginny about this letter?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. What did you talk about?
- 10 A The part I remember, I think, talking to her about was
- point No. 2, which is "Washington should require
- 12 livestock operations and third-party land applicators
- to ensure manure applications are not the source of
- 14 nitrate transport to drinking water."
- 15 Q And in No. 2, it says, "The program uses a
- nitrate-nitrogen threshold of 45 parts per million
- N03-N in the top 12 inches."
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Right? That's basically the policy of Department of Ag
- to use that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q It's not regulation; is that correct?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for a legal
- 24 conclusion.
- 25 MR. HARRINGTON: Lack of foundation.



```
Α
 1
         It outlines in the next sentence what happens.
 2
   0
         Okay. Well, we'll continue on.
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Charlie, in the last several
 3
         documents, ever since the strings of texts, so 236,
 4
 5
         237, 238, 239 to -- and this upcoming document, 240, I
 6
         believe you represented one of them was produced by
 7
         WSDF. And I wanted to confirm that representation for
 8
         the record.
 9
                   MR. TEBBUTT: No. I said I think it
10
         wasn't -- I said it wasn't produced by WSDF.
11
                   MR. HARRINGTON: So these documents that
12
         we've been looking at, the last five exhibits, are also
13
         coming from your public records request to the
14
         Department of Agriculture?
15
                   MR. TEBBUTT: Right. And they were not
16
         provide to us by you --
17
                   MR. COOKE: I think it's --
18
                   MR. TEBBUTT: -- as part of -- part of the
19
         record request.
20
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Very well.
21
                   MR. COOKE: Just for the record, I don't
22
         think they're responsive to the record request.
23
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I disagree.
              (Exhibit No. 240 marked for identification.)
24
25 Q
        Mr. Gordon --
```



- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 O -- Exhibit 2-
- 3 A 240.
- 4 Q -- 240, email from Ginny Prest to you dated October 25,
- 5 2013. Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And it says, second paragraph, "We've opened up our
- 8 search for an inspector to help us complete work. It
- 9 will be a two-year project position working out of our
- 10 Lynden office. We would appreciate your help getting
- 11 the word out."
- 12 Did you give Ginny or the Department of Ag any
- assistance with finding an inspector that they were
- looking for?
- 15 A I don't remember doing that, no. It's possible we -- I
- don't know. No, I don't recall that.
- 17 (Exhibit No. 241 marked for identification.)
- 18 Q Handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 241, does
- this refresh your recollection whether you assisted
- with helping Department of Agriculture find a new
- 21 inspector?
- 22 A This would be referring to a producer who would be on
- 23 the interview panel for the -- for whatever candidates
- 24 they had for the position.
- 25 Q Did you provide a response to this with a -- with a



- 1 recommendation?
- 2 A I know we worked on getting a producer to help in that
- 3 interview process.
- 4 Q And so you got one on the interview panel?
- 5 A I think so, yes. No, I know so.
- 6 Q At that regulated community --
- 7 MR. COOKE: Is there a question there?
- 8 Q -- that -- the inspectors?
- 9 MR. COOKE: Is there a question there?
- 10 Q With respect to the 590 standard, did WSDF take a
- position on the most recent proposed 590 standard?
- 12 A We took a number of positions, yes.
- 13 Q And there was a proposed change from the national staff
- to the 590 standard that you opposed, correct?
- 15 A No. That's not correct.
- 16 O Then what is -- what is correct.
- 17 A There was a --
- 18 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for
- 19 narrative.
- 20 A There was a proposed change to the national standard
- 21 that we opposed.
- 22 Q Okay. What was the proposed change that you opposed?
- 23 A The elimination of what is referred to as the winter
- 24 manure -- Western Washington winter manure grass-based
- application or tech note 14 in that 590 standard.



- 1 Q Was that just for the west side?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And, in fact, you were able to get that pulled due to
- 4 pressure from the Dairy Federation, correct?
- 5 A We advocated for leaving that tech note 14 winter
- 6 application standard in the 590, yes.
- 7 Q How often do you communicate with Laurie Crowe?
- 8 A Oh, every once in a while. Maybe once a month, once
- 9 every two months, sometimes more, sometimes less.
- 10 Q And how do you communicate with her?
- 11 A Phone. Occasionally email. See her at meetings. She
- was on the 590 review committee, NRCS.
- 13 Q You don't text with her?
- 14 A I don't believe so, no.
- 15 Q Are there any other employees at the Department of Ag
- with whom you text?
- 17 A I think I just sent a text to Julie Morgan, but that's
- 18 like a couple of days ago.
- 19 Q And how often do you text with her?
- 20 A Not very often. Matter of fact, I think this may have
- 21 been the second or third time.
- 22 Q How about with members -- employees of Department of
- 23 Ecology? How often do you text with them? Or is there
- 24 anyone with whom you text?
- 25 A I can't recall anybody that I text with at the



```
1
         Department of Ecology. There may be, but I can't
 2
         recall anybody. It's possible.
              (Exhibit Nos. 242 & 243 marked for
 3
              identification.)
 4
 5
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Charlie, have you produced
 6
         236 through 243 in discovery?
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I'm not sure.
 7
 8
         So 242 is a memo from you to all Washington dairy
   Q
         producers about the NRCS 590 Nutrient Management
 9
10
         Standard being changed, correct?
11
   Α
         Yes.
12
         And then Exhibit 243 includes an email from Laurie
13
         Crowe to a number of people. I don't see you copied on
14
         here, but I do see Steve George copied.
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Do you have a question,
15
16
         Counsel?
17
                   MR. TEBBUTT:
                                 I do.
18
         Ms. Crowe states that she's confirmed from their local
19
         NRCS that the December 590 nutrient management standard
20
         has been pulled temporarily from the Internet due to
21
         pressure from the Dairy Federation.
22
              Do you agree with that statement?
23
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Calls for
24
         speculation. Lack of foundation.
25 A
         That's Ms. Crowe's opinion.
```



- 1 O Do you disagree with it?
- 2 A I would certainly not take credit for that solely. And
- 3 that's what it implies.
- 4 Q It certainly does, doesn't it?
- 5 A Yeah, it does.
- 6 Q Earlier there was a document we used that referenced a
- 7 PowerPoint presentation that Dan Wood had said that
- 8 needed to be changed a little bit. I'm trying to
- 9 recall which document that was.
- 10 Do you recall that document?
- 11 A No, I do not.
- 12 Q How often do you meet with people from Ecology about
- the Washington CAFO permit?
- 14 A Not very often. And John -- Ron Cummings was on the
- 15 590 panel. I believe he attended a couple of those
- meetings, but it was not about the CAFO permit.
- 17 O You find it more effective to go through Ag to get your
- voice heard than Ecology?
- 19 A No. The CAFO permit process is solely Ecology's, and I
- think I would characterize it as we're in a waiting
- 21 pattern. They've been -- gonna start that process for,
- 22 what, three years now.
- 23 O Right. And you've been -- you've had access to the
- permitting process from the beginning, right?
- MR. COOKE: Objection. Assumes facts --



- 1 Q From the production of the documents --
- 2 MR. COOKE: Objection. Assumes facts not in
- 3 evidence.
- 4 A I saw a copy --
- 5 MR. COOKE: Mischaracterizes the testimony.
- 6 A -- as I testified earlier a long time ago.
- 7 Q Have you ever looked at any of the AOC data that's been
- 8 produced? And I'll be specific. I'll start with soil
- 9 sampling results.
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q You haven't looked at any of them?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Have you looked at any of the groundwater monitoring
- results from the AOC?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Have you had any discussions with anyone from Arcadis
- 17 about the results?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Have you had any discussions with any of the defendants
- about the results?
- 21 A I remember having a conversation with -- I believe, it
- 22 was Henry Bosma, Jr. -- Henry. It was last fall, a
- phone conversation. And the sense I got from Henry was
- it looked pretty good.
- 25 Q That's what he told you?



Last fall. Earlier this spring I remember also having 1 Α 2 a conversation. I don't remember if that was Henry or 3 Dan. Dan DeRuyter? 4 Q 5 Α Dan DeRuyter. There were some test wells that were running high. 6 7 Have you gotten any opinions from any scientists as to 8 whether the nitrate problem in the Lower Yakima Valley is caused or contributed to by the cluster dairies? 9 10 MR. COOKE: Objection to the extent it calls 11 for attorney-client work product communications. 12 Could you restate the question again, please? 13 MR. TEBBUTT: Kylie? 14 (Question read as follows:) 15 "QUESTION: Have you gotten any opinions from any 16 scientists as to whether the nitrate problem in the 17 Lower Yakima Valley is caused or contributed to by the cluster dairies?" 18 19 MR. COOKE: To the extent you've received any 20 opinions from experts who were retained by counsel in 21 preparation for that, then you don't have to answer 22 questions about that or disclose those. 23 MR. HARRINGTON: Or information, I would add, 24 provided to you by your counsel. 25 MR. COOKE: Agreed.



- 1 MR. TEBBUTT: We would disagree. If it comes
- 2 from Givens Pursley -- if any of that came from Givens
- 3 Pursley or Stokes Lawrence, we would -- we would not
- 4 agree with that. If you have independent counsel who's
- 5 giving you advice, then I want to know who that
- 6 independent counsel is.
- 7 MR. HARRINGTON: Would you like to confer
- 8 about a matter of privilege before answering that
- 9 question?
- 10 MR. TEBBUTT: Can't do it. It's -- there's a
- 11 question pending.
- MR. HARRINGTON: It's a matter of privilege.
- MR. COOKE: It's a matter of privilege. He
- 14 can talk to us as to whether or not it's a privileged
- 15 communication or not or privileged information.
- Then I'll just instruct you not to answer the
- 17 question.
- MR. TEBBUTT: You're instructing him not to
- 19 answer?
- 20 MR. COOKE: I'm instructing him not to
- answer.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Now that we have something on
- 23 the record, why don't you take a minute to confer about
- 24 it and get back to me.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the



1 record at 5:34. 2 (Off the record.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record at 5:36. 4 5 Mr. Gordon, you've conferred with your counsel, JT Cooke, and you also conferred with Mr. Harrington, 6 7 correct, about the privilege question in the break you 8 just took? We just had a conversation, yes. 9 10 MR. TEBBUTT: And, Counsel, are you going to 11 continue -- let me ask my question first -- continue to 12 assert privilege over the question that was asked? 13 MR. COOKE: I think Mr. Gordon is prepared to 14 answer the question in the manner that doesn't reveal 15 privileged communications. 16 MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. Kylie, could you please 17 read --18 MR. HARRINGTON: And I'd like to clarify that 19 we had two separate conversations, one in which I was 20 not present. 21 MR. TEBBUTT: Kylie, would you please read 22 back my question. 23 (Question read as follows:) 24 "QUESTION: Have you gotten any opinions from any 25 scientists as to whether the nitrate problem in the



1 Lower Yakima Valley is caused or contributed to by the 2 cluster dairies?" 3 Could you say that again? I'm sorry. 4 (Question read as follows:) 5 "QUESTION: Have you gotten any opinions from any scientists as to whether the nitrate problem in the 6 7 Lower Yakima Valley is caused or contributed to by the cluster dairies?" 8 9 MR. HARRINGTON: And you may answer unless it 10 implicates an issue of privilege. MR. TEBBUTT: Again, it's not counsel for 11 12 Mr. Gordon who's making the objection. I think it's inappropriate. 13 14 MR. HARRINGTON: Will you make the same objection, Mr. Cooke? 15 MR. COOKE: For the record I will. 16 17 MR. TEBBUTT: And Mr. Cooke was prompted by 18 Mr. Harrison to make it, as the record reflects. 19 MR. HARRINGTON: And Mr. Tebbutt pointed it 20 out. 21 Okay. Third time is a charm. Please. 22 (Question read as follows:) 23 "QUESTION: Have you gotten any opinions from any scientists as to whether the nitrate problem in the 24 25 Lower Yakima Valley is caused or contributed to by the



- 1 cluster dairies?"
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And how did you get that communication?
- 4 A There were various scientists that were hired by
- 5 Pursley Givens [sic] -- through Pursley Givens [sic],
- 6 another scientists who reviewed the EPA documentation
- 7 in the -- in the background study that EPA produced.
- 8 Some of that was posted on the EPA website. We read
- 9 various commentaries from various sources.
- 10 Q Were any of these opinions given to you subsequent to
- the introduction of the AOC or the signing of the AOC
- 12 in March 2013?
- 13 MR. COOKE: I'll object to the extent it
- 14 calls for attorney work product.
- MR. TEBBUTT: Just asking a time frame.
- 16 A Tell me what you mean by "subsequent." I'm sorry.
- 17 O Sub- -- after March 15, 2013, did any of the opinions
- about whether the dairies were causing or contributing
- 19 to nitrate contamination in the valley occur after that
- 20 date?
- 21 A I don't recall any after that date, no.
- 22 Q And is it your claim that there was an attorney-client
- relationship between the Givens Pursley firm and WSDF
- 24 during the time period that you received the opinion or
- 25 opinions about whether nitrates or contamination was



caused or contributed to by the cluster dairies? 1 2 Α Yes. Going back to Exhibit 233. Do you see the fourth 3 4 bullet point on Exhibit 233? It says, "Use of pamphlet and PowerPoint, Dan 5 6 Wood, maybe make existing PowerPoint more professional." 7 8 Do you see that? 9 Yes. Α 10 What existing PowerPoint is that at that time? 11 Α I don't know. 12 MR. TEBBUTT: That PowerPoint wasn't produced 13 to us in this litigation, nor was it listed as privileged under the privilege log and we would request 14 that it be provided. 15 MR. COOKE: We'll take another look for it. 16 17 MR. TEBBUTT: And that's with respect to 18 Exhibit 233 just to make it easy for everyone. 19 MR. HARRINGTON: What request was that in 20 responsive to? 21 MR. TEBBUTT: The exhibit is 233. 22 MR. HARRINGTON: I know. But what -- what --23 24 MR. TEBBUTT: PowerPoint presentation. 25 MR. HARRINGTON: What part of the subpoena is

- that responsive to? Your contention is that WSDF
 should have produced it or --
- 3 MR. TEBBUTT: Yes.
- 4 MR. HARRINGTON: -- or it would be
- 5 responsive --
- 6 MR. TEBBUTT: Yes.
- 7 MR. COOKE: That's a fair question.
- 8 MR. TEBBUTT: It's responsive to Exhibit A,
- 9 probably 3, 4 --
- 10 MR. COOKE: Wait, wait, wait.
- 11 Exhibit A is your deposition topics.
- MR. WOOD: I saw it in your binder, Charlie.
- 13 It is incorrect that you don't have it. I saw it in
- 14 that binder. You have the pictures.
- MR. COOKE: Dan, it's okay. Thanks.
- MR. WOOD: Well, I'm --
- 17 MR. TEBBUTT: Well, that might just speed it
- 18 up. Because this -- is this --
- 19 MR. HARRINGTON: Mr. Wood is not here to
- 20 provide testimony. We will --
- MR. COOKE: We'll take a look, and if that's
- 22 not the one that was produced in response to that,
- 23 we'll evaluate it against your request for documents,
- 24 not your deposition contents, which is Exhibit A. At
- 25 least my Exhibit A to my --



```
1
                   MR. TEBBUTT: You're right. We'll continue
 2.
         on.
              And with regard to the subpoena, it's relevant to
 3
         items 2 and 3, at the very least, and possibly 4, not
 4
 5
         knowing what's on it.
                   MR. COOKE: I got it. We'll take a look.
 6
 7
                   MR. TEBBUTT: And just for the record...
              (Exhibit No. 244 marked for identification.)
 8
         Do you see Exhibit 244, an email from Ginny Prest to
 9
10
         you and Dan Wood, presentation for the GWMA meeting?
11
   Α
         Yes.
12
         Do you believe that this would be the PowerPoint that's
13
         referred to in Exhibit 233?
14
   Α
         No, it is not.
15
         So there's another PowerPoint presentation out there
16
         that is referred to in 233, correct?
17
         It's not possible for this, because the -- Exhibit 233
         is on the -- September of 2013, and this is in January
18
         of 2014. And I wouldn't -- I think it's safe to assume
19
         that's a different PowerPoint they were referring to in
20
21
         these notes.
22
   Q
         Okay.
23
   Α
         I was not at this meeting, so...
24
              (Exhibit No. 245 marked for identification.)
25
         Handing you what's been marked as 245, just to clarify
   Q
```



```
the record, is the "Third Amended Note of Video
 1
 2
         Deposition" with the subpoenas attached that we were
 3
         just discussing. I just wanted to introduce that to
         the -- for the record. I have no questions about it.
 4
 5
                   MR. TEBBUTT: I want to take just a short
 6
         break and I'll see if I'm done.
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record
 7
 8
         at 5:47.
 9
                                        (Off the record.)
10
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the
11
         record. The time is 5:52.
12
         Mr. Gordon, we've talked about the fact that there is a
13
         nitrate problem in the Lower Yakima Valley and you
14
         agreed with that, right?
                   MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. Asked and
15
16
         answered.
17
         What does WSDF support to reduce excess nitrates that
18
         are out there right now, to fix the problem? What do
19
         you support to try to fix the problem?
20
         We support the GWMA process. We support the dairy
21
         nutrient management program. We support the use of
22
         farm plans. We support the use of dairy inspections by
23
         the Department of Ag. We support, again, 90.64 and the
24
         requirement to keep records to show agronomic
25
         application. We like the NRCS standards process, by
```



- 1 and large, and generally the standards.
- 2 Q And you like the NRCS process because you have a lot of
- 3 influence over it, right?
- 4 MR. COOKE: Objection. No question.
- 5 Argumentative.
- 6 A I think that process gives everybody input.
- 7 Q Are there other things that you support besides the
- 8 process?
- 9 A Well, behind those statements of process are substance.
- 10 Those are actual inspectors on the ground. Those are
- 11 actual farmers that are following farm plans. Those
- are actual soil tests and crops that are being grown.
- So behind each of those processes is a whole host of
- 14 steps and actions that occur on farms and -- yeah, I
- think that characterizes it.
- 16 Q Okay. Let me give you a hypothetical. Let's say that
- there are nitrate levels at 80 parts per million and an
- application field at four- to five-foot depth. What
- 19 would you recommend or support to remediate that kind
- of high nitrogen?
- 21 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for
- 22 speculation.
- 23 MR. HARRINGTON: Incomplete hypothetical.
- 24 A I assume I still get to answer the question?
- 25 O You sure do.



- 1 A Okay.
- 2 Q You figured that out. Very good.
- 3 A Hypothetically, and I'm going to characterize your
- 4 statement that four to five feet down there's an
- 5 80-part-per-million test in the soil.
- 6 Q Right.
- 7 A Actual, if you're asking me what -- if that was my
- 8 field and somebody came to me and said you've got high
- 9 nitrates, I'd consider planting alfalfa to pull that
- 10 nitrogen out of the soil. Other deep-rooted crops --
- and I'd have to do some study, but there's other
- deep-rooted crops that you could use to recover that
- nitrogen and get it back. And so those are -- those
- are tangible examples of this hypothetical. So let's
- just say it was my field, that's what I'd engage in.
- 16 Q And how deep will something like alfalfa go to extract
- 17 nitrates --
- MR. COOKE: Object- --
- 19 Q -- at that level?
- 20 MR. COOKE: Sorry. Objection. Calls for
- 21 expert opinion.
- MR. TEBBUTT: He's a farmer. He knows this
- 23 stuff.
- 24 O Go ahead.
- 25 A My understanding in reading and studying, alfalfa can



1 draw water and nutrients from as deep as 14 feet. 2. may be shallower, it may be deeper, but it's -- alfalfa 3 is generally a deep-rooted crop. I've grown alfalfa on 4 my farm for many years, and it stays green when the 5 soils are real dry from drawing water and nutrients. A 6 very deep-rooted crop. Have you done any review of the sciences to what 7 8 percentage of the nutrients that feed alfalfa are taken from the zero-to-one-foot level, the one-to-two-foot 9 10 level, the two-to-three-foot level --11 Α No, I haven't. 12 -- and so on? 0 13 Α No. Are you familiar with documents that indicate that 14 15 alfalfa takes most of its nutrients from the top few 16 feet? 17 I'm not. Be fun to look at, but not familiar with 18 those. If ammonia or nitrate levels were in excess of 50 at 19 0 ten feet below a cow pen, how would you, the Dairy 20 21 Federation, propose fixing that? 22 MR. COOKE: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation --24 MR. TEBBUTT: It's hypothetical. 25 MR. COOKE: -- expert opinion.



- 1 A I would actually -- how would we propose fixing it?
- 2 First thing is I would go find some experts that could
- give us some guidance on what options might be
- 4 available.
- 5 Q And earlier, you testified that you, individually as a
- farmer, would do what we just talked about about
- 7 getting alfalfa. What would WSDF support to fix a
- 8 problem like that of, say, 80 parts per million of
- 9 nitrate at a five-foot level in a soil -- in a -- in an
- 10 application of the field?
- 11 MR. COOKE: Objection. Outside the scope of
- 12 the deposition.
- 13 A What would the Dairy Federation do?
- 14 Q What would the Dairy Federation support?
- MR. COOKE: Same objection.
- MR. HARRINGTON: And incomplete hypothetical.
- 17 A Again, that -- yeah, that one's tough to answer. Dairy
- 18 Federation doesn't farm. If an individual
- 19 farmer -- let's play hypothetical.
- 20 Q Let me back that up for just a second. I mean, you
- advocate on behalf of your members.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q You advocate very specific kinds of things: NRCS
- 24 standards or lack thereof --
- 25 A Yes.



- 1 Q -- soil levels of nitrate. Do you advocate for fixing
- 2 a problem once it's found in any way?
- 3 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague.
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And how would you -- how would you fix a problem like
- 6 that?
- 7 MR. COOKE: Objection. Vague. Calls for
- 8 speculation.
- 9 A If -- if this was -- if a farmer or regulator, a
- researcher came to my board and said, we've got an
- 11 80-part-per-million at six feet, I'd have to sit down
- and go talk to -- find -- okay, that's deeper than
- alfalfa or isn't going to pull that. We'd have to
- 14 get -- you know, look around and find people to help us
- figure out, okay, what do you do about that? How do
- 16 you pull that? How do you recover that?
- 17 Q So you don't have a solution right now?
- 18 A Not for your specific example. You've talked about a
- 19 field. That I can answer. Under a cow pen, I'd have
- 20 to -- I'd have to go do a Google search.
- 21 Q Under a field, you'd say plant alfalfa?
- 22 A Alfalfa, another one that a friend of mine grew years
- 23 ago was burdock. Deep-rooted --
- 24 Q On the east side?
- 25 A I think burdock actually has been grown on the east



1 side. 2 0 That's all I have. 3 Α Okay. 4 MR. COOKE: I don't have any questions. I 5 do, however, reserve the right to either request that certain documents that have been inadvertently 6 7 disclosed as privileged communications be turned back, 8 and also reserve the right to at least, at a minimum, have those marked as confidential business information. 9 10 MR. TEBBUTT: You have to do that. That's 11 not my job. 12 MR. COOKE: I'm just noting it for the 13 record. I'll let you know what they are. We can have that discussion. 14 15 MR. TEBBUTT: You had two months to produce the documents, and we just got a bunch of them within 16 17 the last few days, so --MR. COOKE: I turned them over --18 19 MR. TEBBUTT: -- I think you would have been 20 thorough about that. 21 MR. COOKE: -- when I received them. Thanks 22 for your narrative. I appreciate that. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That will be the record --23 24 deposition of Jay Gordon on Disk No. 4. We're off the 25 record at 6:00.

1	(Deposition concluded.)
2	(Signature reserved.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	CHANGE AND SIGNATURE SHEET
2	I, the undersigned, WA DAIRY 30(B)(6) WITNESS,, do
3	hereby certify that I have read the foregoing deposition and that, to the best of my knowledge, said deposition is true and accurate, with the exception of the following
4	corrections listed below:
5	PAGE LINE CHANGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Date Signature
21	bigliature
22	Case name: CARE v. Cow Palace, et al. Cause No.: CV-13-3016-TOR, CV-13-3017-TOR, CV-13-3019-TOR
23	Date taken: June 25, 2014
24	Kylie Hammington, CCR, RPR Return to: Central Court Reporting, 1700 Seventh Ave.,
25	Suite 2100, Seattle, WA 98101



1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, KYLIE HAMMINGTON, a Certified Court Reporter,
4	do hereby certify that I reported in machine shorthand the
5	deposition of WA DAIRY 30(B)(6) WITNESS,, called as a
6	witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, for purposes of
7	discovery in the above-entitled cause; that the said witness
8	was duly sworn by me; that the reading and signing of the
9	completed deposition by the witness was reserved; that the
10	foregoing transcript was prepared under my personal
11	supervision and constitutes a true record of the testimony
12	of the said witness.
13	I further certify that I am not an attorney or
14	counsel of any parties, nor a relative or employee of any
15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor
16	financially interested in the action.
17	
18	DATED this 10th day of July, 2014.
19	
20	Kylie Hammington, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter
21	cereffied codic Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	



\$ **\$5** 203:16 204:23 **-81** 39:15 -client 80:1 0 **002217** 177:1 **08** 117:8 09 117:8 1 **1** 55:17 84:3 234:12 1,000 103:2,14 **1/10** 226:9.12.14 **1/13** 227:5 **1/2** 223:18,20 1/9 224:2 **10** 103:10, 115:15 187:12 211:19 212:11 216:21 232:25 **100** 72:24 103:12,15 167:11 **1000** 103:16 **106** 149:24 150:5 151:2 155:8,12 **10:31** 59:24 **10:40** 60:2 **10:51** 223:24 **11** 119:16.22 185:18.23.25 186:4

13 92:12,14 106:23 161:11 234:13 **13th** 107:4 228:5,24 **14** 60:22 64:10 239:25 240:5 255:1 14th 65:1 **15** 46:16 74:23 89:1 127:25 161:9, 17 162:13,15 209:7,10 211:15,19 212:6.11 216:21 248:17 **15-part-per-million** 209:4,14 **150** 15:1,7 72:24 **15th** 69:2 **16** 159:24 160:13 164:11 227:22 **165** 166:1 167:21 175:12 **17** 55:24 145:25 227:22 **1700** 7:21 **1870s** 17:10 **18th** 107:2,6 **19** 17:9 **1979** 13:15 **1980s** 89:7 **1983** 13:4,8,9 **1990s** 89:7 **1996** 69:11 84:18 **1:00** 177:17 **1:27** 112:10 **1st** 15:14 143:1 2 **2** 84:7 98:21 104:16 132:7 157:22 167:14 169:12 185:10 219:22 **12** 74:23 92:12 94:9 211:15,19 236:11,15 251:4 **2-** 93:24 238:2 2/10/13 129:20

12/26 222:13

12:14 111:21

12:15 112:5.7

120 233:5,8,12,18,20

2/27 230:14,16,20,21 20 89:1,19,25 90:2,3 200:11 20,000 232:9 **20-** 169:9 **200** 7:3,18 **2000s** 74:14,22 **2001** 234:13 2002 46:23 2003 46:23 2005 46:23 **2006** 10:5 92:20 **2010** 47:18,24 57:17 63:20 74:16 93:22,24,25 94:7 117:8 **2011** 128:19 207:1,6 **2012** 31:14.19 32:12 35:23 39:24 45:19 47:15.17 60:22 64:10 66:17 94:9 95:8 106:23 110:12 115:7 119:14 128:19 169:9 195:4 200:24 207:1,6 **2013** 36:24 38:12,13 55:17 56:3 60:16 65:21 92:15,16 109:1 112:19 128:15 143:12 145:25 161:11 167:14 169:12 171:14,16, 19,21 172:11,14,16,17,18 173:9, 179:17 182:4 187:11 195:5 198:3 200:11 201:1 218:3 232:25 235:21 238:5 248:12,17 251:18 **2014** 7:3,15 119:14 128:15 187:12 188:16 213:4 227:18 251:19 2015 227:10,15,25 205 32:14,16 34:19 **206** 36:10,12 39:24 41:19 **206-682-5896** 7:23 **207** 48:13,15 49:2,3 51:18 52:12 **208** 54:11,12 56:5 57:7 59:14 **209** 60:6,7,18 64:20 68:10 70:1 **210** 78:18,20 **2100** 7:22 **211** 100:13.14 101:12 102:25 104:16 106:9 108:5



11:21 84:4

11:27 84:8

11:50 97:21

212:11 236:17

12/18 221:22

12/19 221:18

- **212** 108:20,21 110:13
- **213** 112:16,17
- **214** 115:8,10 119:14,18
- **215** 119:12,15,18
- **216** 128:20,25 129:2 142:1, 143:8 144:23
- **217** 128:21 129:1 145:23,24
- **2179** 143:9
- **218** 157:25 158:5 165:12,21
- **2180** 143:9
- **219** 175:7 176:24
- 220 179:13,14
- **221** 182:1,2,18
- 222 185:4,5 186:15
- 223 187:25 188:1
- **224** 188:14,15
- **225** 190:3,4
- 226 190:17,18 193:7
- **227** 193:8,20,21
- 228 194:19,20 195:13
- **229** 197:25 198:1,2 199:10
- **23** 179:17
- **230** 200:9
- 231 201:16,17
- 232 202:17,18
- **233** 202:21,22 249:3,4,18,21 251:13,16,17
- 234 205:18,20
- **235** 206:7,8
- **236** 212:9,16 213:2 218:1 219:9, 20 226:8 237:4 241:6
- **237** 213:1 232:23,24 237:5
- 238 233:25 234:1 237:5
- 239 235:10.11 237:5
- 23rd 59:7 224:24
- **240** 237:5,24 238:3,4

- **241** 238:17,18
- 242 241:3,8
- 243 241:3,6,12
- 244 251:8.9
- **245** 251:24,25
- **24th** 224:25
- **25** 7:3,15 238:4
- **250** 139:8 140:5,6
- **26** 67:10 182:4
- **27** 67:11 112:19 119:14
- **27th** 65:2 67:10
- **28** 67:11
- **289** 196:25
- 28th 224:23 227:6 230:7
- **29** 198:3
- **293** 195:1,8,9
- 294 195:10
- **2:23** 145:17
- **2:29** 145:20
- 2:44 157:23
- 2:47 158:3

3

- **3** 141:2,6 226:1 232:21 250:9 251:4
- 3/30 176:11,12
- 30 20:6 127:13 143:2
- 30(b)(6) 7:2,8 17:25
- 30-second 87:6
- 300 163:14
- **32** 213:5,10
- **33** 213:15
- **348** 198:7
- **35** 97:23,25
- **351** 199:11
- 38 187:18

- **3:16** 175:5
- **3:21** 175:9

4

- **4** 219:22 226:4 250:9 251:4 258:24
- **4/1** 232:21
- **420** 27:19
- **45** 95:23 127:6,11, 131:13,17,21, 24 132:1 133:4 134:18 141:12 142:2,12,17 177:22 236:16
- **46** 101:1
- 4:46 222:5
- **4:51** 226:2
- 4:55 226:6
- **4B** 169:13

5

- **5** 109:1
- **50** 184:17,20 255:19
- **5166** 8:23
- **590** 128:8,17 219:13,14, 226:15, 21,25 227:1 239:10,11,14,25 240:6,12 241:9,19 242:15
- **5:00** 13:13 56:15 177:17
- **5:34** 246:1
- **5:36** 246:4
- **5:47** 252:8
- **5:52** 252:11

6

- **6** 188:16 219:22
- 600 14:14,16 163:15
- **6:00** 258:25
- **6:09** 222:6
- **6:10** 222:6



7

7 218:3

70s 13:14 17:6

7:51p 223:20

8

80 253:17 256:8

80-part-per-million 254:5 257:11

9

9 143:12 234:21

90 13:12

90.64 225:20 227:6,18,20,22 228:7,21 252:23

900 14:12

90s 74:10

91 17:9

92 17:9

98119 7:18

9:10 7:16

9:38 30:19

9:43 30:22

Α

A&m 105:12

a.m. 7:16

Abplanalp 61:21

absolute 138:2

absolutely 54:1,4,8 109:7 213:21

accept 141:10

accepted 195:20

accepts 198:16

access 59:7 242:23

accident 9:23

account 48:21,25 76:15 163:2

accuracy 148:19

accurate 133:5 142:16 165:14

achieve 53:13,15,17

acoustics 67:23

acre 14:12 138:24 139:8 163:15

acres 14:14,16

Act 31:12

action 9:20 10:4 84:16,17 115:19.21.23.24 116:1

actions 10:14 85:3 115:20,22 118:4 177:14,25 178:2 253:14

active 102:2

actively 125:12

activities 19:6 177:13 205:21

Activity 182:18

actual 133:6 166:12 184:22 189:20,21,22 253:10,11,12 254:7

ad 183:11,18 193:6 210:23,24

Adam 48:16 58:5,7 59:6 96:24 143:10 189:1

add 139:22, 244:23

added 121:9 124:4 193:18

addition 132:1 222:24

additional 76:23 174:18 204:19

address 13:25 45:6 58:20,24 67:12 97:4 102:3,4,5,12 116:23, 25 118:16 207:25 208:4

addresses 48:23

Administrative 65:12,19,23 145:8 200:17

administrator 115:15

admit 82:1

admitted 165:6

adopt 141:21

adopted 141:19

adopting 205:4

advice 23:17 24:3 48:9 57:6 62:22 66:4, 109:10,16 111:18 245:5

advising 109:19 110:2

advisory 128:7,11

advocacy 234:23

advocate 256:21,23 257:1

advocated 240:5

aerated 136:18,24

aeration 135:21

affairs 55:14

affect 75:17 178:5

affirm 152:19 153:16

afield 159:12

afternoon 215:15

ag 56:3 180:20 189:18 221:5 229:19 235:8 236:19 238:12 240:15 242:17 252:23

agencies 54:25 55:8 69:12 187:19

agency 55:3 88:2 115:20,22 116:4,8 120:20

agenda 98:18,19 99:24 166:25 167:10, 176:13

agree 27:9 35:15 52:18,23 72:1 74:5 81:24 109:1 120:25 130:5,23 132:9,18 135:15 138:22 140:25 142:12 149:15 174:12 190:10 197:19,23 200:12 201:14 214:18 233:16 241:22 245:4

agreed 121:3 123:15 244:25 252:14

agreement 39:5,10 45:12 155:1, 6,10,17,19 156:6,24 194:5,11 200:19

agreements 82:11 84:10 154:16 179:18,19 180:1,4 181:3 204:12

agrees 131:5 152:7

agricultural 13:1,6 18:11 182:25 206:5

agriculture 71:6,11 72:23 73:1 82:6 97:11 98:7 160:13.25 164:11



205:24 206:4,8 214:13,15,17 228:9 235:23 237:14 238:20

agronomic 127:21 137:4 138:16, 17 197:14 252:24

ahead 16:24 17:20 19:21 24:18 35:9 42:15 53:20 58:13 64:14 75:22 82:7 86:1 92:8,10 116:11 118:10 136:22 145:13 158:11 212:7 254:24

ahold 51:24 **airing** 179:2

alfalfa 14:17 88:18,23 254:9,16, 25 255:2,3,8,15 256:7 257:13,21,

allowed 34:23 82:17 157:13

alternate 106:15 107:19

altogether 232:12

amend 41:10 **Amended** 252:1

Amendment 149:2,19

ammonia 255:19

ammonium 133:7 136:2

amount 20:2 21:4 138:23 139:10

amounts 104:21 analyses 113:4

analysis 68:11 69:7

analyst 55:13

analyzed 125:19

analyzing 69:19

Anderson 190:18

Andrea 8:5

animal 10:21 13:5

animals 14:24

announced 48:6

annual 219:2 230:6

answering 150:24 245:8

answers 11:8 110:20 123:9

192:23 235:12,18

anticipate 11:25

anticipated 138:18

anybody's 106:4

anymore 88:10 106:4

AOC 65:12,13,19 66:2,5,12 92:17 94:10,25 95:11 97:5 100:12,19,23 108:25 109:19,21 110:6,9,15,24 111:2,8,17,18 114:24 146:25 147:2 168:25 243:7,14 248:11

apologize 52:17

appeal 92:19 93:10

appearance 12:12

appeared 177:3

appears 58:11 68:22 121:20

188:6

application 76:14,17 77:8 197:12,17 227:2 239:25 240:6 252:25 253:18 256:10

applications 18:10 141:11 233:2 236:13

applicators 236:12

applied 77:14 134:18 137:24 139:11 167:7

apply 17:23 19:18,23 131:14 137:4

appreciation 145:2

approach 126:10 137:5 141:10, 19,21,24

appropriately 134:18

approval 196:7,15

approve 196:2,8

approved 196:1,13 197:1,3,4,6

approximately 27:18,19 46:23 56:1

approximates 46:24

apps 226:16,22

April 47:24 48:2 49:10 59:6 92:12 145:25

aquifer 91:2,6

arbitrary 193:3

Arcadis 92:5 114:9,10,19 243:16

area 30:17 34:3,7 50:9 60:25 68:7 70:5 71:12 101:5,21 129:7 138:15 151:9,10 152:12 154:3 155:2 194:9

arena 56:3

argue 137:3 141:11 argument 137:10

argumentative 86:8,20 104:10, 11 116:10 120:11,22 212:13 215:22,24 216:12 253:5

arguments 102:6 137:8

Arlington 144:22

arm-twisting 202:1

artichokes 20:11

article 153:16 185:7,11,16 186:5, 12 187:3,11 188:8 198:16 199:9

articles 199:2

ashes 20:16

assert 246:12

assertion 85:7

assertions 85:22

assess 153:25

assessment 192:2,5

assigned 55:10

assignment 113:5

assist 33:15 36:14 51:15 194:16

assistance 50:14 51:11 144:5 194:7 238:13

assistant 122:15

assisted 22:20 33:4,18 167:9 238:19

assists 51:13

association 7:9 39:3,6,11,18 60:11 110:20,22 120:9 148:14 151:6 154:12

Association's 120:9

associational 179:22 204:15

associations 119:24 187:19



assume 37:8 44:2 49:19 70:14 99:5 112:12 131:22 182:21 222:13 224:5 226:16 251:19 253:24

assumed 103:11 226:21

assumes 47:10 91:7 92:9 103:23,24 110:17 161:4 170:12, 19 222:7 231:12 242:25 243:2

assuming 149:22

assumption 37:11 74:9 89:24 90:3

assumptions 32:21

assure 164:6

atrocious 87:18

attached 252:2

attachment 186:17,18,21,23 188:4

attempt 116:8

attend 66:23 97:7 98:15 167:16, 18,21

attended 12:21 68:8,9 200:1 224:13 242:15

attention 88:24 89:2

attorney 21:6,13 25:18,19 44:4 48:12 79:25 168:20 178:11 220:21,23 248:14

attorney's 44:5

attorney-client 20:24 21:6 23:20 24:16,24 26:7 29:9,24 30:4, 24 31:20 39:16,17 45:1 80:2 154:7,10,14,15,20 168:7,14 173:20 174:21 179:22 184:23 191:14 244:11 248:22

attorneys 7:25 23:17 24:4 30:24 176:8

attorneys' 189:17

audible 12:5

audience 174:14.19 177:8

August 171:21 173:9

author 36:24 authored 39:25 authority 31:12

auto 9:23

Avenue 7:3,18,22

avoided 209:21

aware 34:16 43:18,21 51:5 64:1 83:3,21 84:14,21 89:15 148:18 149:3

awareness 158:25 182:19

В

back 13:10 15:11,16 28:14 30:21 32:7 49:23 59:21 60:1,3 70:19 75:25 81:24 84:8,15 90:8 97:20 100:12 112:10 114:8 117:7 119:5 124:22 128:15 131:23 137:11 145:19 154:22 158:2 172:7 175:8, 12 213:23 216:3 226:5 229:7 245:24 246:3,22 249:3 252:10 254:13 256:20 258:7

back- 134:3

back-channel 201:25

background 12:19 16:6,16,17 18:6,7 47:6 55:22,23 103:5 248:7

backlog 133:4,18 134:4,7,16,17 135:1,2,5,11,22,24 136:14

bad 67:23 87:7 115:17 156:18 187:20

badly 220:7

bag 208:17

bantered 127:12

bar 38:24 210:19,20

base 87:9

based 20:17 33:6 74:4 85:8 87:23 88:3 102:23 133:14 164:5, 7,17 165:3,11 190:22 216:19

baseless 18:21

basic 20:15

basically 64:16 109:4 117:20 121:16 131:12 144:5 163:24

236:19

Basin 34:6 61:9 116:23 125:1

basin-wide 116:22 118:12

basing 74:24 103:3

basins/lagoon 32:20

basis 83:8,11,14 85:7 105:6 118:5 139:4 155:22,23 160:3 179:21 183:18 184:25 191:2 193:6 229:22,25

Bates 33:6

Bates-stamped 194:25 198:7 199:11

bathroom 55:11 145:15

battle 149:18

battling 174:6

BCC 51:23

bcc'd 40:25 52:8

bcc's 182:16

beach 220:7

beans 14:20

beers 222:19

began 11:2 25:19

begin 84:6 158:1 226:4

beginning 196:5 242:24

behalf 19:11 48:23 93:2 126:16 234:23 256:21

behest 228:11,13

belabor 205:19 222:11

bell 91:23 146:9

Bellon 235:6

benchmark 142:13

Bennett 144:19,20

big 44:19 50:11 87:13 135:11 170:8.9

Bill 48:16 61:5 96:24 100:14 101:1,2 122:13,15,19 129:4,6 132:20 142:2, 143:10 144:24 145:3 188:15,24,25 189:1 199:21

Bill's 135:5,15

billing 189:10,14,20 190:5



billings 189:5

bills 189:16

binder 250:12.14

bit 12:22 48:3 54:21 63:9 88:7 140:21 141:18 174:3 200:24 224:25 242:8

Blair 198:14,23

blank 65:8 110:10 232:2

blanket 153:21 159:12

blanketly 132:16

blueprints 17:3

board 10:5 46:19,22 50:5 61:6,8, 11,14,19,23,25 62:3,6 92:20 105:25 107:21 127:10 158:9 174:16 182:12 193:5 194:12 200:11 202:15 224:10,13,19,22 225:1,4,5,6,8,19 228:23 230:6 232:8 257:10

boards 146:22

Bob 36:25 37:2 120:15 122:7 169:2,5 182:20

Boon 180:19

Bosma 11:19 27:20 46:5,13,14, 15,19,21,22,25 47:1,4, 48:9,16 56:6,12,15,18,19,25 65:6 84:17 93:23.24 94:16 243:22

boss 230:12

bother 145:11

bottom 33:7 51:19 52:13,14 59:9 68:10 106:8 182:16,18 187:15 193:4,7 198:6 223:20 224:3

Bouchey 235:9

box 22:17 162:23

Brandon 37:23

breach 231:7

break 59:20 111:22 112:8 145:11.16 175:2 246:7 252:6

Brendan 38:2

Brewery 67:17

Brian 144:19,20 235:8

briefing 177:18,22

briefly 82:12 100:4

brigade 230:17,24

brigades 231:20

bring 53:24 149:25 178:10

brings 102:6

British 20:13

broad 49:7 111:20

broadcast 68:6

broader 150:14

Brothers 28:4

brought 55:18 166:6,13 183:18

brown 208:17

BS 12:24 13:8

Bud 97:7.12 98:6.21.25 99:15.17 100:3 218:22 219:1 229:24 230:5

budgeting 140:24

built 89:7 103:20 104:3,7

bullet 34:21 201:24 249:4

bullying 116:8 120:20 121:1,7

bunch 258:16

burdock 257:23,25

bureau 55:25 107:24 108:3

business 13:25 58:22,24,25 212:21,23,25 258:9

businesses 51:5

busy 211:18

Buzz 210:21

Buzz's 210:21 223:6,9,11 226:12 230:4.5

Buzzes 221:23 222:2

bylaws 27:13

C

cabinets 22:13

Cabo 220:2

CAFO 10:6 92:21 113:25 114:4 206:23 207:1 242:13,16,19

CAFOS 206:22

calculate 197:14

calculated 20:2

calculating 133:16

calculation 217:5

calendar 171:7

call 14:6 38:8 47:2 65:11 117:9 157:15 172:23 183:12,13 200:11, 13.25 208:17 221:4

called 15:20 48:1 56:7.16 182:3

calling 66:1 198:15

calls 10:12 20:23 23:19 26:6 29:10,23 30:3 35:7 39:8 43:6 59:2 70:24 71:7,20,23 73:15 75:20 77:17 79:25 82:3,16 89:9,13,22, 23 108:10 109:12 123:18 124:17, 20 125:15 131:1.19 132:10 133:1. 9 134:20,23 136:4,20 139:14,16 144:12 145:4 161:5 182:25 183:2, 10,13,17 197:20 199:6 210:2,18 211:17 222:8 225:12 233:13,21 235:25 236:23 239:18 241:23 244:10 248:14 253:21 254:20 255:22 257:7

camera 78:10

campaign 120:19

campaigns 178:18

candidates 238:23

capacity 51:23 126:21 219:7,8

Capps 23:3

caps 104:20

care 9:25 14:4 83:15,18,19 84:10, 85:15 176:5,20 178:22

CARE/CFS 171:15 172:13

carefully 209:21

carries 69:20 193:8

carry 55:2

carted 232:11

Carter 37:25



case 7:12,13 9:22 10:7,8,17,20 11:12,18 18:20 45:12 63:13,15, 22,24 64:2,5,8 92:20 93:8,9,10 149:2,19,25 151:2 155:24 156:15 159:1 164:7 166:1 170:24 172:20 176:25 177:14 178:1,3,7 184:22 188:25 189:5,11 231:24

cases 11:12,13,16 84:22 132:20 170:23 171:3,4 175:20 182:16 183:19,22 188:3,17 190:5 203:17

castle 223:23

category 182:8 200:15

caused 244:9,17 247:1,7,25 249:1

causing 248:18

caution 171:23

caveat 67:2

cc'd 40:24 52:17 59:12

cc'ing 144:24

ceiling 34:23

cell 211:21,22 222:25

Center 176:21

Central 7:21

certified 166:5

CFS 176:5 178:23

chairman 235:8

challenge 10:5

challenges 99:14

Chamberlain 61:8

chamdairy2 61:7

chance 105:25

Chandler 221:12,17

change 53:25 80:21 83:25 119:10 135:22 141:10 148:22 157:19 178:4 185:16 225:22 227:20,24 239:13,20,22

changed 74:22 84:9 88:13 89:3, 8,12,18 185:23 200:24 228:2,22 241:10 242:8

changing 53:21 70:4 89:17

character 125:3

characterization 72:19 102:10 116:12,14 117:9 118:1 121:3 203:7

characterize 71:15 115:5 121:7 131:15 134:12 138:20 209:12 216:10,17 234:15 235:4 242:20 254:3

characterized 200:25

characterizes 85:2 116:17 253:15

characterizing 85:13 102:8

Charlie 8:3 16:6 45:3 52:11 134:24 156:18 195:7 213:20 232:13 234:3 235:16 237:3 241:5 250:12

charm 247:21

charts 22:9

chat 106:1 220:10 222:17

check 46:24 85:20 95:25

checked 22:17 162:24

checking 68:21,22

Chehalis 61:23

Chelan 117:4

Cheney 54:13,16,17,22 55:19,21

Chery 163:8

chicken 20:16,20

chickens 20:18

choice 135:6

choose 34:4

chosen 117:16

Chris 54:13,20 60:8,10,11,16

chunk 20:7

Circle 130:21

circumstance 27:7 134:19

139:18 156:8

circumstances 53:4 132:18

134:5.6 141:13

citations 105:19 113:10

cite 105:16

citizen 82:22 178:19

citizens 82:14 83:4

City 63:8

claim 248:22

claiming 181:7,8

claims 104:20

clarification 189:15 215:13

clarify 21:3 246:18 251:25

clarifying 166:2

Clark 218:22 219:1

classified 69:19

classify 216:6

cleaning 55:11 228:20

cleanup 228:7,13

clear 23:24 111:13 168:19

clearing 33:12

client 29:20 41:5 151:19 184:9

client's 18:3

clinical 103:11

clip 82:11 86:25 87:5

close 62:6 200:19 201:11

cluster 11:13,16 30:1 45:23,25 46:1,3 61:24 64:17 65:10,20 66:4, 11,24 67:5 70:10 93:19 97:13 98:8 108:23 109:20 110:15,23 111:1,8 114:10,14,20 147:2 244:9,18 247:2,8 249:1

Co-op 190:19,21,22 191:15

coffee 21:14

Coie 221:1,2,3

Columbia 20:13 34:6 61:9 107:12

comfortable 235:1

comment 39:24 41:1 43:15 65:7 120:5

commentaries 248:9

commenters 113:15



commenting 31:18 43:20

comments 32:11 33:16 35:25 36:2,3 43:5,25 68:12 90:13,14 105:17 115:6,11 163:5 168:25 187:20 236:5

commercials 179:2,8

commission 33:4 34:1,2 35:19 36:4 42:23,24,25 43:5 44:12 50:6 146:15,17 195:25 196:8,21 198:5, 11,24

commissioned 90:14,15

commissioner 56:1 235:9

commissioners 117:15

commissioning 43:15 44:7

commitment 100:17

committee 106:10,20 107:12 128:7,11 221:5 240:12

committees 235:9

common 39:5 45:11 83:12 84:14 152:4 154:16 155:1,6,10,19,24 156:6,23 179:21 197:10

communicate 210:13,17,25 211:7,12 212:6 215:5,8 216:20 240:7,10

communication 29:9,14,16 41:8,16 45:1 49:8 66:19,20 100:17 213:18 216:2,3,9,23,24,25 217:5,16,21 245:15 248:3

communications 20:24 21:7 23:20 26:7 28:19 29:24 30:4 34:9, 14 80:1 119:6 126:8,13,17 172:2 174:5 188:20,22 215:17 216:6,8, 17 217:2 244:11 246:15 258:7

community 7:9 71:16 117:11 239:6

companies 9:25

company 7:11 51:6

compendium 95:10 113:8

complained 123:10

complete 11:8,24 238:8

completed 195:4

completely 152:22,24 204:5

compliance 52:20,21 53:5,6,13, 14,17,23 162:18

complied 75:3,16 76:4,12 77:11 129:3 130:18,22 132:6,8 141:5,7 144:3 150:7 199:22 218:2 230:15

complying 75:8,11,13

compound 24:10 196:9 200:6 201:3

computer 24:13

computers 22:13 23:5,7 24:5

con- 114:25

concentrated 10:21

concern 87:11 106:17,21 143:6 158:14 228:6

concerned 69:3 75:9,12 77:14 227:12

concerns 68:19,24 69:1 102:6 119:25 120:18 122:24 131:15 142:13

concluded 11:4

conclusion 10:13 35:2 39:9 59:3 71:21 82:4 87:9 109:13 236:24

conclusions 35:12 91:4 137:7

conditions 135:19,21

conduct 94:1

conducted 87:24

confer 30:9 245:7,23

conference 67:19 100:9 127:18, 147:23 182:25 183:2

conferred 246:5,6

confident 221:6

confidential 258:9

confirm 160:1,14 208:13 237:7

confirmed 241:18

confirms 90:17

conflicts 157:4

confronted 96:1

confused 33:10 167:3

congratulating 219:17

congressional 69:13

conjunction 25:18

consent 64:19 65:4,12,19,24 104:8 109:11,18 145:8 200:18,22 201:7

Conservation 17:1

consideration 195:25 227:3

consistent 145:6

consolidate 169:19

consolidation 169:25

constitutes 217:2

constructed 16:3

consult 45:22,25 47:4,9 79:23

consultant 63:5 114:19

consultation 47:14 65:10

consulted 66:11

consulting 45:12 51:19 58:9,16, 25 80:6 92:5 111:7

contact 37:13,18,23 46:12,13 57:3 62:7

contacts 37:19 198:15

contaminant 103:20 104:4

contamination 71:18 72:2,9 77:23 78:7 81:15 91:18,21 234:7 248:19.25

content 24:9 29:15 40:20 41:12 65:11 79:4 121:20 180:1 201:9

contention 250:1

contents 21:25 110:3 250:24

context 113:25 114:2 127:13 131:24 218:7 226:23 230:10 233:23

continue 120:19 137:3 237:2 246:11 251:1

continues 34:23 201:25

continuing 141:11

contract 54:17,20

contradicts 165:19

contribute 179:4 192:10



contributed 74:11 77:15 244:9, 17 247:1,7,25 249:1

contributing 71:4,11,17 72:1 73:6,14 75:5,18 76:6 88:8 190:24 191:6 248:18

contribution 179:18,19 180:1,4 181:3

contributions 91:18 138:19

control 10:4 92:20 234:2

convened 67:12

convenient 175:1

Convention 81:11

conventional 14:14 15:11,16

conversation 28:21,23 29:4 57:16 63:21 78:16,19,21 79:1,5, 13 96:8 97:6 99:22 100:2 127:20, 22 128:9,10,12,14,16,18 129:11 143:1 169:20,23 215:16 216:25 217:1,13,14,15 220:13 243:21,23 244:2 246:9

conversations 21:1,4,13 22:16 25:1,18,19 28:15,17 38:2,4,7 66:21 79:20 97:14 100:1 102:16, 18 103:8 110:14,19 117:6,15 126:2,22,24 127:6,8,24 165:9 168:16 169:17 175:13 228:1,21 229:18 246:19

convert 136:2,18,24

convey 188:11

Cooke 8:7 16:5,8,11,20 18:1,14, 19 19:1,5 20:23 21:7,15 22:6,21 23:13,19 24:1,7,10, 25:21 26:6, 11,25 27:2,9 29:5,8,11,23 30:3,7, 8,11,13 31:2,5,20,25 32:5 35:4,7, 13 36:7,13,15,20 37:10 39:8,14, 20,22 40:2,10,15,22 41:1,5,13,15, 23,24 42:3,20 43:6,11 44:1,15,24 51:25 52:6,10,13,16 53:19 54:2,5, 10 58:12 59:22 63:11 64:12 66:8 70:6,24 71:7,20 72:5,14 73:8,15, 19,23 74:7,12 76:9 77:17,20,25 79:6,21,24,25 80:5,7,12 82:3,7,9, 16 83:5 85:10,12,25 86:8,11,19, 23 87:21 89:6,9,13,21 90:19 92:7, 9 93:5,12 103:23 104:11 108:10 109:12,23 110:16 111:10,23,25 112:3 116:10 117:25 118:7

119:19 120:11,22 121:5,12, 123:18 124:17,20 125:7 126:20 128:23 129:1 132:19 133:1,11 134:1,9,14,20 136:4,20 138:4,9 139:1, 141:14,20,22 142:14 143:17 144:14 145:4,10,14 147:17,25 148:23 149:6,9,13,17, 21 150:2,7,10,17 151:23 152:7,9, 15,22 153:1,8,13,18,25 154:4,7, 11,13,21,24 156:17,25 159:3,5,8, 16 160:22 161:2,4,14,23 162:1,3, 7,9,11,19 163:1,4,18 165:7,15 167:2 168:13,18 170:12,19 173:7, 16,22 174:2 179:6,11 181:8,17,22 184:7,9,23 185:14 186:19,25 188:10,12 190:10,14 191:1,7,11, 16,21 192:1,5,8 193:12,15 198:20 201:9 203:20 205:1.2.6.9.13.15. 17 206:2,24 208:18,21 210:6 212:13,22 213:6 214:2,5,11 215:6,19,22 216:11 217:6 225:11, 14 228:16 231:12,16 232:13,20 233:13,21 234:9 236:23 237:17, 21 239:7,9 242:25 243:2,5 244:10,19,25 245:13,20 246:6,13 247:15,16,17 248:13 249:16 250:7,10,15,21 251:6 253:4,21 254:18,20 255:22,25 256:11,15 257:3,7 258:4,12,18,21

cooperative 116:22 118:12 190:22

copied 62:11 98:3 241:13,14

copies 208:23

copy 37:4,7 44:6 64:21 65:4 95:9, 10,11 97:23 161:14,15 165:24 173:5 180:6,8 206:21 207:5,6,11, 12,13,14,19 243:4

corn 14:17 20:10 88:18,22,23 124:19,23,25 125:10,17 138:3,8, 12,24 139:9 140:5,6,9,10,16,19

corner 33:7

correct 14:9 17:6,12 32:24 33:2, 8,16,19 34:25 35:19 36:1,5 37:4 39:3 43:4,25 44:14 45:10 46:6 51:4,21,22 56:20 58:11,17,22 59:1,17 60:4,19 64:11,17,24 65:2, 8 66:5,12 70:5,11,23 72:3 75:7 77:4,9,19 78:24 79:2,18,24 80:7 81:16,19 82:6 85:8 92:21 98:5 107:6 108:23 109:6,11,21 110:15

111:2,19 118:5 120:21 123:25 128:15 132:20,21,23,24 133:8 136:3,15 139:24,25 140:3 143:16 144:6 162:23 165:5,21,22 167:14 170:18 173:15 175:18 179:25 182:4,16,22 186:12 190:19 195:11 199:4 201:1,12,18 202:19 203:11,14,15 206:20 215:4 217:19,24,25 219:15,18 222:14, 15,25 225:10 229:19 233:2,9,20 234:1,8 236:22 239:14,15,16 240:4 241:10 246:7 251:16

corrected 103:17

correctly 134:13

correspondence 115:14

cost-effective 52:22 53:7

costs 147:6,10 184:4,12,18,20

Council 151:9,10 152:12 154:3 155:2

counsel 19:10 30:25 36:13 158:12 165:25 179:16 205:12 241:16 244:20,24 245:4,6 246:5, 10 247:11

counsel's 164:13

count 213:20 215:18 216:7

counteract 178:18,22

counterpart 169:3

counterparts 122:3

counting 213:17 215:9,10,11,12 217:3

county 51:3,7,8 55:25 56:1 61:18 62:14 117:15 193:23,25 232:3

couple 9:16 11:5 80:19 100:15 106:9 107:10 112:3 160:17 188:23 194:24 221:18 222:5 224:2 240:18 242:15

courses 13:5

court 7:5,12,20,21,23 11:4,25 12:15 82:25 83:7 97:24 157:12,16 162:14 166:5,6,13 213:2

courtesies 86:24

courtesy 86:13

courts 85:17



cover 106:19

covered 184:4,18,21

cow 7:10 11:19 20:16 28:8 46:5 47:20,22 56:25 59:7 94:4 163:3 255:20 257:19

cows 14:4,21,22,25 15:3,19 27:15 28:13

Creamery 190:22

created 27:8 33:22 158:5 203:14

creation 167:10

credit 34:22 242:2

criteria 192:16,24,25 193:2

criterion 192:16,25

critical 76:16

critique 36:1 105:13

crop 14:12 20:17 76:20,23 77:3, 6,9,13,16 133:15 136:3 138:18, 20,25 139:4,10,13,24 140:3,8,11, 21,22 255:3,6

cropping 20:5 74:21 88:17,21 140:15

crops 14:5,16 19:25 20:15 124:12,14,16 253:12 254:10,12

Crowe 240:7 241:13,18

Crowe's 241:25

Cummings 128:6 242:14

cup 21:13

current 60:11 97:5 142:3,17 163:2 197:15

cut 110:3 193:8.17

cutoff 214:24

CV-13-3016-TOR 7:13

CV-13-3017-TOR 7:14

CV-13-3019-TOR 7:14

cycle 139:22

D

dairies 11:17,18,19,20 17:15

19:11 27:18,20,22 30:2 45:23 46:3 47:7 64:17 65:11,20 66:4,24 67:5 71:4,10,17 72:1,13,18 73:6, 13 75:18 76:6 81:19 82:1 83:4 88:8,14 91:4,21 92:17 93:20 94:23 97:8,13 98:8 108:23 109:20 110:15,23 111:1 114:10,14,17,20 147:3 244:9,18 247:2,8 248:1,18 249:1

dairies' 66:11

dairy 7:2 8:8,12 9:1,4 10:1,16 12:22 13:2,12 14:6,13,14,21 15:23,24 16:8,12,13 17:5,14 18:4, 7 19:6 27:12,15,24 28:10 29:11, 18 33:3 34:1,2 35:18 36:3 38:15 39:19 46:20 50:5,6,8 51:2,7,8,12 57:2 58:17 59:7 60:12 61:18,23, 24 62:22 67:2,5 72:23 74:21,25 75:4,8,15 76:3 81:11 91:12,17 93:2,23,25 94:16 95:17 98:15 99:7,13 105:21 107:9,10,25 111:8 115:10,17 116:20,24 117:21 118:15,20 120:8 121:9,24 129:7 138:23 141:9 146:14,17 151:5 155:2,25 167:4,9 179:15 182:12 184:5 187:24 193:23,25 194:16 195:24 196:8 198:5,8,10,19,22,24 200:12 201:5,18 206:1,18 220:21 231:19 232:2 233:5 240:4 241:8, 21 252:20,22 255:20 256:13,14,

Dairyman 185:7

Dairymen's 37:3 39:2,6,11,18 120:9 148:14 151:6

damaging 115:18

Dan 8:11 9:12 22:1 23:4,16 38:19 48:17 54:20 62:3,6,7 96:6,22 99:8,21, 101:22 102:8,19 106:14, 22,24 107:11,19 108:6,19 117:4 122:19 143:10 163:8 167:18 182:21 202:22 224:10 242:7 244:3,4, 249:5 250:15 251:10

Darcel 9:12 23:3

Darigold 50:7 62:10 78:24

data 20:13 136:23 243:7

date 7:15 17:1 35:22 100:25 161:10 248:20,21

dated 36:13 106:23 112:18

119:13 129:20 143:12 145:25 161:10 179:16 182:4 185:10 188:15 232:25 234:21 238:4

dates 171:6 **Dave** 180:19

Davis 113:9

day 16:19 19:9 112:2,4 157:11 215:15,17,18 216:5 228:3 229:13

day-to-day 14:3

days 9:17 119:16,22 240:18 258:17

deadline 169:17

deal 170:9

Deb 28:21 29:16 37:12,16 78:19 79:1,13,20,23 80:6,15,16 168:1,4, 8

debate 27:16 **decade** 74:16

December 63:20 119:14 241:19

decided 120:16

decision-making 114:21

decisions 156:24

declarations 191:23 192:4

declined 79:16 80:3

decree 64:19 65:4 98:23 109:18 200:22 201:7

decrees 104:8 109:11

deep 145:1 254:16 255:1

deep-rooted 254:10,12 255:3,6 257:23

deeper 125:17 255:2 257:12

default 192:24 **defend** 178:1

defendants 7:11 8:10 155:24 156:15 177:25 182:15 184:22 188:3 204:16 243:19

defendants' 187:23 defending 178:3

defense 147:6,10 170:9,14,23



203:17

degree 12:21 13:3 18:11

Degroot 62:3,5 101:22 106:15,25 107:11,19 108:6,7 117:4

Degroot's 107:4

delegated 98:14

deleted 187:1

Dennis 91:14,16 115:14 119:13 122:9,19 185:6

department 90:23 91:1,14,17,24 97:11 98:7 160:12,24 164:10 214:13,15,16 228:4,6,8,9,18 229:19 235:22 236:19 237:14 238:12,20 240:15,22 241:1 252:23

depend 76:11,13 124:9

depending 75:12

depends 53:3 72:20 77:21 124:8, 24 125:3,17,18 134:4 135:14,16, 17 211:2 223:8.10

depose 18:3

deposed 9:18

deposition 7:1,8,16 11:6, 12:10, 16 16:15 17:25 19:8 20:22 21:9, 16,21,24 24:22 26:8 28:14,16,20 42:9 49:16 76:10 78:20 79:14,17, 24 80:7 84:3,7 93:6,13 157:22 158:2 181:18 226:1,5 232:14 250:11,24 252:2 256:12 258:24

depositions 166:10

depth 253:18

Deruyter 11:19 27:22,23 28:4 46:6 47:20 48:17 84:24 94:4 96:6, 12,21 143:10 161:11 162:17 163:9 244:4,5

Deruyters 27:25 28:5 96:22 144:6

describe 12:19 22:4 31:6,9 49:2 168:15

describing 178:4

description 41:20 42:19 55:6

descriptions 54:21

design 17:3 32:22

designated 98:14

designed 16:3,25 139:3

designees 117:3

desire 57:1

detail 88:24

detailed 143:23

details 31:7 87:14 150:15 174:4 180:2

determination 71:3,9 104:18

determine 17:22 19:18,23 20:14 77:7 86:3,16 134:18 182:10 192:17 193:1 216:22

determined 23:11 103:12 104:8

determining 197:10

develop 20:16

Devries 61:16

Deyoung 61:18

DFA'S 151:9

dialogue 114:5

difference 70:5, 76:13 122:3

differences 121:10

differently 118:21

digester 99:5,13 232:1

diligence 86:3

dinner 175:18,24 176:3

direct 29:15 30:13

direction 217:21

directive 131:8

directly 40:24 42:1 43:20

director 8:25 37:2 98:6 123:15 234:22 235:5

201.22 200.0

director's 224:15,17 225:9

directors 146:22 224:23

disagree 16:20 18:9 27:10 35:12, 15 39:20 53:2 70:21 71:2,17 85:19 126:20 129:19 130:3,5,23 131:7, 132:9,16 134:11 140:25

141:8 148:7,8 149:17 150:13 155:20,21 173:23 192:1,5 197:19, 22 204:2 237:23 245:1

Disc 84:2

discharging 231:15

disclosable 168:16

disclose 21:3 25:1 192:19

244:22

disclosed 190:10 191:12,13,18 204:20 258:7

disclosing 21:1

discoverable 149:4

discovery 181:4 241:6

discuss 57:3 117:22 175:20 177:3 183:18

discussed 97:1 112:24 126:5 143:3 169:15 172:18 176:1 196:11 199:20,25

discusses 49:2 64:10 151:4 182:20

discussing 155:8,12 252:3

discussion 97:10 111:17 113:24 127:18 129:15 143:4 160:6 169:24 170:2,18 171:1 176:15 178:17 196:12 198:6,22 219:13, 14 226:25 227:4 258:14

discussions 98:19, 99:23 102:7 110:5,25 117:7 125:24 127:15,20 160:16 170:22 171:8,11 176:10 201:24 209:7,10 227:22 243:16, 19

disease 15:17,20

disingenuous 137:6

disk 83:25 84:7 157:21 158:1 225:25 226:4 258:24

Disney 222:18

Disneyland 223:23

dispute 30:24

Disregard 164:13

distance 72:12

distinction 121:20.22



distributed 159:2

district 7:12,13 85:17

division 230:13

divulge 171:23

divulging 174:18

DNMP 139:7,9 163:2

document 19:24 22:20,23 23:14 25:24 27:1,3 32:24 33:6,7,19,21, 24 36:23 37:4,7 39:23 40:1,17,20 42:19 43:3,24 51:25 52:6,11 60:24 64:12 68:16.17 69:2.4.17. 22 70:6,11 78:20 80:12 110:8 111:12,14 121:12,18 141:14,16 142:14 143:17 145:11 150:22 152:17,20,23 158:5,7 159:14,16, 19 161:20 162:17,19 163:7,18,21, 22 164:5,8,18,20 165:16 166:5, 19,20 168:21 177:16 182:2 185:14,17,24 186:20 189:8,12 193:9 194:2,13,14 199:24 201:10, 23 202:4,14,24 203:5,18,20,25 204:3,20 206:12 209:19 213:9 214:21 225:14 234:1,15 235:1,22 237:5 242:6,9,10

documentation 104:13 248:6

documented 173:13

documents 18:22 21:12,25 22:1, 5,10,14,24,25 23:9 26:1 27:8 32:1,3,5 36:18 42:5,10 43:8 44:13 69:8 85:4 95:5 119:19 120:2 142:23 148:22 149:25 150:2 159:14 166:8 181:12,18 184:17 211:24 213:14 234:17 237:4,11 243:1 250:23 255:14 258:6,16

Dolsen 48:16 58:6,7 59:6 96:24, 25 100:15,16 101:1,3 143:11 144:24 145:3 188:15,17,20,24

Dolsen's 96:21 101:2

Dolsens 96:13,23 144:6

donating 149:9

donor 149:3 159:9 191:17,21 192:14

door 48:6

double 88:21 140:15

doubt 207:24

dozens 104:19,24 105:16

draft 39:24 44:20 186:3,7,8 206:22 207:3 208:23 209:4

drafts 136:23

draw 255:1

drawing 232:2 255:5

dressed 21:13

drinking 31:12 103:6 104:4

222:19 236:14

drinks 226:12

drive 187:2

drive-around 95:19

driver 222:17

drove 21:19

drugs 170:5

dry 15:3 255:5

dual 107:25

Duane 61:11

due 86:3 169:18 197:9 240:3

241:20

duly 8:14

Dunbar 122:13,15 199:21 200:1

duties 51:17 55:2,10

Ε

Eaglemill 61:17

earlier 51:2 56:5 57:4 72:15
76:19 78:16 81:14 87:10 88:11,20
99:4,17 101:2 110:8 112:24
113:16 114:23 120:21 121:4
154:15 173:20 180:13 199:21
200:23 230:9 243:6 244:1 256:5

early 28:22 45:16 48:2 49:10 68:18,25 96:19 117:8 127:19 128:15 171:20

east 124:5,14 125:21 126:11 127:1 129:13 140:7,13,14 257:24,

Easterday 10:25

Eastern 7:12 10:21 223:24

easy 249:18

Eaton 67:3,4

echinacea 20:10

echo 147:25 150:17 205:2

echoed 154:8

ecology 90:23 91:1,14,17,24 114:1 117:7,12 128:6 160:13 164:10 234:22 240:23 241:1

242:12,18

Ecology's 91:4 242:19

economy 179:5

Edaleen 91:12

edit 185:7

edits 44:22

education 108:15,17

educational 12:19

effect 26:2 58:1 76:13 119:2

192:4

effective 53:24 124:15 242:17

efforts 115:18

elected 60:17

electronically 22:19

elimination 239:23

Elma 8:23 13:21,23

email 37:8,9 40:4,16 41:6 44:14 48:15,17,20,22,23 49:24 50:1 51:19,24 54:12 56:9 58:5,7,20,24 59:6 60:8,18,22 62:5 64:10,16 66:20 68:2 78:23 79:8 80:11 97:15 98:3 100:14,25 101:4 102:21 106:22 107:1,4,6 108:22 109:3 110:13 112:17 113:1 126:8 129:20 131:2 142:1,10 143:9,22 144:2,8,16, 145:24 161:23,25 163:7 179:16,24 183:6,7 185:5,10 186:12,24 188:2,15 202:18 212:1, 2 217:16 221:14 232:25 235:11 238:4 240:11 241:12 251:9

email's 106:24

emailed 40:8 158:22



emailing 58:15

emails 68:6 127:10 144:11 179:17 188:19 210:18 223:2

Embertson 197:1

emotion 87:16

emotional 87:20,23

employ 170:2

employee 54:20 55:16 198:10

employees 240:15,22

employment 84:20

emptying 55:11

encourage 233:4

end 84:2 121:23 140:1 157:21 196:4 223:17 225:25

ended 105:2

enforcement 53:21

engage 168:24 169:6 254:15

engaged 84:15 105:13,15 117:6

engineer 34:3,5 35:11,14,16

engineering 17:3 32:19 43:23

ensure 236:13

entails 55:15

entered 65:20

enterprise 231:19

entire 82:18,19 145:1,3

entities 43:14 61:24 143:15 146:16 148:5, 150:9,10,12,16,18, 22 152:12 154:16 155:7,11 156:7, 23 182:20 184:21 192:10 193:9 234:22

entitled 149:1 159:8

entity 43:24 81:5 126:15 146:20 192:25

entity's 192:20

envelope 207:23 208:4,19

environment 7:10 182:25

EPA 30:1 31:11,19 32:11,18 33:15 36:1,4 39:24 41:20 42:18, 22 43:15,25 45:18 47:4,5,6 48:1.

5,10 49:9,14 50:15 56:6,9 57:1,15 59:7 60:24 64:10,16,17,23,25 65:21 66:23 67:6,8,13 68:14,23 69:5,7,14,18,19,21,23 73:2 87:18 88:5 90:12,13,21,22 93:25 95:11 97:2 98:22 100:19 103:6,12 104:17 105:14 113:5,15,23 114:6, 20,24 115:3,11,24 116:1 122:22 145:6 168:21 178:19,22 187:20 199:13,21 200:15,18,22 201:25 202:2,5 248:6,7,8

EPA'S 87:25

episode 215:14

episodes 215:12

equivalents 9:14

Erickson 91:14,16

essentially 54:19 109:10 120:20 121:8 142:12 143:14 163:16,21 201:5 225:7 234:23

establish 116:21,22 118:11 222:9

established 103:7

estimate 212:15

estimations 197:16

et al 7:10,11 50:20

euphemism 106:2

evaluate 250:23

evaluation 112:23 117:10

Evans 99:8,21

evasive 57:15

evening 218:22

event 217:12

events 231:3

eventually 65:13,20

Evergreen 12:22

everyone's 161:24

evidence 47:11 91:8 92:9 103:23,25 110:17 161:4 164:15 170:13,20 179:7 222:8 231:13 243:3

ex- 29:5

exact 27:13 35:22 67:25 69:10 113:8,22 117:11 143:3 171:6 174:4

examination 7:28:17

examples 89:2 117:18 125:1 144:9 254:14

excellent 86:21

exception 190:15

exceptions 112:21

excess 116:23 117:1 252:17

255:19

excessive 160:25 163:16,25

164:3 233:20

exchange 220:2

exclusively 194:9

excuse 47:18 150:3 153:13

executive 8:25 37:2 120:15

126:22

exert 120:8

exhaustive 102:18

exhibit 32:14,16 34:18 36:10,11 39:23 41:19 48:13,14 49:2,3 51:18 52:12 54:11,12 56:5 57:7 59:14 60:6,7,18 64:20 68:10 70:1 78:18,20 97:23,25 100:13,14 102:25 104:16 106:9 108:5,20,21 110:13 112:16,17 115:8,10 119:12,14,15,18 128:20,21,24 142:1, 143:8 145:23, 149:24 150:5 151:2 155:8,12 157:25 161:8 162:12,15 165:12,21 166:1 167:20 175:7,10,12 176:24 179:13,14 182:1,2,18 185:4,5 187:25 188:1,14 190:3,4,17,18 193:20,21 194:19,20 197:25 199:10 200:9 201:16, 202:17,18, 21 205:18,20 206:7,8 212:9,16 218:1 219:9,20 226:8 232:23,24 233:25 234:1 235:10,11 237:24 238:2,17,18 241:3,12 249:3,4,18, 21 250:8,11,24,25 251:8,9,13,17, 24

exhibits 164:6 237:12

exist 26:10,13 28:5 41:7 130:15 180:10



existing 138:18 183:15 249:6,10

exists 41:7 149:19 186:25

expectation 69:23

expedition 18:2

experience 34:5 124:22

experiences 135:20

expert 18:20 19:2 35:8 70:24 71:7,23 73:15 77:17 89:22 93:1 103:25 124:17,20 125:15 131:1, 19 132:10 133:9 134:23 136:4,20 139:16 197:20 254:21 255:25

experts 170:2 244:20 256:2

explained 198:12

explore 157:6,8

explored 87:11

expose 112:23

express 145:1

expressed 122:24 143:7 228:6

extensive 18:22

extent 20:23,25 23:19 24:15 35:7 39:8 79:3 120:18 122:17 173:7 181:9 186:25 188:19 192:13 244:10,19 248:13

extract 254:16

extremely 144:25

F

face 137:2 141:3

facilities 46:6,13 47:20 56:19 75:3 94:4,7,13 160:21 161:11

facility 10:24 14:1 15:22 16:1 17:6,22 19:19,22 56:25 94:21 95:20

fact 21:4 33:1 41:7 103:19 130:14 219:23 240:3,20 252:12

factor 88:9 103:1,20 104:3,7

factors 124:13

facts 19:5 47:10 91:7 92:9 103:23,24 110:17 161:4 164:14 170:12,19 222:7,9 231:12 242:25 243:2

failing 156:18

fair 15:19 88:10 116:14 118:1 193:16 250:7

fairly 62:6 210:14

fall 20:5 38:8 105:24 220:13 235:21 243:22 244:1

familiar 36:18 42:6,18 43:7 50:2, 63:7 65:23 99:15 255:14,17

families 94:12 96:9,12 98:25 99:10 109:9 160:5,16 169:19,25 176:18 187:24

family 13:10,16 61:13 84:17 96:23 222:16 230:18,19,23,25

Faria 63:6,7,15,24 64:1,5,7

farm 13:10,16,18 14:3,11,12,15 16:9,13 17:2,5,9 18:2,5 19:8,23 20:3 48:2 51:6 55:25 74:19,20,24 76:11 96:15,21,25 107:23 108:3 118:20,21 119:7 139:3 143:23 159:20,22,23 165:17 230:25 231:14,18 252:22 253:11 255:4 256:18

farmed 13:10,11

farmer 60:12 61:18,23 76:18 129:7 254:22 256:6,19 257:9

farmers 62:22 67:5 120:9 187:24 190:19,21,22 191:15 206:18 231:6 253:11

farmers' 187:7

farming 13:12,15 124:22

farms 16:13 47:15,19 48:7 60:23 62:20 70:4,9,10,18 93:21 94:9 115:17 124:11 159:22 160:11 161:1 164:9 165:20 206:18 253:14

fast 135:20.21

faster 136:18.25

February 143:12 188:15 200:11, 25 213:4

federal 85:17 102:23

federation 8:8,12 9:1,5 10:1,16 14:7 18:4,8 19:6 22:2 27:12 29:11,19 34:16 35:18 36:4 38:15

39:19 46:20 50:5 51:2,7,8,9 56:2 58:17 67:2 93:3 98:15 99:7,13 105:21 107:25 115:23 116:20,24 117:21 118:15,20,23 121:25 141:9 151:6 155:2,25 156:11 167:5,9 179:15 184:5 193:23,24, 25 194:16 200:12,20 201:6,12,18 206:1 211:23 220:22 240:4 255:21 256:13,14,18

Federation's 115:10 231:19

federations 121:10

feed 20:14 140:21 255:8

feeding 10:21

feel 120:2 153:17 209:13

feet 124:24 125:2,6,11,13 131:18 254:4 255:1,16,20 257:11

felt 15:18 209:13,16

fertility 143:22

fertilizer 76:23 127:21 138:19 139:23

fertilizers 20:15

field 9:16 20:17 51:1,15,16 76:14, 20 124:4,8 133:16 138:11 139:3 253:18 254:8,15 256:10 257:19, 21

fields 20:6,9 124:11 132:21 138:14 233:17

fight 116:4

fighting 157:11

figure 184:12 257:15

figured 254:2

file 22:13 23:8 43:4 173:13 194:22

filed 85:17

files 37:5 41:6 180:8 195:22 203:13 214:17

filing 23:8

fill 55:20

final 44:17 105:18 190:1

finalized 35:21

finally 21:10



financial 52:19

find 15:20 26:1 34:2 160:24 168:22,23 180:3,17 181:13 238:20 242:17 256:2 257:12,14

finding 238:13

fine 16:16 153:15

finish 12:2 16:15,21 40:23 86:9, 12,14 121:15

firm 28:15,20 29:13,19 32:10 36:13,25 37:14,18 38:3 92:5 156:22 168:24 180:14 248:23

fishing 18:1

fit 192:24

five-foot 253:18 256:9

fix 252:18,19 256:7 257:5

fixed 70:14 123:13 193:2

fixing 123:12,23 124:1 255:21 257:1

flawed 123:16,21

flexibility 174:7 232:16

flip 22:9 149:18

Florida 222:17

folks 50:2 107:9,10 109:4 110:6 229:18

follow 18:18 120:17 222:10

follow-up 64:17 114:8 115:14

Food 176:21

foot 15:17,20 124:23 131:17,22

Forester 61:11

Foresterfarms 61:10

forgive 198:4

forgot 92:25

form 44:9 75:10 208:21

formal 19:24 194:3,4

formally 194:13

forms 71:5

formula 20:17

forward 58:6 59:15 93:22

Foster 220:23

found 169:4 180:13,17,22,23,25 257:2

foundation 18:20 32:2 35:6 52:25 62:24 129:22 131:1 132:11 162:20 165:15 180:20 189:18 205:25 206:4,5,9 213:6 214:2 236:25 241:24

foundational 18:17,25

four- 253:18

Fourteen 46:16

fourth 43:23 143:8 144:23 219:9 223:19 233:1 249:3

frame 22:17 29:1 35:24 47:16,23 66:16 67:10,11 68:16,17 72:20, 22, 93:23 96:5 97:16,18 116:25 118:17 216:5 248:15

Fred 62:13,14

free 120:2 152:8 153:17

Freeman 92:2,6,24 93:7,17 145:25 146:1,13

French 39:1

frequently 210:14

Friday 80:15

friend 220:17,18 257:22

fries 39:1

front 54:12 60:7 97:22 108:21 111:12,14 112:17 145:24 182:2 185:5 188:1 193:21 216:1,2,3 232:24

FTE 9:14,17

full 8:20 9:7,9 11:7 55:5 149:3 163:22 189:16 231:1

full-time 14:9

fun 255:17

function 230:6

fund 147:2 148:17 194:20

fundamental 19:22

funded 148:17 156:13 196:23

204:12

funders 204:11

funding 146:15 147:20 148:5 152:3 156:1,12,13 171:25 172:1, 2.3 204:10.13

fundraiser 183:22 184:1

fundraising 158:10,24 178:10 202:19 204:10 205:21 206:19

funds 147:6, 159:10 178:11 182:19

funny 105:8

FYI 50:20 59:18 62:12,15,20 188:6 230:16

G

gallons 232:10,11

gas 98:20 99:11,16

Gates 220:23

gather 196:19

Gathering 22:25 23:1

gatherings 67:21

gave 12:15 177:12 200:22 207:9

general 10:6 74:20 85:13 88:25 92:21 113:25 126:3 158:8 189:9 206:22 207:4

generality 53:3

generalization 125:9

generalizing 117:14

generally 52:20 65:7 67:25 84:19 88:12 100:23 102:10 110:4 111:15 112:21 115:5 124:23 127:13 133:14 135:6 139:4 143:20 158:8 169:19,25 189:7,8 192:22 253:1 255:3

generic 68:4 115:25 171:19 172:24 177:13 178:7 187:23

genesis 144:15 169:4

genetics 88:25

gentleman 14:18 37:21 81:2 99:8,10 105:4 144:17

George 11:19 27:23 48:17 50:25 51:1,21 53:4 54:13 58:6,9,15



59:6,17 96:6 107:23 117:5,13 143:10 241:14

George's 52:18 58:24

get-together 105:23

Ginny 97:11,14 98:24 99:2,18,23 100:7 112:18 113:24 128:4,9 163:8 208:11 209:7,16,18 210:8 214:10 216:20 218:5 219:13 221:7 222:3,14 223:23 224:13 225:7 226:8,19,20 227:8,21 229:7,12 232:25 235:11 236:5,7 238:4,12 251:9

Ginny's 230:12

give 11:7,24 12:2,3,14 16:11 57:6 63:17 82:20 84:13 94:3 95:2,14 158:16,23 173:14 174:7 192:9,12, 17 196:7 236:5 238:12 253:16 256:3

Givens 28:15,19 29:13,19 31:11, 15 32:10 36:24 37:14,18 39:25 44:11,14,18,19 156:22 168:8,20, 22 169:6 170:15 174:21 180:14 245:2 248:5.23

giving 109:10,16 141:2 153:21 165:23 245:5

Gmail 48:25

goal 203:16 204:23

goals 99:14

goats 27:16

good 8:19 20:7 39:1 47:3 59:22 63:5 86:22 94:8 139:19 143:25 157:18 167:6 186:15 218:19 219:17 243:24 254:2

Google 257:20

Googling 69:7

Gordon 7:2,8 8:14,19,21 9:18 11:5 15:24 17:15 18:23 19:9 24:18 25:2,9 27:11 30:23 32:15 42:11,15 45:18 48:14 49:6 54:12 60:3,7 78:16 80:18 84:3,7,9 86:25 97:22 108:21 112:11 128:22 133:23 145:21 147:5 148:16 151:2 154:14 156:15 157:12,22 158:2,4 159:19 161:8 162:13 164:24 167:8 170:6 185:5 188:1 190:4 198:1,15 200:10 205:20 206:21 214:10,19,25 216:16 226:1,5,7 232:24 237:25 246:5,13 252:12 258:24

Gordon's 16:12 18:4 19:8

gosh 93:11 223:13 229:10

government 55:7,14,20

governor 234:21 235:12,14,18, 20,23

grab 229:8

gracious 94:8

grade 27:14

graduated 12:20 13:8

graduating 13:14

graduation 13:10

grain 14:17 20:10

grandfather 13:11

grass 14:17,18 20:10 140:9

grass-based 239:24

Grays 56:1

great 229:6,12

green 14:20 218:10,15 255:4

grew 257:22

GRH2O 226:16

ground 11:5 124:15 125:21 253:10

255.10

grounds 152:1,2 159:7

groundwater 31:13 32:11 41:21 42:19,22 71:19 73:3 90:24 91:18, 22 101:5, 103:21 185:19 194:9 226:17,22 227:4 234:7 243:13

group 49:24,25 51:3,12 61:25 67:21 84:18 122:17 173:14 182:7

groups 42:25 149:2 151:9 153:7 156:5 182:12,13

grow 14:16,19 139:11

growing 140:1

grown 20:10 253:12 255:3

257:25

guarantee 187:17

guess 16:20 32:7 38:11 49:7 53:10 68:9 111:25 112:4 114:3,4 126:7 130:16 156:18 169:10 170:24 192:1 216:20 227:9

guidance 69:8,11,13,14,15 88:1 144:5 197:9 256:3

guidances 88:5 126:4

guideline 142:3,18 143:6 227:2

guidelines 138:22 139:2,3

guy 230:8 231:7

guys 140:19 159:11,15 226:21

GWMA 101:24 102:9,18 106:10, 12,20 107:10,13,14,17,22,23 117:3,4,16 194:12,16 220:11,14 221:9 251:10 252:20

Н

H-o-a-r-d-s 185:8

Haak 28:10 108:23 143:11 232:8

Haak's 232:2

Haaks 144:6

habit 218:18

hairy 15:21

half 9:15 60:16 95:23 103:15

half-hour 177:22

Halladay 185:6,10

Ham 104:17 113:6

Hammington 7:4,24

hand 97:23 145:22 151:1 165:24 175:10

handed 119:15 158:4 165:25 195:24

handing 32:15 36:11 48:14 115:9 161:8 162:13 176:24 179:14 200:10 212:16 238:18 251:25

handle 80:22

Hank 46:14,15 47:2 48:16 65:6 66:18 95:9

happen 122:6 184:2 224:12



happy 152:9 220:1

Harbor 56:1

hard 96:7 161:14.15 165:24 187:2 207:11,12,13

hardware 166:12

Harrington 8:9 10:12 17:18,24 19:4,11,20 23:24 24:8,15,23 25:3, 4,7 26:20,23 29:2,6,10 30:5, 33:9, 23 35:5 36:6 39:21 40:6,13 44:9, 23,25 45:3,6,9,14,24 47:10 49:4 56:24 57:8,10 59:2 62:23 65:14, 16 66:6,13 71:1,23 72:6, 73:11,24 75:10,20 76:8 79:3,7 85:9,11,24 86:1,6 89:22 101:17 103:22,24 104:10 107:3 108:13 109:14.22 110:7,18 111:3,11 116:9 117:23 118:8,19 120:1,5,23 125:15 126:12,15 129:21 130:25 132:10, 25 133:9,12, 134:22 137:21 141:16,23 144:1 147:18 148:1,7, 10,18 149:15 150:11,20 151:11, 15,20,24 152:2,14,19 153:2,5,9, 14,24 154:18,23 155:16,21,23 156:10 157:1,7,15 158:14,18 159:4 161:3,13,16,19 163:20 164:2,13,19 166:2,12,15,18 171:22 172:5 174:17,24 175:22 177:10,19 178:13 179:20 180:15 181:1 183:3 184:8,14,25 190:6, 13,25 191:2,8 192:13,18,23 193:11,13 195:6,12 196:9 200:5 201:2,8 202:3,13,23 203:4,18,22 204:2,8,18 206:13 208:20 209:22 210:2 212:20,24 213:7,13,17,20 214:3,7,14,20 215:23 222:7 225:12 234:2,14,25 235:15,24 236:25 237:3,11,20 239:18 241:5, 15, 244:23 245:7,12 246:6,18 247:9,14, 249:19,22,25 250:4,19 252:15 253:23 256:16

Harrington's 154:8

Harris 8:5 45:7,8

Harris' 45:7.9

Harrison 195:1 247:18

harvest 140:19

hats 58:10 107:25

hauled 232:1

hauling 230:17,24 231:20

hay 119:7

HDR 43:23

head 12:6 25:17 51:10 176:9

179:1

headache 100:17,23

Headed 219:10

headquarters 122:22

hear 118:3 158:12 173:24 205:7

heard 43:19 54:9 84:23 102:17 103:18,19 242:18

Hearings 10:5 92:20

heavier 69:21

heavily 55:9

heifers 15:4,8

helped 34:1 35:18 36:4 42:23,24

43:5 148:17

helpful 144:25

helping 14:19 117:5 151:7

238:20

Henry 46:14,25 47:1,4,9,23,25 48:16 56:6,12,15,16, 66:18 94:19, 20 95:9 96:22 146:13 243:22,23

244:2

Hey 218:3

high 12:20 134:7 142:3,18 164:1 244:6 253:20 254:8

higher 69:21,22 77:12 88:20 102:23

highest 211:19

highlight 130:5,15,16 131:25

132:2 141:4

highlighted 132:4

highlighter 130:12

highlighting 141:2

highly 69:20

Highway 8:23

hired 248:4

his/her 137:7

historically 81:18

histories 74:21

history 13:9 20:5,9

Hoard's 185:6.7

hoc 183:11,18 193:6 210:23,24

hold 86:9 106:4

Honeyford 221:12,16

hope 86:22

hopes 99:14

horrible 87:8

host 253:13

Houlihan 8:7

hour 95:23 216:5

hours 112:3 232:14,16

house 69:9,14,22,24 87:25 88:6

207:19 235:8

Hover 97:7,12 98:7 219:1 229:24

how's 172:20

Hugh 37:12,16 40:3 180:11

humble 218:13.15

hunt 113:10

hydrogeologist 91:25

hypothesis 112:22

hypothetical 75:21 132:11 133:12 134:22 139:17 215:24,25 253:16,23 254:14 255:24 256:16,

Hypothetically 254:3

ı

i- 166:4

iced 145:15

IDA'S 206:9

Idaho 39:2,5,11,18 119:24 120:9, 12 122:1,3 148:13 151:6 222:1

idea 25:17,23 140:10

ideas 15:18



identification 32:14 36:10 48:13 54:11 60:6 78:18 100:13 108:20 112:16 115:8 119:12 128:20 145:23 157:25 175:7 179:13 182:1 185:4 187:25 188:14 190:3, 17 193:20 194:19 197:25 200:9 201:16 202:17,21 205:18 206:7 212:9 232:23 233:25 235:10 237:24 238:17 241:4 251:8,24

identified 18:15,19 51:2 117:17 181:6 202:8

identify 7:25 130:13 148:15 192:14

identity 150:11

ignorance 198:4

Ignorance-based 201:25

IMHO 218:10,12,17

impact 76:13

impacts 90:24 98:22

impair 170:6

impending 50:15 56:6

implemented 142:5,20 159:22

implicates 204:15 247:10

implies 217:14 242:3

import 20:20

important 30:17 56:18,22

impossible 134:24

improper 86:1

inaccurate 153:2 154:23 197:16

inaccurately 153:15

inadvertently 32:6 149:23 190:9 191:12.13 258:6

inappropriate 247:13

inches 236:17

inclined 137:6,7

include 31:18 47:20

included 42:9 69:16 113:13

208:6

includes 55:11 182:15 225:19 227:1 234:17 241:12

including 48:15 68:12 85:14 101:1 145:8 150:15 151:9 161:25 179:21 188:2

incomplete 75:21 132:11 133:12 134:22 139:17 215:24 253:23 256:16

incorporated 15:24 69:16

incorrect 183:25 250:13

increase 89:1

independent 245:4,6

individual 18:4 19:8 20:3 21:5 34:16 127:9 138:13 150:19 167:4 175:21 187:18 189:21 256:18

individually 256:5

individuals 22:16 49:8 50:14, 148:24,25 149:22 188:5

industry 50:6 55:9 77:22 151:7 153:4,11 198:19

infer 233:17

inferred 123:24,25

inferring 90:2

infers 135:23

influence 253:3

influential 69:20

inform 12:15

information 11:11 24:6 32:19 35:17 44:2 76:14 103:4 144:10 149:14 150:15 152:20 156:1,12, 17 159:9 165:4,5,10 166:11 171:25 174:8,18 184:11 189:20 192:19 193:7 194:15 196:20 204:10 205:20 233:24 244:23 245:15 258:9

informational 178:14 235:5

initial 48:5 56:9 60:23 94:10 105:1

initially 87:10

ink 130:19

Inn 210:21

input 196:2,5 253:6

inquire 181:15 191:24

inquiry 56:10

insist 147:22

inspection 160:18,20 161:9,10 162:3 163:14

inspections 160:12 164:10 252:22

inspector 238:8,13,21

inspectors 239:8 253:10

instance 12:10 14:4 76:14 88:21 128:17 139:8 143:21

instruct 57:15 147:19 151:11,17, 24 159:4,5 179:25 184:9,14,24 190:6 191:3 203:22 245:16

instructed 19:13 30:25 31:5 132:13

instructing 23:22 25:5 26:9 30:6 152:14,15 190:11 204:6,8,18,24, 25 245:18.20

instruction 153:22

insurance 9:25

integral 22:2

intend 116:1

intended 49:3.7

intense 88:17

intent 53:14 57:1 185:11,25 188:9 194:14

intention 185:20

intentions 187:8

interest 39:5 45:11 152:4 154:16 155:1,6,10,19,24 156:6,23 157:4 179:21

interested 146:15 182:9,10 196:20 206:18

interesting 135:6

interests 114:6 156:21 157:4 204:16

Internet 241:20

interpose 158:16 171:22

interposed 158:17

interposing 19:10,12



interpret 221:14

intervenor 10:14,17

intervenors 10:7

interview 77:22 78:3,10 81:22 238:23 239:3,4

interviews 78:6,12 86:18

introduce 252:3 introduced 168:1

introduction 167:25 248:11

introductions 167:23

invade 24:23 **invades** 24:16

investigation 60:24 204:15

investigator 195:1

invitation 67:25 68:4

invited 68:2 involve 10:20

involved 9:24 10:9 43:12,15,18, 21 110:5,25 111:7,17 128:9,10 176:8

involvement 45:18,21 114:23

involving 10:17

ipad 166:3,6

irrational 204:5

irrelevance 179:23

irrelevant 146:12 147:22 156:2, 14 171:25 204:14 232:17

irrigated 221:5

irrigation 14:5 88:24

Israeli 113:13

issue 31:13 35:2 78:12 146:11 152:5,10 185:2 247:10

issued 88:6

issues 16:18 29:25 42:4 150:15 157:5,7,8,9 159:13 160:11 164:9 189:10,11 199:14 225:19 227:6, 13 232:17 234:6

item 233:1

items 251:4

J

Jacks 227:6

Jake 28:4 84:24

Janet 32:17 198:12

January 55:17 56:3 60:16 122:8 187:12 198:3 224:13 227:6 228:5 251:18

Jason 61:2,3 80:17 101:22 102:8,19 106:14 107:18 117:4

Jay 7:2,8 8:14 16:12 18:4 19:8 84:3,7 144:25 157:22 158:2 167:4,5 182:21 186:15 198:14 214:9,24 220:1 224:8 226:1,5 258:24

Jean 101:11,13,14,15,19,20,21, 23 102:9.13.19 108:8

Jim 120:14 122:7 221:5

Jimmy 84:24

job 14:6,9 54:21 55:6 63:5 170:8, 14 219:17 258:11

Joe 195:1

John 61:18 242:14

join 119:25 120:17

joined 183:15

journalist 78:7

Jr 46:14,21,22,25 243:22

JT 8:7 22:19 79:21,23 80:7,17 246:5

judge 147:24

Julie 97:11,14 98:24 100:2,7 228:25 229:1,4,7,21 230:10 240:17

Julie's 229:8,13

July 171:20 173:9

July/august 172:16

June 7:3,15

Κ

Kaiser 12:20

Kessler 7:17

Kevin 92:2,11 145:25

key 22:16 23:8,10 26:15 49:8 50:5,23 193:6

kid 128:5

Kimsey 91:21

kind 19:24 34:21 39:10 44:3 50:23 57:6 63:1 84:14 95:19 104:7 114:5,18 117:9 135:18 137:4 144:12 158:9 168:7 170:8,9 172:19,24 174:20 176:4 178:7 190:4 194:10 218:16 253:19

kinds 192:9 234:17 256:23

kitchen 105:21 106:2,4

Kjeldahl 136:1

knew 43:19,21 69:18

knock 48:6

knowing 251:5

knowledge 18:10 35:15 83:12 84:14 85:8,14 89:1 108:14 133:15 167:21 195:5

Krahn 120:14 122:7,10

Kristensen 28:21 37:12,17 78:19 79:2,13,21 80:6 168:1,4,8 170:15,22 171:1,9 174:12 177:3, 6,8

Kylie 7:4,23 76:1 244:13 246:16, 21

L

lack 35:5 52:24 62:23 129:21 130:25 132:11 162:20 165:15 197:9 213:6 214:2 235:24 236:25 256:24

lacking 69:5

Lacks 108:13

lagoon 15:25 16:2,25 17:2,5,8,11 89:17 231:1,7



lagoons 89:3,7,15,18 90:1,2,3,6, 17,24 104:18,19,22 112:14,21,25 114:6

laid 169:11 land 236:12

language 66:12 95:11 220:10

large 22:8,17 26:3 55:21 133:4 185:18 253:1

larger 38:15

late 13:14 35:23 48:2 57:5 67:3 115:7 128:15 137:1 169:9 224:4,5

Laurie 240:7 241:12

law 8:7 149:2,19 159:25 168:24 178:4

Lawrence 8:10 245:3

lawsuit 16:14 84:19 156:1,12,13 172:1 204:11,12,13

lawsuits 18:3 177:4 178:19

lawyer 25:1 lawyers 157:9

lay 32:2

lays 19:24,25

lead 107:18 197:15

Leader 198:9,10,12,23

leaders 106:1 193:6

leadership 50:3,4,23 60:25 61:1 191:6

leak 104:18

leakage 32:21 35:3 104:19

lean 55:9

leasing 14:19

leaving 240:5

led 87:16

leeway 16:11 174:3

left 30:23 80:21 111:23

legal 9:20 10:12,14 29:12 39:9 59:3 64:19 71:20 82:3 84:16,17 109:10,12 115:19,21,23,24 116:1

176:10,15 180:20 189:18 205:25 206:4,5,9 236:23

legally 116:5

legislation 226:23 227:10,16,19

legislative 55:3,8 201:17 202:11 211:5 223:15 226:24 227:13

legislature 54:23 55:7 227:16

legitimate 191:22 204:1

Leister 32:17

Lenova 166:14

letter 39:24 115:16 116:19 118:17 119:13,16,17,22 121:9,21, 122:25 218:10 234:13,21 235:4 236:5,7

letterhead 206:9

letters 120:6 121:16

letting 204:4

level 69:21,22 103:13,20 104:4 124:2 126:25 127:7,25 131:18 142:3 143:6 254:19 255:9,10 256:9

levels 76:22 77:4,8,15 89:1 125:20 127:16,21 129:12,17 142:18 160:25 163:14,24 253:17 255:19 257:1

liability 7:11

licensed 27:14

light 176:4 218:10,15

Likkel 62:13.14

limited 7:11 152:5

limiting 233:1

limits 29:14 31:8 156:2.4

Linda 23:3

lined 17:11 230:17 231:7

lines 142:7

lining 230:24

link 188:4

linked 217:13

list 27:4 36:21 42:4,9 43:14, 50:17, 60:21 72:12 83:21 84:10,

13 85:2 90:16 162:12 191:18

listed 39:23 99:8 155:7,11 167:20 249:13

listen 98:25 112:20

lists 148:4 149:3 159:9 191:17, 20,21

literature 32:20 104:2

litigation 10:2,10 16:9 31:14,16, 17 39:6,12 63:7 83:15,18 84:16, 21,23,25 147:7,10,20 148:6,17 152:4 171:14,15 172:11,12,13 176:2 178:5,22 182:3,19 184:4,6, 21 192:10 205:22 249:13

live 13:21,23

lively 27:16

livestock 236:12

LLC 7:10

loading 118:5

loadings 119:1

lobby 221:13 225:8

lobbyist 54:17,20,22 55:3

local 51:11,12,16 105:25 194:5, 11 231:6 241:18

located 7:17 13:20

lodging 26:11

log 27:4 36:12,14,19 41:10,16 42:1 173:4,17 181:5 216:2 249:14

Log's 36:21

long 9:2 11:1 14:2 43:1 46:15 60:13,15 72:12 91:3 93:15 95:22 102:17 140:18 177:17 207:24 227:15 228:19 243:6

longer 15:10

looked 90:23 91:1,10 212:2 243:7,11,13,24

loosely 50:2 99:14 113:12

lot 20:11,12 36:21 43:1,18 63:9 75:14 87:13 88:22 90:9,12 97:2 102:9,16 106:15,16 127:19 128:11 141:17 142:25 143:1 148:4 159:12 167:3 175:17 184:11 210:4,10 231:5 233:7,11



253:2

lots 110:5 111:24 193:9 229:18

lower 32:12 45:19 70:23 72:3,10 77:24 78:8 81:16 88:9 115:4 127:1 142:24 199:13 234:7 244:8, 17 247:1,7,25 252:13

lunch 38:8,14,17,23 106:1 111:22 112:8 113:17 227:5 228:3, 24,25 229:3,8,13,14,15

Lynden 224:4,6 238:10

M

made 42:11 55:19 71:3 88:14,16 99:9,12 115:7 118:25 119:9 160:5,16 165:3,12 191:23 192:3

mail 44:17

mailed 207:20

main 46:12 48:22 67:20 97:6

maintaining 31:3 159:18

major 91:5

make 22:18 45:14 52:22 53:7,16 54:8 57:21 70:4,13 80:21 83:24 85:21 101:18 104:17 106:18 122:6 137:7 152:6 168:18 172:5 194:6 214:21 225:22 247:14,18 249:6,18

makes 10:7 115:19 137:5

making 53:23 85:7 143:14,20 156:24 160:3 247:12

manage 14:3

managed 159:21

management 70:14 74:19,20 75:1,4,9,16 76:4 88:13,25 95:17 101:5,21 128:8 138:23 142:4,19 241:9,19 252:21

Management-environmental 195:2

manager 14:1 125:5

managers 88:17

manages 14:2

manner 204:11 215:9 246:14

manual 69:9

manure 17:23 18:10 19:18,23 20:11,16,20 34:23 48:4 70:14 76:16 77:7,13 124:4 131:14 137:24 138:10 139:11 159:21 197:11 231:6 236:13 239:24

March 47:15,17,18,24 48:2 57:5, 16,23 65:21 108:25 161:10,11 167:14 169:12 171:13,16 172:11, 14 248:12,17

mark 32:16 33:4 87:6 129:23 198:9,10,12 212:8 218:22 219:1

marked 32:14,15 36:10,11 48:13, 14 54:11 60:6 78:18 98:1 100:13 108:20 112:16 115:8,9 119:12,15 128:20 145:23 151:1 157:25 158:4 161:8 165:25 175:7,13 176:24 179:13,14 182:1 187:4,25 188:14 190:3,17 193:20 194:19 197:25 200:9 201:16 202:17,21 205:18 206:7 212:9,16 232:23 233:25 235:10 237:24 238:17,18 241:3 251:8,24,25 258:9

marks 104:14

Marlene 108:23 143:11

materials 113:14 143:1

math 103:15,16

Mathew 8:9

matter 7:9 85:16 135:3,7,9,22 136:25 150:13 152:3 216:14 240:20 245:8.12.13

matters 30:10

maturing 88:20

maximum 103:20 104:3 211:11 212:5 223:11

Maxwell 230:11,13

Mccarty 161:9 162:13,15

Mclerran 115:15 116:20 119:13, 17 122:9,12,16 123:1,15 199:20, 25

Mcnary 12:20

meaning 33:10 179:15 205:25

means 52:22 53:7 135:2,24 210:5 214:22

meant 99:20 118:14

media 178:17,21,25 198:8,15,16, 18,22 199:3

medical 103:1

medications 170:5

meet 92:6 94:19 96:13 210:19 222:3 223:11 225:18 226:15 229:8,21,24 230:3 242:12

meeting 38:15 67:3,4,9,12,15,19 68:3 92:12,23 94:22 95:24 96:5, 19,20 97:7,12 98:6,12,17 99:9 100:5,20 101:2,5 106:2 121:23,24 122:1,5,8,10,11,21 123:6 167:13, 16,18,21 168:4,6,9 169:12 170:10 173:12 175:14,15,21 176:11,12, 17 177:2,9 198:2 199:20,25 200:3 211:18 219:2 224:9,11,12,13,19, 23 225:5,6 227:12 228:23 230:6,7 235:13,19 251:10,23

meetings 66:23 96:3 101:6 105:21 106:2,16,17,19 117:22 196:16 211:17 240:11 242:16

Melanie 91:20

member 15:12,13 27:11 28:8 56:18,22 61:6,23 62:6 85:18 107:14,18,24 108:2 129:8,11 149:3 159:8

members 15:14 27:18,20 28:7, 10 56:19 61:25 67:24 107:17,20, 21 109:20 110:19,23 111:1,16 114:10 117:5 119:1,9 125:24 126:2,9,25 127:9 175:21 194:16 224:10 234:24 240:22 256:21

membership 68:7 106:12 158:8 191:17,18,19 202:9

memo 26:1 241:8

Memorandum 193:22,24

memory 79:10 170:6

Mendoza 101:14,16,20,21

Mendoza's 102:13

mention 101:15,23 102:1

mentioned 87:10 101:19 230:8,9

mentioning 101:20 107:11

merit 137:9



message 121:16 178:18 188:11

messed 82:5

met 38:9 69:25 92:11 93:17 96:7, 12,14 138:18 168:2,4,5 182:20

226:12 230:5

methane 99:5 232:1

method 44:13

methods 197:15

Mid 169:9

middle 34:21 58:5 132:2 199:16

midsummer 140:9

Midwest 125:2

Mike 190:18

milk 144:17 179:3

milkers 15:2

milking 15:3

million 103:10 127:7,12,25 131:17 142:12,17 143:2 185:18, 23 186:4 203:16 204:23 209:10 233:5,8,12,18 236:16 253:17 256:8

mind 59:13 85:2 113:11,20 164:3 217:11

mine 121:3 257:22

minimum 258:8

minute 18:16 105:9 202:13,23 214:20 245:23

minutes 30:11,16 59:21 80:20 88:7 95:23 101:2 177:22 196:16 200:10,13 203:3

Mischaracterizes 29:2,6 73:24 85:11 109:14 111:4 117:23 118:8 120:23 180:15 181:1 193:13 202:3 203:4 243:5

missing 68:20

Misstatements 54:5

misstates 40:13 44:15 54:2 66:6, 73:8 74:7 87:21 90:19 109:23 110:16 125:7 134:9 141:16 165:7 179:6 186:19 198:20 201:9 210:6 212:14 215:6.19 217:6 228:16

moist 136:24

moment 40:23 42:16 121:14 136:12,13 144:1 157:17 163:12 166:3 172:4 189:12

Monahan 38:2,7

Monetary 53:21

money 149:10

monitoring 243:13

month 9:17 210:16,25 211:12,13, 18 212:6,12 213:4,11 216:21 223:7,9,12 229:23 230:1 240:8

monthly 183:10 229:21,24

months 9:3 73:18 223:10 230:2 240:9 258:15

moor 15:25

moot 152:6

Morgan 97:11 100:3 229:1,21 240:17

240.17

morning 21:19 186:15 230:18

motion 169:18

motions 169:18

Mountain 67:17 151:9,10 152:12 155:2

move 18:7 105:8 200:7

moving 45:3 176:23 232:21

muddle 26:23

multifold 11:10

multiple 81:15

muscle 120:10

Ν

N03-n 236:17

NA 114:1

Naerebout 36:25 37:1, 39:2,18 120:16 122:7,10 169:3,5 182:20

named 11:17 99:8 104:17 148:9, 25 150:22 230:8 235:5

names 22:10

narrative 43:9 120:4 137:13,15 164:23 235:3 239:19 258:22

narrow 141:18

nation 182:13

16

national 144:17 182:24 239:13, 20

natural 16:25 42:14 98:20 99:11,

nature 31:6,9,22 93:14 168:11,15 174:3

necessarily 135:15

needed 55:19 76:24 222:16 242:8

negatively 176:9 179:1

news 68:5

newsletters 148:3,13

newspaper 198:13

nice 106:6 218:10

Nicole 197:1

night 56:15 175:18 213:22,23

nitr- 139:12

nitrate 31:13 70:5,22 71:5 72:2,9 75:5,19 76:7,22 77:4,8,23 81:15 88:9 91:18,21 115:18 118:5,16,24 119:1 126:10 127:16 129:12,16 132:1 133:6,13 135:23 136:3 142:18 160:25 163:14,24 199:13 209:5,8 236:14 244:8,16 246:25 247:6,24 248:19 252:13 253:17 255:19 256:9 257:1

nitrate-nitrogen 236:16

nitrates 18:11,23 71:18 72:25 73:3 77:1,12,15 102:22 104:21 116:23 117:1 119:6 124:3,16 127:1,21 128:1 137:13,20,23 142:4 185:22 248:25 252:17 254:9.17

nitric 124:3 nitrite 136:2

nitrogen 125:5 133:4,13 135:8, 10 136:1 138:23 139:10,12,22,23 140:2,12,17,21 185:12,20 186:1 187:6 253:20 254:10,13



noes 12:5

nonparties 184:5

nonsensical 24:17

Noon 228:25 229:4

Nootenboom 9:12 23:3

normal 44:13 231:19

Nos 7:13 241:3

note 26:3,5,13 208:6,8 239:25 240:5 252:1

noted 39:21,22 162:3 173:22

notes 22:9 171:11 200:4 202:22 251:21

notice 56:25 76:10

noticed 7:19

noting 258:12

November 35:23 39:24 185:10 187:11 218:3

NRCS 17:3 34:8,15 126:4 127:13 128:7,17 138:22 219:15 227:1 240:12 241:9,19 252:25 253:2 256:23

nullify 102:13,15

number 7:22 29:21,25 34:7 42:21,24 47:15,19 51:5 53:22 56:4 62:20 67:5 84:15,23 88:16 96:14 101:1 117:13 130:6,19 134:17 137:22 138:1,5,6,13,14, 16,20 139:7,9 142:3,24 143:5 147:11 163:12 228:7 231:3 239:12 241:13

numbered 219:21 233:1

numbers 15:15 77:11 163:3,16 234:2

numerical 137:17 138:10

numerous 160:12 164:9

nutrient 17:14 74:25 75:4,8,15 76:4 95:17 128:8 138:23 195:1 197:11,16 241:9,19 252:21

nutrients 20:2 125:12 255:1,5,8, 15

0

O'riordan 37:12,16 40:3 177:6,7

oath 8:14 11:7 60:4 112:11

object 16:5,22 76:9 108:17 150:24,25 162:19 168:13 174:6, 17 179:20 201:8 248:13

Object- 254:18

objecting 24:21 205:12

objection 10:12 17:18,24 19:20 20:23 22:6,21 23:13,19 24:7,15, 17 26:6,20,25 29:2,5,6,23 30:3,5 31:4,20 33:23 35:4,5,13 36:6,7, 15,20 37:10 39:8 40:2,6,10,13 42:20 43:6,10 44:1,9,15 45:24 47:10 49:4 51:25 52:6,24 53:19 54:2,5,10 56:24 57:8 58:12 59:2 62:23 63:11 64:12 65:14,16 66:6, 8.13 70:6.24 71:1.7.20 72:5.6.14 73:8,15 74:7,12 75:10,20 77:17, 25 79:3,6,25 80:12 82:3,7,16 83:5 85:9,10,24 86:6,8,11,19 87:21 89:6,9,13,21,22 90:19 92:7 93:5, 12 101:17 103:22 104:10,11 107:3 108:10,13 109:12,14,22,23 110:7,16 111:3,11 116:9,10 117:23,25 118:7,8, 119:19 120:11,22 121:5,12, 123:18 124:17,20 125:7,15 129:21 130:25 131:19 132:10,19,25 133:1,9 134:1,9,11,14,20 136:4, 20 137:21 138:4,9 139:1, 141:14, 20,22,23 142:14 143:17 144:14 145:4 147:17 148:19 150:9 154:4. 5,6 155:4 158:12,16,17,18 159:3, 12,18 160:22 161:2,3 163:18 164:2 165:7,15 170:12,19 171:23 175:22 177:10,19 178:13 179:6, 11 180:15 181:1,17,22 183:3 184:7,8,23,25 185:14 186:19 188:10,12 190:25 191:1,7,8 192:13,18 193:11,12,15 196:9 198:20 200:5 201:2.9 202:3 203:4,18,20 206:2,13,24 208:18, 20,21 209:22 210:2,6 212:13 213:6,7 214:2,3 215:6,19,22,23 216:11 217:6 222:7 225:11 228:16 230:23 231:12,16 233:13, 21 235:24 236:23 239:18 241:23 242:25 243:2 244:10 247:12,

252:15 253:4,21 254:20 255:22 256:11,15 257:3,7

objectionable 171:24

objections 19:10 26:12 72:11 73:19,23 76:8 111:10 163:1,4 173:24 205:2,5,6,15

obtain 194:15

occasion 218:17 220:4

occasionally 189:1 193:6 199:5, 9 220:21 240:11

occupation 8:24

occur 167:13 169:8 248:19 253:14

occurred 38:10 169:12 198:3 216:4

October 35:23 96:19 106:23 107:2,4,5 179:16 182:4 234:13,21 238:4

office 9:15 22:13,14,18 23:3, 26:4 51:15 94:23 95:9 210:18 229:8,13 230:3 238:10

office's 25:17

offices 189:17

official 115:10

official- 115:6

officially 115:6

officio 61:9

Ole 222:13

Olympia 127:19

Olympic 41:22 42:16

Olympus 41:21,22 43:3

one's 256:17

one-to-two-foot 255:9

ongoing 29:12,20 114:5 227:22 234:10

online 148:4,15

open 67:24 224:25

opened 238:7

operation 10:21



operations 236:12

operative 136:6,16

opines 18:23

opinion 35:8 70:19,25 71:8,10, 14,15,23 73:16 75:17 76:6 77:18 88:3 89:23 90:17 103:25 124:21 125:16 131:1,20 132:11 133:10 134:23 136:5,21 139:16 197:21 218:14,15 233:22 241:25 248:24 254:21 255:25

opinions 63:4 124:18 130:14 244:7,15,20 246:24 247:5,23 248:10,17,25

opportunities 44:20

opportunity 158:16 199:7

oppose 115:20,21

opposed 239:14,21,22

optimal 77:3,4,7,9,13

options 117:10 256:3

oral 7:1

order 26:1 44:3 65:12,19,23 69:9, 10,11 77:6 145:8 183:13 200:17

Oregon 12:20,21 13:1,2 20:13 81:11 119:24 120:8,12,14 122:1,4 174:15 190:23 224:25

organic 14:12,13,14,19 15:10,13, 14,17 20:15 133:4,18 134:4,7,16 135:1,2,5,7,9,22 136:24

organization 191:18

organizational 150:19

organizations 147:9 148:16 149:18 151:8 153:4,12 187:19

original 25:16,22 135:14

originally 200:22

others' 105:3

outcome 85:1

outline 169:21 177:12

outlined 143:23

outlines 237:1

outreach 108:15.18

overlap 140:23

overlapping 146:22

owe 190:1

owned 17:4,6,10

owner 14:2

Ρ

pages 143:8 161:18,20,22 162:17 219:21 221:19 224:2

paid 198:17

Palace 7:10 11:19 28:8 46:5 47:20,22 56:25 59:7 96:4

pamphlet 249:5

panel 238:23 239:4 242:15

paper 65:8

paragraph 70:2,22 102:21 107:8 108:17 129:20 137:1 141:4 151:3 155:7,11,13 160:11 197:7 199:18 238:7

paraphrase 70:3 88:11

paraphrased 153:14

paraphrasing 49:12

parentheses 102:25

part 14:19 16:13 22:2,24 31:18 33:8 35:25 38:15 42:4,14 44:7,12 53:6 55:21 71:15,16 72:13,15,17, 18,19,24 74:9 79:20 82:1,5 84:20 88:14 89:18,19,24 91:5 97:10 100:14 102:17 107:5,7 114:20,21 120:19 128:12 131:9 143:22 145:9 146:17 170:8,14,17 178:10 179:2 181:20,24 195:12 198:18 199:3 205:24 206:19 236:10 237:18 249:25

part-per-million 209:8

part-time 9:15 51:1

participant 102:2

participants 102:18

participate 166:25

participated 98:6 179:10 182:24

participation 192:20

parties 8:1 156:21 157:3,9 159:17 182:9,11 196:20 206:18 235:5

partner 14:2

partners 55:9

parts 103:10 127:6,11,25 131:17 134:18 137:8 142:12,17 143:2 185:18,23 186:4 233:5,8,12,18 236:16 253:17 256:8

party 9:20 10:1,8,10 18:20 19:2

Pasco 167:14

pass 86:17

passed 13:11 196:21

past 77:6 159:24 160:13 164:11

paste 193:8,17

pasted 110:3

Patrick 220:16,19

pattern 242:21

patterns 74:21

pause 158:15

pay 55:23

paying 89:2

PCHB 93:10

peer 68:13,15,19,20 69:5,8,12,13, 15 87:10,12,17,24 88:1

peer-review 68:24 69:1,4,16,22,

pen 255:20 257:19

penalties 53:21

pending 30:12 31:1,14,16 245:11

people 9:4,13 22:11 34:7 37:13, 16,17 48:15 49:24 50:1,3,5,7 60:19,22 87:15 96:3 100:15 101:1 105:13 110:14 117:22 128:11 148:8 159:10 182:8,14 188:2 198:23 217:1,15 241:13 242:12 257:14

Pepper 220:24



percent 103:2,14,15 167:11 184:18,20

percentage 255:8

perception 103:9

perfectly 204:1

period 159:10 248:24

Perkins 221:1,2,3 permeability 32:21

permit 10:6 92:21 114:1,4 206:22 207:4 208:23 209:8 242:13,16,19

permitting 206:23 207:1 242:24

person 51:1 62:14 100:10 223:4

personal 48:21 108:13 211:22 219:7 220:3

personally 9:24 20:6 126:13 167:8 183:5

pertains 150:18

Ph.d. 104:17

phone 7:22 38:8 97:16 171:2 188:23 210:18 211:17,21,22,25 240:11 243:23

phones 222:25

phonetic 61:5.13 105:11

phosphorus 233:2,8

photo 78:6,13

phrase 138:5

physical 114:13

picture 223:18,23

pictures 124:25 250:14

picturing 96:7

piece 17:7 87:13,16 234:23

pieces 19:22 78:2

pilot 220:11 221:10

pilots 220:14

pitch 107:10

place 7:16 67:15 97:12 114:18 122:21 128:14 178:21 227:10

plaintiff's 176:15

plaintiffs 7:10,19 8:2,4,6 45:10, 13 176:11,18,20

plan 17:14 19:24 95:17 143:22

planning 123:3

plans 74:19,20,25 75:1,4,9,16 76:4,11 159:23 187:8 202:19 252:22 253:11

plant 76:23 136:10 257:21

planted 138:11 140:9

planting 254:9

plastics 51:6

play 256:19

playing 80:25 81:6,23,25 82:13 87:2

pledges 151:8 152:25 153:6

plenty 232:22

podium 218:22,25

point 27:10 34:21 49:10 64:21 70:10 73:20 74:4 82:14,15,23 103:17 105:6 118:13 130:16 139:21 147:18 152:6 201:24 205:19 227:18,25 232:19 236:11 249:4

pointed 247:19

points 102:7 133:3 144:9

policy 12:23 55:13,14,20 56:3 194:7 236:19

pollute 104:18

Pollution 10:4 92:19

portion 17:5

Portland 9:23 173:12,15 174:12 177:3

pose 154:1

posed 154:6

position 137:12 148:22 191:17 204:9 205:3 238:9,24 239:11

positions 239:12

possession 181:9,10

possibility 12:11

possibly 148:24 191:11 251:4

posted 78:3 248:8

potential 149:21 225:20

potentially 136:8

pounds 138:24 139:8 140:6

163:15

Powerpoint 242:7 249:5,6,10, 12,24 251:12,15,20

12,24 231.12,13

PPM 142:2

practical 197:9

practice 88:22 139:19 197:10

practiced 140:7

practices 70:4,14 88:13 119:10

Pre-proposal 194:25

preamble 164:14

preceding 144:17

predecessor 54:19

premium 52:20

preparation 28:14,16 33:18 36:14 166:25 244:21

prepare 20:22 21:9 22:8 28:20

prepared 22:3 42:11 116:4 158:7 166:21,23 182:3 201:18,20

preproposal 195:19

202:22 246:13

present 8:24 38:18 74:16 92:19 97:8 98:12 100:7 114:11,13 177:6 202:25 246:20

presentation 242:7 249:24 251:10.15

presented 94:25

presently 15:22

preserve 26:17

president 50:22 60:11,15 62:10 180:19

pressure 240:4 241:21

Prest 97:11 99:2,23 112:18 113:25 128:4 163:8 208:11 209:7 210:13 213:3 214:1,10,19 215:3



216:4 220:3 226:9 232:25 235:11 238:4 251:9

Preston 37:25 38:1,19

presume 40:5 44:7

presuming 230:11

pretty 36:21 68:9 70:17 114:15, 16 137:5 177:12 243:24

previous 166:9 197:14 225:18

previously 151:1 165:25 175:12

primarily 55:13

primary 37:19 51:17 122:2 182:15

principal 195:1 196:25

principals 66:12 111:8

prior 34:9,11,12 44:15 54:2,6 67:9 73:8 74:7 87:21 90:19 97:25 109:23 110:16 118:17 125:7 131:2 134:9,12 153:10 164:20 165:7 168:4.8 198:20 221:21

privacy 148:25

private 38:14

privilege 24:16,24 27:4 30:10,25 31:21 36:12,19 39:16,17 41:10,16 42:1 80:3 147:21 148:2 152:3 154:7,10 155:20 156:7 158:15 168:14 173:4, 174:21 179:22 181:5,6,7,8 184:24 191:14 245:8, 12,13 246:7,12 247:10 249:14

privileged 20:24 23:20 26:7 29:9 30:4 32:6 41:8,9,15 156:14 171:24 245:14, 246:15 249:14 258:7

privileges 179:22

privity 156:8,9,10,11

privy 162:11

problem 70:2,5,22 71:4,5,11,16, 18 72:2,13,16,17,18 73:7,22 74:5, 11 75:5,19 76:7 77:23 82:2 88:9, 15 89:19,20,25 90:1,3,5,18 91:5 112:22 113:1,6 117:22 118:16, 123:24 150:1,3,4 244:8,16 246:25 247:6,24 252:13,18,19 256:8 257:2,5

problems 15:18 78:7 115:18 165:20 231:14,18

proceed 109:21

process 22:4 24:6,13 27:7 66:5 69:19 70:1 100:12 102:2 106:10, 20 107:11 109:19 110:15 111:2, 18 114:20,21,24 116:22 117:9 118:12,13 120:10 145:1,3,7 194:9,16 196:19 227:1 239:3 242:19,21,24 252:20,25 253:2,6, 8,9

processes 107:12 117:16,17 253:13

prodding 120:4

produce 26:18 41:3 173:2 206:12,14 211:24 236:3 258:15

produced 27:8 32:3,6 33:1,3,7, 10,22,24 36:12,24 39:15 40:16 41:25 42:10 43:20 117:8 148:13, 14 159:13,14,16 166:20 173:8,14, 16 177:1 179:16 181:4 188:19 190:9 194:21 202:18 203:25 204:3,21 212:18 234:1 235:22 236:2 237:6,10 241:5 243:8 248:7 249:12 250:2,22

producer 117:5 238:22 239:2

producers 34:17 50:8 51:12 105:23 117:6,14 174:15 178:5,14 179:3 200:19 201:5,11 233:4,5,7, 12,17 241:9

producing 26:25 27:3

product 26:8 44:4 244:11 248:14

production 21:25 26:19 40:18 42:3,4 173:17 179:15 243:1

products 33:3 34:1,2 146:14,17 196:21 198:5,11,22,24

professional 55:22,23 183:21 184:1 219:7,8 220:3 249:7

program 236:15 252:21

Programs 234:11

progress 233:7

project 99:11,16 196:3,7,8,25 197:1 238:9

projects 99:14

promise 185:12,20 186:1

promising 185:22

prompted 247:17

proper 24:24 26:21 124:2,6

properly 27:6 204:21

properties 47:8

property 17:7,8

proposals 194:20

propose 255:21 256:1

proposed 239:11,13,20,22

prospective 220:10

protectable 204:14

protection 27:6 149:1

provide 32:11 41:9 44:22 65:10 98:17 174:2 191:9 237:16 238:25 250:20

provided 31:21,24,25 37:7 43:25 55:21 66:4 150:2 162:14 182:6,8 203:11,14 209:18 244:24 249:15

providing 144:5

prudent 86:15

public 12:23 64:24 85:5,16,18,22 86:7,18 148:15 150:13 152:17,20 178:17 191:23 192:3 227:12 237:13

publication 77:23 78:1

publicly 67:7,8 113:24

publish 198:8

published 90:12

pull 151:4 254:9 257:13,16

pulled 23:12,14 240:3 241:20

purchased 17:2,7,8

purpose 11:10 79:17 95:24 197:7

purposes 11:14,21 12:9 28:6 49:16,19 158:8,10

Pursley 28:15,20 29:13,19 31:11, 15 32:10 36:25 37:14,18 39:25 44:11,14,18,19 156:22 168:8,20, 22 169:6 170:15 174:22 180:14



245:2,3 248:5,23

pursue 157:13

put 50:17 130:19 132:7 141:6 157:10 170:9

putting 194:10,11

Q

quaint 106:6

qualify 146:8,14

quality 68:24 69:1,4 87:12 97:4 123:10

question 11:24,25 12:2 16:24 19:13,14,16,17 21:8 23:23,25 24:1,10,18,19,25 25:9,11 26:21 28:17 30:12 31:1 32:9 33:5,13 35:9 36:8 37:15,20 39:7 42:15 49:5,6,17,19 53:14 70:8 71:25 73:2,17 74:1,3 75:23 76:2,3 78:22 80:5 82:20 85:25 86:2,10,13,14, 15 94:3 99:17 102:11 104:1,12,14 107:8 110:24 111:5 112:14 114:8 115:2 119:3,21 120:7 121:15 126:23 133:25 134:2,10,15 135:11 137:22 138:6,7,8 141:1,18 144:3 146:24,25 149:8 150:24 151:12 152:18 154:1 155:4 156:21 158:13,19,20 162:12 163:23 164:20,21,24,25 166:3 167:6,7 168:3 172:7,9,10,15 176:14 180:22 184:19,24 185:16 189:9 195:15,17 196:24 199:23 201:4 202:10 203:6,25 204:7 209:21,24 210:1,8,9 216:13,14, 15,22 234:18 239:7,9 241:15 244:12,14,15 245:9,11,17 246:7, 11,12,14,22,23,24 247:4,5,22,23 250:7 253:4,24

questioned 18:24

questioning 16:21 157:14 173:25 204:1

questions 16:16 18:8,12,17 19:7 32:4 57:12 73:5 80:19 97:3 102:13 109:5,8 111:16 115:1 143:16 145:21 153:20,22 167:3 174:8 176:1,5 191:10 194:24 204:4 226:7 244:22 258:4

quick 28:21 145:12

quickest 52:21 53:7

quit 158:11

R

radio 179:3

rain 231:3,5

raise 15:8 20:18 147:6,9 158:25 174:6 178:11 182:19 203:16 204:23 205:9

raised 73:2 109:5 142:13

Rambling 227:5

Ranches 10:25

range 163:14 197:17

rate 137:4 138:16

rates 77:8 104:19 127:22 197:15,

• •

rational 137:5

Rationale 197:7

RCRA 178:4

RCW 225:20

reach 56:12

reached 56:12

reaches 150:14

read 34:25 70:19 75:25 76:2 138:7 144:1 153:12 163:23 172:9 199:18 218:19 244:14 246:17,21, 23 247:4.22 248:8

reading 120:6 254:25

ready 213:22,23,24

real 112:23 156:21 157:3,5,7,8 255:5

reason 15:16 49:25 55:18 77:14 120:16

reasons 190:7

recall 13:7 27:13 34:7,13 37:8 38:19 40:8 43:12,17 47:14 48:1, 11 57:4 63:19,21 64:25 66:18 67:25 79:22 80:10 81:9 91:11,19 103:4,7 114:17 119:23 122:18 127:3,4 129:15 157:12 167:1

168:5 169:20,22 171:6 175:14,17 195:21 196:22 197:3,6 208:4,7 221:15 235:19 238:16 240:25 241:2 242:9,10 248:21

receive 39:25 189:16,18,20

received 37:9 40:17 44:6,17 144:11 151:8 152:25 153:6 186:12 207:3 244:19 248:24 258:21

receiving 28:25 44:13

recent 127:15 239:11

recently 17:17 128:15 142:23

recipe 20:14

recipient 36:25 62:5

recipients 40:20 41:12,17 60:23

recite 113:8

recognize 112:11

recollection 78:21 92:23 93:16 97:6 98:5 113:18 129:10 199:19, 24 238:19

recommend 253:19

recommendation 239:1

recommendations 118:25 119:9 143:15,21 202:7

recommended 34:6

record 8:20 26:23 30:18,20,22 45:7,17 49:20 59:23,25 60:2, 84:4,5,8 85:16 97:19,21 112:6,8, 10 128:24 145:18,20 150:13 157:23,24 158:3 162:6,10 173:18 174:10 175:4,6,8 184:17 192:6 196:22 212:17 226:2,3,6 237:8, 19,21,22 245:23 246:1,2,3 247:16,18 251:7 252:1,4,7,9,11 258:13,23,25

records 85:5 95:15 237:13 252:24

recover 254:12 257:16

recruit 107:8,9

recruiting 106:9

recycling 51:6 125:12

Redding 91:21



redline 44:22

reduce 118:4,24 119:1 252:17

reduction 34:22 103:13

refer 46:25 106:19 113:9,12

218:19

reference 185:17 187:23

referenced 224:17 242:6

references 228:18

referred 84:19 90:13,22 100:20 101:11 179:18 194:5 220:16 239:23 251:13,16

referring 11:12 27:25 28:4 38:20 47:16 49:14 53:4 56:14 66:1 70:15 100:16,18 101:3,4 104:25 106:23 107:1,4,11 108:7 116:25 117:2,19 118:11 119:3 128:16 131:22 138:21 143:19 145:3

167:4,5 176:12,18,20 177:2 179:19 188:24 189:12 195:6 202:5 219:3 221:9 227:15 238:22

251:20

refers 108:15 194:2 197:8 227:4

reflect 41:16

reflected 57:7 200:4

reflective 196:15,16

reflects 247:18

reframe 215:21

refresh 78:20 92:23 93:16 98:5 113:18 129:10 199:19,24 238:19

refreshes 79:10

regard 39:23 45:21 114:24 251:3

region 115:15 120:12 138:15 139:4

regular 110:14,18 220:4

regularly 210:22

regulated 239:6

regulating 234:6

regulation 236:22

regulations 55:14

regulator 137:5 257:9

regulatory 52:20 54:25 55:8 201:17 202:11

reject 108:7

related 30:1 31:13 110:24 194:8

relating 32:20 relation 111:1

relations 55:7,20 178:17

relationship 29:12,18,20 44:4 168:7,11 174:21 248:23

relationships 39:17

relax 175:25 relay 140:8

released 64:24,25 67:6

releasing 135:8,10

relevance 157:1

relevant 11:11 16:17 18:10,12 32:3 226:25 232:15 251:3

reliable 62:21

remain 69:3

remark 97:25

remediate 253:19

remember 10:18,19 11:2,4 17:1 37:22 38:10,24 44:21 45:2 47:22, 23 56:16 57:14,18 58:2 65:3 69:10 78:9,10 81:8, 92:13 94:14, 15,16 95:4 96:5,8,14 97:10,14,15, 16 98:19,23 100:11 104:13 112:25 113:16,21 114:12 117:11 120:13,14 123:9,12 127:6,8 128:6,13 143:2,3 150:22 167:24 168:1,5 169:3,17,23 170:1,3,4,11 171:18 172:23 175:24 176:3,7,22 177:23 178:2,3,9,20 196:12 197:4 207:5,6,8,10,12,15,17,19 208:1,2, 10,25 209:6 219:4 220:15 221:16 224:19 227:14 228:22 229:14,15, 16 231:4 232:9 236:6,10 238:15 243:21 244:1,2

REMEMBERED 7:1

renew 138:9 141:22

renewable 98:20 99:11,16

rented 67:22

repeat 24:1 80:5

repeated 172:7

rephrase 24:12 33:17 58:19 78:23 83:6 118:2 119:21 120:7 137:16 139:6 168:2 184:19 192:15 234:19

replied 224:22

reply 217:2

report 30:1 31:19 32:11,16,17,18 33:16 34:12,25 35:19,21 36:1,5 41:21 42:19,22 43:16,25 44:17 45:19,23 56:14 64:11,16,23,25 67:6,8,13 68:11,12,14 73:2 94:11 96:1 105:14,19 108:16,18 113:23 114:24 115:2,4,11 117:8,12,13,18 120:21 123:4,11 158:9 161:10 162:4 163:14 165:17 178:19 182:3 198:2

reported 200:18

reporter 7:5,23 12:1 97:24 166:5, 7, 198:13 213:2

reporters 162:14

reporting 7:20,21

reports 44:20,22 87:14 158:8 160:18,20

represent 8:1 79:14,17 80:4 85:15

representation 31:6,9,18 87:20 148:19 164:14 174:4 237:7

representations 184:16

representative 7:8 58:16 108:1

representatives 102:20 194:12

represented 29:25 31:11,15 166:4 237:6

representing 25:2 31:22 45:10, 13 79:16 156:23

represents 62:19

request 21:10,25 26:19 31:4 40:18 41:2 44:5,6 105:3 122:4 146:1 173:5 181:16 183:17 186:23 228:14 237:13,19,22 249:14,19 250:23 258:5

requested 22:24 23:9 115:3 173:20



requesting 22:5 144:12

requests 22:10 105:3 214:13

require 236:11

required 68:23 88:2

requirement 252:24

research 146:8,12,14,24 194:20

researcher 257:10

reserve 135:9 157:11,12 185:2 190:16 258:5,8

reside 8:22

residual 77:1,12 124:3 126:1,25 127:16 128:1 129:12,17 137:13, 20,23

residuals 126:10 133:7,8

resolve 100:17 resolves 152:9 resource 197:18

resources 16:25 52:19

respect 29:22 39:6,11 88:19 189:7 205:21 234:6 239:10 249:17

respond 36:4 48:9 222:16

responded 22:5 144:7

responding 109:5

response 12:3 33:1 123:11,22 146:1 181:12,20 194:21 211:25 212:18 217:4,9,11,19,24 218:21 220:7 224:4,5,8 238:25 250:22

responses 12:5

responsible 72:9

responsive 237:22 249:20 250:1,5,8

rest 17:9

restate 19:17 86:4 244:12

restaurant 67:16,20

Restoration 7:9

results 197:12,13,14 243:9,14,

17.20

retained 183:21 244:20

retaining 183:25

retiring 55:19

retract 123:3 164:8,16,19,20

retracting 120:21

retroactively 197:11

retrofitted 89:15

return 207:25 208:4

returned 32:7

reveal 246:14

review 22:20,23 32:18 33:15 41:20 42:18 44:20 63:23 68:13, 19,20 69:5,8,12,14,15 82:17,19 87:10,12,17,24 88:1 95:5 105:15 113:17 117:6 120:1 161:13 163:21 194:7 198:14 199:2,5,7 202:14,23 214:20 234:10,25 240:12 255:7

reviewed 21:10,12 69:15 78:2 90:9 91:12,16,20 113:2,3,12 214:23 248:6

reviews 42:21 105:2

revised 200:18

Rex 61:8

Rick 143:11

right-hand 33:7

ring 91:23 146:9

ripped 110:9

RNG 99:13

road 147:5 158:22

Rodgers 8:5 45:7,8,9

role 9:2 33:21 34:15 58:9 107:23

roles 50:3,4

Ron 128:6,8 242:14

room 8:1 67:19,20,21,22,23

122:18 167:25

root 185:13,21 186:1 187:7

roots 124:25

rotation 140:16

rotations 88:23

rough 68:9 216:20

round 206:22,25

Rowe 62:8,9 78:24

Royal 63:8

Roylene 218:22 219:1

rule 139:19

rules 11:6 53:22 157:13

rumor 85:8 104:7

rumors 86:4,16,17

run 82:11 220:17

running 231:2 244:6

Runs 230:12

Ryan 220:19

S

safe 31:12 251:19

safety 103:1,13,19 104:3,6

176:21

Sage 51:19 58:9,15

 $sage consulting @\,bossig.com.\\$

58:20

Sakamuktar 105:11 113:7

Samish 225:19 **sample** 197:12

samples 48:4 57:25 114:13

sampling 95:3,15 114:18 243:9

scanned 166:9

schedule 105:23 224:9

scheduled 235:13

School 12:20

science 12:22 13:1,2,5,6 18:12 48:7 49:11,12 56:7,9 57:19 60:24 68:23 69:9,20 87:7,8,18 88:6 90:8,9,11,12,16,22 94:11,25 95:10 97:3,4 100:19 102:7 113:15 115:17 122:24 123:10,11,16, 127:20 133:15 145:6 168:21 187:20



sciences 255:7

scientific 32:19

scientists 105:2 187:18 244:7,16

246:25 247:6,24 248:4,6

scope 16:6,14 17:19,25 22:6,11, 24:22 26:8 31:11,22 36:15 37:10 40:2,11 41:23,25 42:1 63:11 76:10 93:6,12 181:17,23 206:2

256:11

screen 50:12 81:2

screening 105:1

seal 196:7

search 23:7 24:6,13 25:15,16,24 27:5 48:7 181:20,24 238:8 257:20

searched 23:5

searches 24:4

season 140:1 223:15

seat 106:14

Seattle 7:4,18, 122:22 220:18

section 55:10 199:13

seed 140:9

seeding 140:20

seek 168:24

seeked 169:2

seeking 151:7 153:3,8,11

seepage 34:22 35:3

segment 82:10

Senate 235:8

send 49:22 119:21 214:1 218:23

sending 188:4

senior 62:9

senor 222:13,14

sense 85:13 243:23

sentence 52:19 53:5,6 116:3,19 118:14 121:23 130:1,2,4,9,15,20 131:10 132:1 133:3,19 153:11 160:10 197:8 237:1

sentences 70:18 130:6 141:3

sentiment 150:17

sentiments 147:25

separate 146:20 189:4 246:19

separately 189:3

September 31:19 32:12 45:19 60:22 64:10 65:2 66:17 67:3 69:2 94:9,22 95:8 110:12 112:19 169:9

200:24 232:25 251:18

serve 117:3

Service 17:1

services 7:20 41:21,22 42:16 43:3 58:10,16 183:21 184:1

session 211:5 226:24 227:25 234:11

set 43:5 103:10 105:23 210:22

settle 82:25 83:7

settled 21:10

settlement 82:11 83:13 84:10,

11,25

settlements 83:3,19 84:22 85:5,

16,23

seven-minute 87:6

seventh 7:21 219:20

several-year 160:6

sfi@clearwire.net 61:4

shakes 12:6

shallower 124:23 255:2

share 184:13

shared 116:22 118:11

Sheehan 61:2,3 101:22 106:14

107:18

Sheenstra 61:5

sheer 106:18

sheet 65:8 110:10

sheets 189:21

shelves 22:14

shit 230:17.24 231:20.25

shook 176:9 179:1

short 59:19 252:5

shorthand 218:13,16

shortly 28:25 94:10

shot 81:10

show 80:18 82:10 86:25 102:22

148:21 188:9 252:24

showed 110:8

shown 75:15 76:3

Shows 37:23

Shulter 61:13

sic 31:11 42:16 44:11,19 128:21

168:20 170:15 248:5

sic' 159:21

side 67:20,21 124:5,14 125:21 126:11 127:1 129:13 140:7,8,13, 14 149:18 187:17,22,23 240:1

257:24 258:1

signed 65:21 92:17 108:25 162:4

180:20 181:3

significance 101:15,20 188:3

significant 104:21 156:20

significantly 74:22

signing 248:11

silly 42:8

similar 96:3 121:20

simpler 141:24

simply 86:17 162:12

sir 8:22 11:9 14:10 19:15 60:5 67:18 81:1 112:13 170:7 182:2

205:24 238:1

sit 102:9 170:6 257:11

site 93:19 94:6 114:9

sites 57:2

sitting 22:14 101:12 119:8 135:7,

9 165:11

situation 80:3 139:12 178:16

situations 58:11 131:13 132:23

Sixty 68:9



size 50:10

sizes 50:12

Skagit 60:12

skip 232:19

skipping 114:8

Slow 233:6

small 38:14,17 51:6

smiled 63:1

Snipes 67:16,17

snippets 82:18

Snook 230:25

Snookbrook 230:25 231:22

social 176:4

soil 20:1,4,7,8 48:4 57:25 76:22 77:9,15 88:25 95:3,14 124:3,10, 24 125:3,4,17,18,19 126:1,10 127:1,17,21 129:12 135:2,7,18,21 136:1,17 137:13,20,23 138:19 220:11,14 221:10 233:4,8,18 243:8 253:12 254:5,10 256:9 257:1

soils 136:24 255:5

solely 242:2,19

solicited 150:12,23 152:13 159:10

soliciting 159:15

solution 15:20 72:19 257:17

solve 15:17 115:18

son-in-law 61:3

Sons 27:23

sought 152:25

sounds 44:23,25 59:22

source 62:21 73:1 147:19 214:5 236:13

sources 32:18 81:15, 212:19,20 248:9

south 210:19

speak 119:19 153:25

speaking 27:22,23 47:1 74:19

141:9 151:22,23 219:14

speaks 51:25 52:7 64:12 70:6 80:12 98:22 121:12,18 123:23 131:2 142:14 143:17 162:20 163:18 165:16 174:10 185:14 192:6 201:10 203:18,20 225:14

special 67:19 122:15

speciesism 27:16

specific 21:6 36:23 47:14 110:23 118:4 126:2,6 129:11,15 148:24 149:7 150:10 161:21 168:15 178:2 243:8 256:23 257:18

specifically 28:17 68:6 101:23 119:7,11 127:3,4 144:12

specifics 63:17 95:2 129:14 143:3 168:17 169:22

speculate 221:16

speculation 29:10 43:7 73:16 75:21 77:20 82:17 89:10,14,23 108:11 123:19 133:2 134:21 139:15 161:5 210:3 222:8 225:12 233:14 235:25 241:24 253:22 255:23 257:8

speed 250:17

spend 93:7

spent 21:5 95:12

spoken 21:15 56:6 128:3

Sports 38:24

spread 131:8

spring 14:13 20:4,5 38:10,12 105:24 244:1

Sr 46:14,21 47:1

staff 9:16 51:1,11,13,16 62:14 107:21 239:13

stage 81:2

stamp 33:6

stand 103:16 142:22

standard 88:21 103:9 128:8,17 137:13,15,17,19 138:10 209:5,8, 14 219:15 239:10,11,14,20,25 240:6 241:10,19

standards 69:24 87:25 88:1 102:23 127:14 137:3 252:25

253:1 256:24

standing 222:18

standpoint 72:12

stands 148:20

Star 81:2

start 8:2 14:25 45:22 65:8 66:17 124:19 134:15 141:1 172:17 183:7 195:3 242:21 243:8

started 13:15 46:17,18 93:24 110:9 227:17

starting 106:21 141:2 207:1

starts 182:12 221:22 224:2

state 7:5,25 8:8,19,25 9:4 10:1 11:3 12:21 13:1,2 18:4 19:6 20:13 27:12,15 28:17 35:18 39:19 43:4, 10 46:20 55:25 58:17 61:1 70:8, 19,21 71:25 80:11 81:21 93:2 98:7 105:25 106:1 116:19 138:15 140:7,8 151:5 155:1,25 159:20,25 160:12 164:10 167:7 179:4 181:19 193:23 200:12 205:25 206:5 234:18

stated 43:7 45:16 81:14 87:18 164:11 165:20 190:7 204:9 205:3

statement 26:22 39:21,22 52:18, 23 53:3 70:16 83:9,11 103:3 105:7 115:25 123:17,22 124:1 130:24 131:2 132:9 134:12 135:15 142:22 143:7 160:4,14,15 164:8 165:3,12,19 183:24 190:5 197:22 201:14 233:16,19 241:22 254:4

statements 115:7 129:19 130:13,14 160:5 197:19 253:9

states 7:12 103:10 195:3 241:18

status 45:9

statute 227:23 228:18

stay 139:20

stays 29:14 255:4

steady 233:6

steps 253:14

Steve 50:25 51:1 54:13 58:6 62:8,9 78:24 107:23 117:5,13 221:6 232:7 241:14



Stewardship-nitrogen 195:2

stick 140:13

sticking 212:5,10

sticky 22:8 26:3,5 208:8

stock 10:17,20

Stokes 8:9 245:3

stop 73:14,22 87:5 88:14 94:17

135:24 210:18

storage 32:20

stories 198:8,17

story 198:14 212:5,10

straight 231:11,15,17

strategic 66:4,10

strategies 176:16

strategy 169:11,15 170:9,14,17 175:20,23 178:10,21,25 198:18

199:3 206:19

strike 164:3 200:7

string 144:18 216:6,8,9 222:10

strings 237:4

Stritmatter 7:17

structure 125:18

Stu 62:19 63:21

Stuart 62:18

studies 90:24 91:2,16,20 102:22 103:18 104:19,23,24 105:16

112:25 113:20,22

study 47:4,5 50:15 69:6 91:12 103:5 104:17 112:20 113:6,9,13

114:6 178:22 195:5.17.18 196:23

197:8 248:7 254:11

studying 254:25

stuff 41:25 218:21 254:23

Sub- 248:17

subcommittee 106:17

subject 27:6 32:17 60:24 147:21

235:12.17

subjects 108:22

submit 187:18

submitted 21:12 95:11 115:6

subpoena 22:5,25 25:22 28:25

33:2,8 181:13,16,21 211:25

212:19 249:25 251:3

subpoenas 194:21 252:2

subsequent 248:10,16

subsidiary 194:1

substance 29:13 31:7 174:3,5

substantive 118:13 189:11

substantively 118:16

subunit 51:9

sufficient 139:10

suggest 85:21 168:25

suggesting 109:17 221:12

suggestion 86:21 141:10

suggestions 143:14,20

suit 11:17

Suite 7:22

suits 82:22

Sullivan 163:8

Sumas-blaine 91:2,5

summaries 189:21,22,24

summarize 117:20

summary 193:16

summer 171:20 195:4

sums 83:1,8

Sunnyside 67:16 129:7

sunshine 112:23

suntonfarms 61:12

support 151:7 153:3,11 182:19 221:6 252:17,19,20,21,22,23

253:7,19 256:7,14

suppose 147:8 221:13

supposed 111:5 207:2

Supreme 11:3

surface 124:15 125:21 226:16,22

227:4

surprise 160:24 161:6 213:3

surprised 68:25

suspect 208:15

suspected 208:12

swear 7:24

switched 15:11

sworn 8:14 11:7

Sybrandy 60:9,10,11

symptoms 103:11

synthetically 17:11

systems 23:8

Т

table 101:12

tablet 97:22 151:3 162:14 165:23

166:14.15

tactics 202:1

takes 106:18 255:15

taking 7:16 14:4 114:18 125:12

140:11 149:24 178:1 197:11

talk 21:23 46:9 47:25 48:11 63:6, 10,13 66:15 70:1 74:25 80:15

82:10 86:23 94:24 95:2,3 96:9 106:8 122:23 146:1 149:12

173:10 189:2, 205:10 211:9

212:11 222:3,22,24 224:15,17

225:9 226:15 227:6,12 228:3

232:8,17 236:7,9 245:14 257:12

talked 21:20,24 37:21 47:15,22

48:3,5 56:17 66:14,21 88:7 97:5 100:3,7 105:1,5 109:3,4 122:24

128:4 146:5 165:13 169:2 170:24

171:4,13 172:10 178:6 188:23

189:1 193:5 226:21 228:22

229:16 252:12 256:6 257:18

talking 42:3 46:1,5 47:21,23 66:18 70:9 82:14,22 83:15 93:7

95:12 99:2,6 104:23 105:16

110:4,22 118:4 120:14 134:3



137:2 156:5 171:15,18 172:12 178:2,3 179:8 189:5,6 202:2 206:25 219:13,14 222:19,20 227:18 228:8 236:10

talks 193:21 198:3 200:17 202:18 220:10 233:1

tangible 254:14 tankers 231:6

Tanner-something 84:20

tape 80:21 84:9 87:17 157:19

targeting 115:17

task 98:14

TDVE 61:15

tea 145:15

team 49:11,12 51:11 56:7,9 57:19 176:10,16

Tebbutt 8:3,18 16:7,10,17,23 18:9,16, 23:22 24:2,12,17 25:2,5, 8 26:9,22 27:5 30:6,12,15 31:3,24 32:1 33:11 39:14 40:15,19,23 41:3,11,14,18,24 42:8,13 43:9 45:5,11,16 52:12,14 54:7 59:19 75:25 79:9 80:2,22 84:1 86:2,9,12 111:21,24 112:1,5 120:3 126:14, 18 128:25 131:4 133:24 145:13 148:2,8,12,21 149:5,7,11,16,20, 24 150:4,5,8 151:13,17,22,25 152:1,17,24 153:3,6,10,19 154:5, 9,12,19 155:18,22 156:3,16,20 157:3.10.17.20 159:7.11 161:15. 18,21,25 162:2,6,8,10 164:17,21, 22 166:8,14,17 167:6 168:17 172:3 173:5,19,24 174:10 175:3 177:21 184:11 185:2 186:23 187:1 190:11,15 191:4,9,13,19,22 192:3,6,15,21 195:14 200:7 203:6,24 204:3,17,22 205:4,7,11, 14,16 212:7,17,21,23 213:1,19,21 214:8,9,12,16 215:25 222:9 225:24 232:18 234:4,16 235:2,17 237:9,15,18,23 241:7,17 244:13 245:1,10,18,22 246:10,16,21 247:11,17,19 248:15 249:12,17, 21,24 250:3,6,8,17 251:1,7 252:5 254:22 255:24 258:10.15.19

tech 239:25 240:5

technical 32:19 41:20,21,22

42:16,18,21 43:3 87:14 194:6,7, 15

Ted 228:25 229:1,4 230:8,9,10, 11.13

telephone 28:23 146:4,6

televised 86:17

telling 16:12

Tells 191:4

temperature 135:18

Template 194:25

temporarily 241:20

ten 74:23 103:13 147:14 183:9 211:15 215:17 255:20

ten-part-per-million 103:6

tend 136:24

tenfold 103:17

tenth 9:17

term 24:13 33:10 49:13 65:23 106:6

terminology 133:17,21

terms 25:15,24 26:15 27:6 74:20 84:11,25

terrible 87:24

terrific 220:2

test 50:12 220:11,14 221:10 254:5

testified 8:15 56:5 72:15 76:19 78:16 93:1 113:16 199:21 200:23 243:6 256:5

testify 126:16 179:24

testifying 16:18

testimony 12:14,16 29:3,7 40:14 44:16 54:3,6 63:24 64:1,4, 66:7, 73:9,25 74:4,8 85:11 87:22 90:20 109:15,24 110:17 111:4 117:20, 24 118:3,9 120:24 125:8 134:10 165:8 180:16 181:2 187:18 193:14 198:21 210:7 212:14 215:4, 217:7 228:17 243:5 250:20

testing 57:2 88:25

tests 20:4,7,8 94:1 253:12

Texas 105:4,12

text 212:3 213:18 214:1 215:15 216:2 217:1,4,9,11,21 218:8,10 220:1,6 221:4 222:2 223:18 226:19 230:21 240:13,16,17,19, 23,24,25

texted 213:4

texts 212:18 213:10 214:9,18 220:3 222:5,24 226:8,9 230:10,16 237:4

Thanksgiving 220:1

theoretically 140:1

thereof 256:24

thick 44:19

thing 11:23 43:24 44:19 86:15 97:24 118:15 130:3 158:9 256:2

things 18:23 76:16 87:15 88:16 98:21 115:16 118:24 163:12,13 189:6 234:10 253:7 256:23

thinking 116:12,18

third-party 236:12

third-to-last 187:14

Thirteen 9:3

Thompson 198:14,23

thought 122:19 123:24 151:13 209:25 210:5,9,11 216:19

thousands 232:11

threshold 124:2,6 236:16

time 7:16 9:7,9,16 12:1,2 13:6,13 14:2 21:4,20 22:17 29:1 35:23 38:9 43:1,22 47:16,23 49:10 50:22 54:18 56:20 60:3 61:6,9,11, 14 62:4 66:16 67:10,11 68:16,17 69:18 72:12,20,21,23 80:8 89:4 91:3 92:24 93:7,9,10,15,23,25 95:7,12 96:5,7 97:16,17 104:25 105:6 106:18 108:16 110:12,13 111:23 112:10,22 114:17 116:13, 15,18,25 118:17,18 119:25 120:1 135:20 140:10 157:18 160:21 161:24 165:3,4,5 172:24 174:6 175:1 178:8 189:2,21,22 190:16 195:25 197:12 206:21 207:3,24



208:12 221:5 223:11,24 224:9,25 227:3,11 228:19 233:6 234:9,25 235:20 240:21 243:6 247:21 248:15,24 249:10 252:11

timeline 169:24 172:20 177:13 178:7.8

times 22:11 93:17 96:14 171:13 172:10 188:23 189:13 198:16,17 211:9,15,19 212:12 213:5,10 216:21 223:16

title 50:20 55:14 117:11

today 7:23 11:6 14:4 18:13,18,24 20:22 21:9,11,16 25:3 28:20 46:5 47:21 73:10,13,21 89:20 119:9 165:11 166:13 170:6 181:10 183:6 188:21

today's 7:15 11:14,20 28:6 49:16

told 64:7 79:23 80:6 191:16 216:19 243:25

Tom 61:16 67:3,4

tomorrow 213:22,23 219:10 228:24,25 229:3

tonight 224:4,6

Tony 50:20,21 61:3

tool 53:22

tools 53:22

top 59:13 125:6,11,13 131:17,22 214:24 215:2 236:17 255:15

topic 45:4 115:1 127:19,22

topics 16:15 24:22 42:5,9 93:6, 13 250:11

tormented 180:11

torn 65:7

total 14:24 135:25 190:1

totally 53:1 131:6

tough 256:17

tour 94:21

town 210:19 211:4 224:3

tractors 231:6

traditional 198:13

transcript 12:11 154:24

transcripts 63:23

transmission 40:9

transmitted 22:19

transport 236:14

treated 125:4

trial 12:11,15 63:14,15,19

trip 143:6 220:2

triticale 88:18,23 124:19,23 125:11 138:3,8,12,25 140:16,18, 20

trot 112:22

trouble 209:23 210:1 231:2

true 53:9 85:20 86:4,16 132:17 150:21

Trull 221:5

turn 135:23 219:9

turned 258:7,18

Turner 62:18,21 63:3,6,10 221:6

Turner's 63:24

TV 78:3

two-to-three-foot 255:10

two-way 217:1,14

two-year 238:9

type 14:11,16 50:4 124:12 125:3,

18,19

types 20:1 124:10,12

typically 105:24

typo 225:3

U

U.S. 8:23

UC 113:9

uh-huhs 12:6

ultimately 117:16

Um-hmm 13:17 14:8,10 34:24 46:2 51:20 56:8 87:4 100:6 116:16 130:18 142:11 190:14

unabashed 69:24

uncomfortable 125:10

unconscionably 87:7

under- 25:10 40:22

underestimated 213:15

underlie 204:13

understand 11:6,13,20 12:3,7, 12,17 16:6 19:12 24:19 25:10 33:11,13 41:1,13 49:5,17 60:3 65:15 82:19 164:25 169:21 171:7 195:15

understanding 57:18 68:14 103:8 193:22, 254:25

understood 25:11 49:19

undisclosed 83:1,4,8,19,20 84:11 85:22 104:9

Unidentifiable 214:5

uniform 138:14

unit 194:6

United 7:12 103:9

University 12:21 13:2 20:13

unmarked 208:19

unreasonable 209:9,11,13,16

unsupportable 204:5

unusual 212:10

up-to-date 166:10

upcoming 201:23 237:5

update 17:21 50:23 124:16 172:19,24 173:6,14 177:13 193:4, 19 201:17 202:12

updated 17:17

updates 159:23 192:9,17 193:1

uptake 77:3,4 125:6,20

urged 115:3

Utilization 195:3

utilize 20:3,12



V

vacuum 25:23

vague 30:5 33:23 36:6,21 45:24 49:4 53:19 56:24 57:10 58:12 59:3 62:23 65:16 66:6,8,13 71:1, 24 73:17 75:21 77:25 83:5 86:19 89:6 101:17 107:3 110:7,18 111:3 118:19 133:11 134:1 137:21 139:1 141:20,23 144:14 160:22 164:2 175:22 178:13 183:3 196:10 200:5 201:2 206:13,24 212:13 215:23 216:11 257:3,7

vaguely 98:19,23

validity 154:1

valley 15:13,14 32:12 45:19 49:11 50:6,24 51:14 60:12 62:20 70:23 72:3,10,24 75:6 77:24 78:8 81:16 83:13 85:14 88:10,22 115:4 118:20 127:2 199:13 234:7 244:8, 17 247:1,7,25 248:19 252:13

valuable 197:17

Vander 232:2,8

variables 75:14

varies 229:23

varieties 20:12 88:18,19

variety 113:14

verbal 66:21 115:7

verify 204:22

version 165:24

versus 7:10 71:5.11

vice 62:9

video 7:7,20 78:14 80:19,25 81:6, 8,10,23,25 82:13,15,18,19 83:16 87:1,2,6 252:1

Viega 50:21

Viega's 61:3

view 137:6

village 82:5

Virginia 144:22

virtually 140:2 182:24

visioning 224:24

visit 109:17 151:10 154:2 219:4

visited 147:9 148:16 152:11

vitiated 156:4

voice 7:25 102:3,4 242:18

volume 106:18

voluntary 52:21 53:5,6,18

volunteer 172:6

W

WA 7:2

wait 11:23 86:12,13 133:22 250:10

waiting 242:20

waived 148:3,23 149:20,21,23 155:20 159:13

waiver 150:14

waiving 151:14

walked 48:4

wall 22:9 26:3

Walt 61:23

walterab- 61:20

wanted 32:2 48:8 98:21 99:1,4, 17 103:12 110:20 119:25 122:4 168:18 201:6 222:3 237:7 252:3

wanting 146:13

warm 136:17 220:7

warmer 136:24

warning 162:23

warrant 48:8 57:21

wart 15:21

Wasemiller 33:24 34:4.10.14

Wasemiller's 33:16 35:2

Washington 7:4,5,13,18,22 8:8, 12,23,25 9:4 10:1,5,16,22 18:3 19:6 27:12,15 29:18 33:3 34:1,2 35:18 39:19 46:20 54:23 55:25 58:17 92:20 93:2 98:7 108:2

113:25 116:20 120:10 121:25 125:22 151:5 155:1,25 159:24 174:15 179:4 180:20 189:18 198:5,10 200:11 205:24,25 206:4, 6,8 236:11 239:24 241:8 242:13

Wassemiller 32:16 33:4

waste 55:11 197:17

wasting 161:24

watch 81:8

water 10:17,20 14:5 31:12 88:25 103:6 104:4 135:19 236:14 255:1,

Wavrin 129:4,5,6,16 130:14 132:20 133:22 137:1 140:25

Wavrin's 129:20 141:10

ways 53:12,13,17,24 227:15

WDPC 198:2,4,7

wear 107:25

wears 58:10

website 90:21,22 109:17 248:8

Wednesday 7:3,15 229:6

week 197:13 211:4,7 223:16

weekend 143:25 231:4,8

weekends 13:13

weekly 68:5

weeks 21:11 223:16,17 231:11, 15,17

wells 244:5

Wendy 180:6

Wesens 219:10

west 7:4,18 140:8 182:13 185:6 210:19 240:1

Western 239:24

Whatcom 61:18 62:14 225:19 226:16,22 227:4 232:3

whatsoever 71:14 138:1

Whelan 7:17

White 69:9.14.22.23 87:25 88:6

Whoops 226:14



wild 225:1

William 8:21

winter 140:22 183:1 226:15,22 227:2 239:23,24 240:5

wire 143:6

wisegeek 109:17

wisegeek.com 110:2

withdraw 115:3

withdrawing 150:8

withheld 191:23

witness's 181:2 193:14

wonderful 179:3

wondering 59:12 101:19

wood 8:11 9:12 20:16 21:17 23:4 54:19 55:2,16 98:12 122:9,11 167:18 182:21 200:1 201:20 202:8,22 242:7 249:6 250:12,16, 19 251:10

Wood's 55:5.22.23

word 23:8 24:4,5 87:19 121:1,6 130:20 136:6 160:7 198:19 238:11

wording 27:13 150:23

words 22:16 23:10 135:5,6 145:1

work 9:4,13 12:7,22 13:9 31:7 32:10 34:8 44:4 47:7 52:20 55:7, 8,20 113:7 117:2 133:15 146:8,25 147:2 165:18 168:24 201:23 238:8 244:11 248:14

worked 56:3 116:21 168:2 189:22 239:2

working 46:17 99:11 168:20 183:25 233:6 238:9

workings 114:1

works 22:1 51:3 55:13 62:19 91:24 92:5 147:20 156:13 172:1

World 222:18

Wright 219:1

write 198:13 206:15 230:21

writes 229:7

writing 172:25 183:6,7 194:10,11

written 32:18 39:10 70:16 148:3 155:16 185:19 189:15 194:3,12

wrong 55:24 103:16 128:23 132:15,22 216:15

wrote 110:8 118:17 142:16 194:4 230:22 235:4

WSDA 221:6

WSDF 14:6 42:23 43:4,14 44:7
48:15,21,24 51:13 55:16 56:18,19
58:22, 61:25 62:16 67:24 71:3
90:14 105:13 107:17 125:24
126:9,21,24 129:8,11 137:12
143:9 146:18 148:13 175:21
177:1 178:21 179:10 181:9,10
183:21 187:4 191:14 194:1
196:16 202:18 203:9,11 204:16
211:21 225:8 237:7, 239:10
248:23 250:1 252:17 256:7

WSDF00005 166:20

WSDF000080 39:15

WSDF000252 193:21

WSDF001570 34:19

WSDF001937 64:20

wsdf@msn.com 48:20

WSDFS 206:9

WSU 20:12 126:4

Υ

Yakima 31:14 32:12 38:9,24 45:19 47:7 49:9 50:6,9,24 51:3,7, 8,12,14 61:6,13 68:7 70:23 72:3, 10,24 75:6 77:24 78:8 81:16 88:9 105:21 107:13 108:3 114:7 115:4, 19 116:23 117:1,2,6 118:20 127:1 129:7 177:14 178:16 182:3 193:23,25 199:13 200:15 225:19 234:7 244:8,17 247:1,7,25 252:13

year 14:17,18 15:15 20:7 38:11 60:16 73:18 75:17 76:5 92:13 113:23 122:8 135:20 196:13 197:15

year's 197:14 224:1

years 9:3,23 11:2 15:15 17:4,21 20:7 29:21 37:22 46:16 55:24 56:2,4 72:24 73:18 74:23 84:15, 23 89:2,19,25 90:2,3,10 96:17,18 102:22 103:5 105:22 116:21 159:24 160:13,17 164:11 242:22 255:4 257:22

yellow 130:12,17 132:3

yeses 12:5

yesterday 36:13

yield 77:9

yielding 88:20

yields 20:1,9 77:7 124:11

Youtube 78:2,4 82:18 87:1,6

Ζ

zero-to-one-foot 255:9

zone 124:15 185:13,21 186:1 187:7

I

Index: wild..zone

800.442.3376